

Bruce Fergusson

02/20/03 09:05 AM

To: John Thorne <jthorne@capitolink.com>

cc:

Subject: RE: Comments on slides for NPPC meeting

John, I believe Tim is giving you his powerpoint presentation materials this morning in Kansas City so they can be incorporated in to all the rest of the conference materials. I will be using the same slides in Washington on Monday, so please include them in Monday's stuff as well. Also, do you know approximately when I'll be presenting Monday? Thanks.

John Thorne <jthorne@capitolink.com>



John Thorne
<jthorne@capitolink.com>

02/19/03 09:25 PM

To: Randy Waite/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen
Flournoy/RGAD/R7/USEPA/US@EPA

cc: Robin Dunkins/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Michele
Laur/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Penny

Lassiter/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Bill

Schrock/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA, Sanda

Howland/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Tim Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,

Bruce Fergusson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: RE: Comments on slides for NPPC meeting

I've made your edits and will meet with Karen in the morning before the meeting to insert any additional edits she