



SIERRA CLUB

GRAND CANYON

2022 Environmental Report Card for Arizona Legislature and Governor



August 3, 2022

2022 Environmental Report Card

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>2022 Arizona Legislature Overview</u>	2–3
<u>Governor, Senate, and House Grades</u>	4
<u>Earth Protectors</u>	5-6
<u>Democracy Destroyers and Anti-environmental Toxic Team</u>	7
<u>Bill Summaries, Weighting, and Outcome</u>	8-14
<u>Resources</u>	15
Governor Spreadsheet of Actions on Bills	Appendix A
Senate Spreadsheet of Votes on Bills	Appendix B
House Spreadsheet of Votes on Bills	Appendix C

Images used in the report card are from Canva Pro and Getty Images.

2022 Legislative Session Overview

The 2022 Legislative Session was again long and unproductive regarding the major environmental issues facing our state – limited action on climate, no action on environmental justice, and another step backward on water, or at least one that continues business as usual. The attacks on democracy were fast and furious and several bills made it to the governor’s desk and were signed and some made it to the ballot. Importantly, however, a slew of bills to hinder voting and voter registration were held back by a sustained effort from voting rights advocates.

Bills to address climate resiliency, limit groundwater exploitation or even meter it or sustain flowing rivers were again stopped, most without a hearing, while bills to weaken groundwater protections advanced through the House of Representatives but were stopped due to a lack of votes in the Senate. Even the modest bill, to establish Rural Management Areas for groundwater, could not make it out of the House. While the Sierra Club thought [HB2661](#) needed to be much stronger, it is telling that not even this voluntary measure could advance.

The session’s major water legislation, [SB1740](#), continues water business as usual in Arizona, heavily investing in water augmentation that can include desalination and other infrastructure that is harmful to the environment, expensive, and energy intensive. There is no regulatory element and no other bills to regulate and protect ground and surface water advanced. SB1740 did include a conservation grant fund, which is a plus, but sadly the water conserved will likely just fuel more development and thirsty agriculture, and a provision that at least requires the Arizona Department of Water Resources to require a water supply and demand assessment for at least six groundwater basins annually.



The budget included numerous harmful proposals, including funding for border infrastructure, big water augmentation projects such as desalination, and funding to continue with studies on the harmful I-11 freeway. There was a small amount – \$2.5 million – appropriated for the State Parks Heritage Fund.



While we were pleased to see it funded, this is far below the \$10 million annually the voters had approved for it. There was also funding for the Arizona Trail and some needed dollars for the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) to address the backlog of water quality issues identified in its [2021 performance audit](#).

Despite the fact that ADEQ is not fully implementing the federal programs for which it has primacy, the agency decided to do the bidding of the utilities and seek to take control of the coal combustion waste, “coal ash,” program. Sierra Club opposes this as ADEQ is a little too cozy with utilities and only decided to seek the program after the Environmental Protection Agency began to take enforcement actions via the federal program.

The Arizona Legislature’s war on wolves continued as it passed yet another bill to encourage weak protections for Mexican gray wolves. [HB2181](#) prohibits the Arizona Game and Fish Commission from passing any rules or regulations to stop people from killing these endangered wolves if the wolves are threatening livestock or other domestic animals. This is contrary to federal rules and sends a message that it is okay to kill them. Unlawful killing of wolves is the number one reason wolves die in the wild.



Three of **Senator Victoria Steele’s** electric vehicle bills advanced out of the Senate with bi-partisan support, but were deep-sixed in the House when the Speaker failed to assign them to committee or assigned them to the House Natural Resources, Energy, and Water Committee, where they were not heard. In a bit of good news, **Senator Paul Boyer’s** bill to expedite acquisition of electric school buses in schools did advance and was signed by the governor.

Without many in the legislature realizing it, both houses overwhelmingly passed SB1376 to help expedite the phase out of hydrofluorocarbons, which are powerful greenhouse gasses. This was one of the few actions legislators took that benefits the climate.



There were fewer legislators who received an “F” on the Environmental Report Card this session, but still far too – 33 – many and it was another session of missed opportunities to act on climate, better protect our waters, improve our air quality, and put our state on track to being more sustainable. There were no “A+” grades, but there were 18 senators and representatives who earned an “A.” House members were graded on 12 bills, one referendum, and two resolutions. Senators were graded on 16 bills, one referendum, and two resolutions. **Governor Doug Ducey** was graded on 10 bills and again earned an “F” on the report card. His legacy on environmental issues is dismal.

2022 ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT CARD

F	Governor Ducey
----------	-----------------------

SENATE GRADES

HOUSE GRADES

A+	
A	Bowie, Contreras, Gabaldón, Hatathlie, Marsh, Otondo, Quezada, Stahl Hamilton, Steele, Terán
B	Rios
C	Alston, Gonzales
D	Barto, Boyer, Pace, Petersen, Rogers, Shope
F	Borrelli, Fann, Gowan, Gray, Kerr, Leach, Livingston, Mesnard, Townsend, Ugenti-Rita
I	Mendez

A+	
A	Butler, DeGrazia, Epstein, Jermaine, Ligouri, Powers Hannley, Schweibert, Solorio
B	Abraham, Andrade, Bolding, Cano, Dalessandro, Espinoza, Fernandez, M. Hernandez, Longdon, Mathis, Pawlik, Quiñonez, Shah, Tsosie
C	Blackwater-Nygren, Chávez, D. Hernandez, Meza, Sierra
D	Bolick, Burges, Carter, Grantham, A. Hernandez, Finchem, Kavanagh, Parker, Pingerelli, Chaplik
F	Barton, Biasiucci, Blackman, Bowers, Carroll, Chaplik, Cobb, Cook, Diaz, Dunn, Fillmore, Griffin, Hoffman, John, Kaiser, Martinez, Nguyen, Osborne, Payne, Toma, Udall, Weninger, Wilmeth
I	Salman

Earth Protectors



Sen. Sean Bowie
(D-18)



Rep. Kelli Butler
(D-28)



Rep. Lupe Contreras
(D-19x)



Rep. Domingo DeGrazia
(D-10)



Rep. Mitzi Epstein
(D-18)



Sen. Rosanna Gabaldón
(D-2)



Rep. Theresa Hatathlie
(D-7)



Rep. Jennifer Jermaine
(D-18)



Rep. Sarah Ligouri
(D-28)



Sen. Christine Marsh
(D-28)



Sen. Lisa Otondo
(D-4)



Rep. Pamela Powers
Hannley (D-9)



Sen. Martín Quezada
(D-29)



Rep. Judy Schweibert
(D-20)



Rep. Christian Solorio
(D-30)



Rep. Stephanie Stahl
Hamilton (D-10)



Sen. Victoria Steele
(D-9)



Sen. Raquel Terán
(D-30)

While there were no “A+” grades in the Arizona House and Senate this year, there were 18 legislators who earned an “A.” This was largely due to their opposition and work to stop the bills that weaken environmental protection—the bill on coal ash, a measure to open up groundwater pumping in the Harquahala Valley—and again all of the bills to limit voting and a referral to make ballot measures more difficult. **This year there were ten Senators and eight Representatives who earned an “A” on the Environmental Report Card.**

Democracy Destroyers & Anti-Environmental Toxic Team



[This Photo](#) by Unknown Author is licensed under [CC BY-SA](#)

Fewer legislators earned an “F” on the Environmental Report Card this year, but there were still far too many in both the House and Senate. These legislators failed to oppose and often sponsored bills that both chip away at our democracy by limiting voting and also harm our environment, including water, wildlife, and clean energy. These legislators earned their “F” and include Senators **Borrelli, Fann, Gowan, Gray, Kerr, Leach, Livingston, Mesnard, Townsend,** and **Ugenti-Rita** and Representatives **Barton, Biasiucci, Blackman, Bowers, Carroll, Cobb, Cook, Diaz, Dunn, Fillmore, Griffin, Hoffman, John, Kaiser, Martinez, Nguyen, Osborne, Payne, Toma, Udall, Weninger,** and **Wilmeth.** **Governor Doug Ducey** also failed on this year’s report card by signing ten pieces of harmful legislation that the Sierra Club scored on this Report Card.

2022 Environmental Report Card Bill Summaries

[SB1094 petition signatures; description; invalidity](#) (Mesnard) would have tossed out signatures on a petition if the person did not read or listen to the short description at the top. It required the circulator to cross out the signature if the person did not read or listen to the description. What if they have read or know about petitions and specifically go to sign them, why would they need to read a short description? Those signing candidate petitions are not required to read anything prior to signing, so why this double standard? It would have merely served as a means to throw out more signatures.

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 2

This passed out of the Senate 16-12-2 and was never brought to the House floor, so the bill died.

[SB1151 NOW: charging station; pilot program](#) (Steele: Jermaine) would have established a pilot program for charging stations administered by Arizona Department of Administration (ADOA) that allowed state agencies to apply for funding to cover the costs of installing charging stations. This was a two-year pilot that would have utilized federal funds.

Sierra Club supported this bill.

Points: Yes 2, No 0

This passed out of the Senate 17-10-3 and was not assigned to a committee in the House, so the bill died.



[SB1152 zero emission vehicles; plans](#) (Steele) would have required coordination by the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), ADOA, and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), to develop a zero emissions vehicle (ZEV) Plan to increase the number of ZEV vehicles, establish ZEV corridors, and also coordinate on ZEV infrastructure.

Sierra Club supported this bill.

Points: Yes 2, No 0

This passed out of the Senate 16-13-1 and was not heard in the House Natural Resources, Energy, and Water Committee, so the bill died.

[SB1154 transportation electrification study committee](#) (Steele) would have established a study committee to review laws and consider ways to promote EVs and to collaborate with local governments, electric utilities, environmental groups, the transportation industry, and

interested communities to identify the best ways to encourage an economy-wide transition from carbon-fueled vehicles to EVs.

Sierra Club supported this bill.

Points: Yes 2, No 0

This passed out of the Senate 16-13-1 and was not assigned to a committee in the House, so the bill died.

[SB1246 school buses; electrification; contracts](#) (Boyer) allows a school district to select preapproved carriers or private parties to provide electric school buses and related infrastructure and services. The School Bus Advisory Council is to establish the preapproval process. This will help expedite the acquisition of electric school buses by schools.

Sierra Club supported this bill.

Points: Yes 2, No 0

This passed out of the House on Third Read 40-17-3 and passed in the Senate on Final Read 24-0-6. It was signed by the Governor.



[SB1270 state parks; lottery; heritage fund](#) (Shope) would have appropriated \$3 million annually from the Arizona State Lottery Fund for the Arizona State Parks Heritage Fund, which funds local and state parks, trails, historic preservation, and cultural resource protection. Sierra Club and other proponents advocated for bumping this up to \$10 million, the amount it was originally allocated by voters.

Sierra Club supported this bill.

Points: Yes 2, No 0

This passed in the Senate on Third Read 27-1-2 and was never heard in House Rules Committee, so the bill died. The Legislature did include \$2.5 million from the general fund in the budget for this, but this is far from the original amount approved by voters and is just a one-time appropriation.

[SB1322 NOW: TPT; use tax; hydrogen; exemption](#) (Shope) would have provided a sales tax exemption for hydrogen used as a fuel despite the fact that 99% of all hydrogen is derived from fossil fuels, so it would have been a subsidy for fossil fuels. Any subsidy or incentive should be for green hydrogen from water not hydrogen from fossil fuels.

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 2


This passed out of the House on Third Read 34-25-1 and failed in the Senate 14-11-5.

[SB1376 codes; ordinance; use of refrigerants](#) (Gray) will help accelerate the phase out of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) by limiting local government's ability to prohibit the HFC alternatives. This means a simple change in some local building codes to ensure that the replacement refrigerants are allowed. HFCs are big contributors to climate change; they have significantly more global warming potential than carbon dioxide.

Sierra Club supported this bill.

Points: Yes 3, No 0

This passed out of the House on Third Read 56-1-3 and in the Senate 28-0-2. It was signed by the Governor.



[SB1740 water infrastructure financing; supply; augmentation](#) (Kerr) establishes a Long-Term Water Augmentation Committee and Fund. The \$1 billion in this fund can only be used for funding water supply development projects that import water from outside of Arizona, such as the desalination project the governor is pursuing with Mexico. Funds can also be used for the infrastructure to deliver that water in Arizona and to guarantee debt obligations incurred to finance or refinance water supply development projects in Arizona. This fund is the major focus of the bill and the one that will receive a billion dollars over three years.

The bill expands eligibility for water supply development loans, grants and bonds to eligible entities, rather than only to water providers for the Water Supply Development Revolving Fund. It caps loans for this program at \$3 million and grants at \$2 million. Eligible entities include any water provider, including private entities, and cities, towns, counties, etc.

SB1740 establishes a Water Conservation Grant Committee and Fund and appropriates \$200 million for it. The dollars must go to voluntary water conservation programs or projects that will result in long-term sustainable reductions in water use and improvements in water use efficiency and reliability. Project can focus on education and research to reduce water consumption, efficiency or reuse; rainwater harvesting and gray water use; groundwater recharge, groundwater conservation and improved surface flows, groundwater storage and recovery, etc. Only cities, towns, counties, and other public entities or nongovernmental entities that partner with them are eligible for these funds.

There is a positive provision that requires the Arizona Department of Water resources to require a water supply and demand assessment for at least six groundwater basins annually, which means it will take more than eight years to assess them all but it is at least a start.

The Water Infrastructure Finance Authority is re-established as a separate entity in this bill and has oversight for the funding. While this is better than the big unaccountable agency the governor had planned, it still could use some important sideboards, especially relative to how to prioritize funding and requiring an environmental impact analysis for all projects.

Climate change is affecting precipitation and the amount and timing of river flows and pretty much every aspect of our daily lives. Both groundwater and surface water resources are already over-allocated. Climate change is exacerbating that. That is why Sierra Club asked the Arizona Legislature and Governor Ducey to focus on more sustainable water policies; ones that limit groundwater pumping throughout Arizona and especially outside active management areas and in areas where it is affecting the flows in our rivers. Rather than merely pursuing importing water from outside Arizona, they should have examined the sustainability of the current growth and development patterns, the current agricultural practices, and the opportunities for deeper conservation such as reclamation and treatment of wastewater flows. Water law must be amended to provide for ecological flows in Arizona's watercourses that will support biological diversity, which currently is gravely threatened by climate change and existing laws that ignore the needs of riparian ecosystems. None of this was addressed or elsewhere and while Sierra Club appreciates some conservation being included, it does not change the fact that the bill is focused on augmentation and continuing growth and agriculture business as usual..

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 3

This passed out of the House on Third Read 48-1-11 and in the Senate 25-1-4. It was signed by the Governor.

[HB2055 Harquahala non-expansion area; groundwater transportation](#) (Griffin: Bowers) would have allowed private water companies such as EPCOR to pump groundwater in the Harquahala Valley and import it into the active management areas (AMAs) for development. Currently only cities can do that. This would have exacerbated creation of this groundwater depletion sacrifice zone and given private water interests more control of water. The legislature should be placing additional limits on groundwater pumping not facilitating more as this bill would have done.

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 3

This passed out of the House on Third Read 32-26-1-1 and was never brought to the Senate floor due to lack of votes, so it did not pass. This will likely be back next session.



[HB2101 electric energy; reliability; public policy](#) (Griffin: Abraham, Blackman, et al.) repealed provisions in the law that created parameters for retail competition of electricity. Sierra Club opposed the bill due to concerns about how it will affect community choice aggregation of electricity overall and rooftop solar in Salt River Project (SRP) territory. SRP brought this to the legislature without any kind of stakeholder process or thorough review of the impacts of the bill, but prevailed on it nonetheless.

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 4

This passed out of the House on Third Read 37-21-1-1 and in the Senate 17-11-2. It was signed by the Governor.



[HB2181 Now: game and fish; taking wolves](#) (Cook) forbids the Arizona Game and Fish Commission from prohibiting any person from killing a wolf that is actively threatening or attacking a person (unnecessary as anyone can kill an animal if they feel threatened), livestock, or other domestic animal. While these provisions can't dictate what happens with Mexican gray wolves as these wolves are endangered and US Fish and Wildlife sets the policies for them, these provisions send a bad and confusing message about killing wolves and could invite more baiting of wolves with livestock.

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 4

This passed out of the House on Third Read 36-23-1 and in the Senate 17-9-4. It was signed by the Governor.

[HB2243 voter registration; state residency; cancellation](#) (Hoffman: Barton, Biasiucci, et al.) requires voter registration forms to include a statement that if the registrant permanently moves to another state after registering to vote in Arizona, the registration will be canceled. It also changes the county recorder's process for canceling voter registrations, which can result in disqualifying voters inappropriately.

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 4

This passed out of the House on Final Read 31-27-2 and in the Senate on Third Read 16-12-2. It was signed by the Governor.



[HB2317 Now: revenue distribution; border security](#) (Kavanaugh: Chaplik, Griffin, et al.) appropriates \$335 million from the transaction privilege tax (TPT) revenues to the Border Security Fund to construct and maintain a physical border fence/wall and related activities. This is ineffective and unnecessary and causes great harm to people and animals alike.

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 3

This passed out of the House on Final Read 31-27-2 and in the Senate on Third Read 16-12-2. It was signed by the Governor.

[HB2411 coal combustion residuals program](#) (Griffin) authorizes the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) to seek authorization from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for implementation of the coal ash program. Coal ash is toxic and can result in contamination of our waters if not adequately regulated. ADEQ is far too cozy with the utilities

to properly enforce this program, plus ADEQ is already failing to implement aspects of clean water programs.

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 4

This passed out of the House on Third Read 31-28-1 and in the Senate 16-12-2. It was signed by the Governor.



[HB2492 voter registration; verification; citizenship](#) (Hoffman: Blackman, Carter, et al.) requires people who register to vote with a passport, birth certificate, naturalization certificate, or a tribal ID to submit additional documents proving residency. It also includes new restrictions for federal-only voters, including that they may not vote by mail, and it requires those who have previously registered to vote to provide additional identification. This bill is being challenged by the federal government.

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 3

This passed out of the House on Third Read 31-26-3 and in the Senate on Third Read 16-12-2. It was signed by the Governor.

[HB2858 capital outlay; appropriations; 2022-2023](#) (Cobb) funds a number of projects, including \$25 million for the Arizona Department of Transportation to conduct a tier 2 study for Interstate 11, a particularly harmful freeway project. This provision was originally included in [HB2728](#).

Sierra Club opposed this bill.

Points: Yes 0, No 3

This passed out of the House on Third Read 48-11-1 and in the Senate on Third Read 19-9-2. It was signed by the Governor.

[HCR2015 initiatives; supermajority vote; requirement](#) (Dunn; Biasiucci, Bolick) refers to the ballot a measure that if passed by the voters would amend the Arizona Constitution to require a 60 percent approval for any ballot measure – statutory or constitutional – that includes a tax. This will make it extremely difficult to pass measures to fund important programs that the Arizona Legislature ignores.

Sierra Club opposed this referral.

Points: Yes 0, No 3

This passed out of the House on Third Read 31-27-2 and in the Senate 16-12-2 and will appear on the 2024 General Election ballot.

[HCR2024 30x30 land program; opposing](#) (Griffin) is a resolution that expresses the legislature's opposition to the Biden Administration's 30x30 program, which seeks to protect 30% of our land and water in the coming decade by 2030. The bill also opposes other efforts to protect public lands from development, including protections as wilderness, conservation lands, etc.

Sierra Club opposed this resolution.

Points: Yes 0, No 1

This passed out of the House on Third Read 31-27-2 and failed in the Senate on Third Read 13-15-2. Note that Senator Kerr switched her vote to no for purposes of reconsideration, but the measure was not brought up again.



[HCR2038 supporting proper forest management](#) (Griffin) is a resolution that contains revisionist history regarding the history of national forests. It fails to recognize the impacts of commercial logging on forest health – most of the larger trees were logged in Arizona, leaving more opportunities for the dense growth of smaller trees – fire suppression, and livestock grazing. There is no mention of climate change. It seeks to repeat the mistakes of the past that have contributed to unhealthy forests.

Sierra Club opposed this resolution.

Points: Yes 0, No 1

This passed out of the House on Third Read 31-28-1 and failed in the Senate on Third Read Reconsideration 15-14-1.

Resources

For more information on the legislation contained in this report card or on other bills, please go to <http://www.azleg.gov/bills>.

The Arizona Legislature's main website is <http://www.azleg.gov>. For a complete list of Arizona legislators, go to <https://www.azleg.gov/MemberRoster/>.

If you do not have access to the Internet and would like more information, you can call the House and Senate information desks. Outside the Phoenix area, you can call toll free at 1-800-352-8404. In the Phoenix area, call 602-926-3559 (Senate) or 602-926-4221 (House). All correspondence should be sent to 1700 W. Washington Street, Phoenix, AZ 85007-2890.

The governor's website is <http://azgovernor.gov>. You can call his office at 602-542-4331 or toll free at 1-800-253-0883. To email him, go to <http://azgovernor.gov/governor/form/contact-governor-ducey> and type or paste in your message.

For more information on Sierra Club's Grand Canyon Chapter and our conservation and legislative programs, please visit our website at <http://www.sierraclub.org/arizona> or call our office at 602-253-8633.

You can find out more information about some of the bills we tracked this session by viewing our legislative tracker at <https://www.sierraclub.org/arizona/legislative-tracker> and reading this year's legislative [updates](#). You can also sign up for legislative updates at <https://bit.ly/2IYIBf8>.

To view past Environmental Report Cards, go to <http://www.sierraclub.org/arizona/legislative-archive>.

For information on how to get involved in Sierra Club's legislative work in Arizona, please contact Sandy Bahr at 602-253-8633 or sandy.bahr@sierraclub.org.

Appendix A - 2022 Environmental Report Card Governor Actions

Member	HB2101 (electric energy; reliability; public policy)	HB2181 (NOW: game and fish; taking wolves)	HB2243 (voter registration; taking residency; cancellation)	HB2317 (Now: revenue distribution; border security)	HB2411 (coal combustion residuals program)	HB2492 (voter registration; verification; citizenship)	HB2858 (capital outlay; verification; 2022-2023)	SB1246 (school buses; appropriations; contracts)	SB1376 (codes; ordinances; electrification; refrigerants)	SB1740 (water infrastructure financing; supply; augmentation)	Total	Grade
Governor Doug Ducey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	5	F

Appendix B - 2022 Environmental Report Card Senate Votes

Member	SB1094 (petition signatures; description; invalidity)	SB1151 (NOW: charging station; pilot program)	SB1152 (zero emission vehicles; pilot committee)	SB1154 (transportation electrification study contracts)	SB1246 (school buses; electrification; contracts)	SB1270 (state parks; electrification; contracts)	SB1322 (TPT; lottery; heritage fund)	SB1376 (codes; hydrogen; exemption) refrigerants)	SB1740 (water infrastructure financing; supply; augmentation)	HB2101 (electric energy; reliability; public policy)	HB2181 (NOW: game and fish; public cancellation)	HB2243 (voter registration; state residency; security)	HB2317 (Now: revenue distribution; border citizenship)	HB2411 (coal combustion residuals program)	HB2492 (voter registration; verification; 2023)	HB2858 (capital outlay; appropriations; 2022-requirement)	HCR2015 (initiatives; supermajority vote; 2022-requirement)	HCR2024 (30x30 land program; opposing; management)	HCR2038 (supporting proper forest management)	Total	Grade	
Quezada, Martín (LD 29)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	1	1	47	A	
Stahl Hamilton, Stephanie (LD 10)	2	NV	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	1	1	45	A	
Otondo, Lisa (LD 4)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	44	A	
Marsh, Christine (LD 28)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	NV	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	1	1	43	A	
Terán, Raquel (LD 30)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	4	NV	4	3	4	3	3	3	1	1	43	A	
Gabaldón, Rosanna (LD 2)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	0	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	NV	1	42	A	
Bowie, Sean (LD 18)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	4	0	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	40	A	
Contreras, Lupe (LD 19)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	0	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	40	A	
Hatathlie, Theresa (LD 7)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	4	0	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	40	A	
Steele, Victoria (LD 9)	2	2	NV	NV	2	2	2	3	0	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	40	A	
Rios, Rebecca (LD 27)	NV	2	2	2	NV	2	NV	3	NV	0	0	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	30	B	
Gonzales, Sally Ann (LD 3)	2	2	2	2	NV	2	NV	3	NV	4	4	NV	NV	NV	3	NV	NV	1	1	26	C	
Alston, Lela (LD 24)	2	2	2	2	NV	2	NV	3	NV	0	4	NV	NV	4	NV	NV	NV	1	1	23	C	
Boyer, Paul (LD 20)	0	NV	2	2	2	2	0	3	0	4	NV	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	D	
Shope, Thomas (LD 8)	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	D	
Pace, Tyler (LD 25)	0	2	2	2	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	14	D	
Barto, Nancy (LD 15)	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	D	
Petersen, Warren (LD 12)	0	0	0	0	NV	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	D	
Rogers, Wendy (LD 6)	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	D	
Borrelli, Sonny (LD 5)	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	F	
Fann, Karen (LD 1)	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	F	
Ugenti-Rita, Michelle (LD 23)	0	0	0	0	NV	2	NV	NV	3	0	NV	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	9	F	
Gowan, David (LD 14)	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	F	
Kerr, Sine (LD 13)	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	F	
Gray, Rick (LD 21)	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	F	
Leach, Vince (LD 11)	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	F	
Livingston, David (LD 22)	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	F	
Townsend, Kelly (LD 16)	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	F	
Mesnard, J.D. (LD 17)	0	0	0	0	2	NV	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F	
Mendez, Juan (LD 26)	NV	NV	2	2	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	4	3	NV	NV	3	3	NV	NV	17	I

A+ 50 A 49-40 B 39-30 C 29-20 D 19-10 F 9-0

Missed votes equal 0 and are indicated by NV.
I - indicates incomplete due to missed votes for child care.

Appendix C - 2022 Environmental Report Card House Votes

Member	SB1246 school buses; electrification; contracts	SB1322 (TPT); use tax; hydrogen; exemption)	SB1376 (codes; ordinances; use of refrigerants)	SB1740 (water infrastructure financing; supply; augmentation)	HB2055 (Harquahala non-expansion; groundwater transportation)	HB2101 (electric energy; reliability; policy)	HB2181 (NOW: game and fish; taking wolves)	HB2243 (voter registration; public residency; cancellation)	HB2317 (Now: revenue distribution; border security)	HB2411 (coal combustion distribution; program)	HB2492 (voter registration; residuals citizenship)	HB2858 (capital outlay; verification; 2022-2023)	HCR2015 (initiatives; appropriations; requirement)	HCR2024 (30x30 land program; supermajority vote; management)	HCR2038 (supporting proper forest management)	Total	Grade
Epstein, Mitzi (LD 18)	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	40	A
Butler, Kelli (LD 28)	2	2	3	NV	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	37	A
DeGrazia, Domingo (LD 10)	2	2	3	0	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	37	A
Liguori, Sarah (LD 28)	2	2	3	0	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	37	A
Powers Hannley, Pamela (LD 9)	2	2	3	NV	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	37	A
Schwiebert, Judy (LD 20)	2	2	3	0	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	37	A
Solorio, Christian (LD 30)	2	2	3	0	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	37	A
Jermaine, Jennifer (LD 18)	2	2	3	0	E	E	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	30	A*
Longdon, Jennifer L. (LD 24)	2	2	3	0	0	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	34	B
Shah, Amish (LD 24)	2	2	3	0	0	4	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	34	B
Abraham, Morgan (LD10)	2	2	3	NV	3	0	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	33	B
Andrade, Richard C. (LD29)	2	2	3	0	3	0	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	33	B
Cano, Andrés (LD 3)	2	2	3	0	3	4	0	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	33	B
Mathis, Christopher (LD 9)	2	2	3	0	3	0	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	33	B
Pawlik, Jennifer (LD 17)	2	2	3	0	3	0	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	33	B
Quiñonez, Marcelino (LD 27)	2	2	3	0	3	0	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	33	B
Hernandez, Melody (LD 26)	2	2	3	NV	3	4	4	4	NV	4	3	0	NV	1	1	31	B
Espinoza, Diego (LD 19)	2	2	3	0	0	0	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	30	B
Bolding, Reginald (LD27)	2	2	NV	0	3	4	4	4	3	4	NV	0	3	NV	1	30	B
Dalessandro, Andrea (LD 2)	NV	NV	3	0	3	0	4	4	3	4	3	NV	3	1	1	29	B
Fernandez, Brian (LD 4)	2	2	3	0	3	0	0	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	29	B
Tsosie, Myron (LD 7)	2	2	3	NV	3	0	0	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	29	B
Hernandez, Jr., Daniel (LD 2)	NV	0	3	0	0	0	4	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	26	C
Meza, Robert (LD 30)	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	26	C
Sierra, Lorenzo (LD 19)	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	26	C
Blackwater-Nygren, Jasmine (LD7)	2	2	3	0	3	0	0	4	3	4	NV	0	3	1	1	26	C
Chávez, César (LD 29)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	3	0	3	1	1	24	C
Bolick, Shawna (LD20)	0	0	3	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	14	D
Hernandez, Alma (LD 3)	2	0	3	NV	0	0	0	NV	NV	4	3	0	NV	1	1	14	D
Finchem, Mark W. (LD 11)	0	0	3	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	13	D

*Two votes were excused so total is relative.
I - indicates incomplete due to missed votes for child care.

Appendix C - 2022 Environmental Report Card House Votes

Member	SB1246 school buses; electrification; contracts	SB1322 (TPT); use tax; hydrogen; exemption)	SB1376 (codes; ordinances; use of refrigerants)	SB1740 (water infrastructure financing; supply; augmentation)	HB2055 (Harquahala non-expansion; groundwater transportation)	HB2101 (electric energy; reliability; policy)	HB2181 (NOW: game and fish; public wolves)	HB2243 (voter registration; public residency; cancellation)	HB2317 (Now: revenue distribution; border security)	HB2411 (coal combustion distribution; program)	HB2492 (voter registration; citizenship)	HB2858 (capital outlay; verification; 2022-2023)	HCR2015 (initiatives; appropriations; requirement)	HCR2024 (30x30 land program; supermajority vote)	HCR2038 (supporting proper forest management)	Total	Grade
Kavanagh, John (LD 23)	2	0	3	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	D
Burges, Judy M. (LD 1)	0	0	3	NV	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	D
Carter, Neal (LD 8)	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	D
Grantham, Travis (LD 12)	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	D
Parker, Jacqueline (LD 16)	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	D
Pingerelli, Beverly (LD 21)	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	D
Chaplik, Joseph (LD 23)	0	0	3	NV	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	D
Fillmore, John M. (LD 16)	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	9	F
Barton, Brenda (LD6)	2	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	F
Cobb, Regina E. (LD 5)	2	0	3	NV	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	F
Hoffman, Jake (LD 12)	0	0	NV	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	7	F
Nguyen, Quang H. (LD 1)	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	F
Biasiucci, Leo (LD5)	0	0	3	NV	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	F
Payne, Kevin (LD 21)	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	F
Bowers, Russell "Rusty" (LD 25)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
Carroll, Frank P (LD 22)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
Dunn, Tim (LD 13)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
John, Joel (LD 4)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
Kaiser, Steve (LD 15)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
Martinez, Teresa (LD 11)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
Osborne, Joanne (LD 13)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
Toma, Ben (LD 22)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
Udall, Michelle (LD 25)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
Wilmeth, Justin (LD 15)	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	F
Blackman, Walter (LD6)	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	F
Diaz, Lupe (LD 14)	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	F
Griffin, Gail (LD 14)	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	F
Weninger, Jeff (LD 17)	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	F
Cook, David L. (LD 8)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	F
Salman, Athena (LD 26)	NV	2	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	3	NV	NV	3	3	NV	NV	11	I

*Two votes were excused so total is relative.
I - indicates incomplete due to missed votes for child care.

A+ 43 A 42-36 B 35-27 C 26-19 D 17-9 F 9-0

Missed votes equal 0 and are indicated by NV.



Sierra Club Mission

“To explore, enjoy, and protect the wild places of the earth; to practice and promote the responsible use of earth’s ecosystems and resources; to educate and enlist humanity to protect and restore the quality of the natural and human environment; and to use all lawful means to carry out these objectives.”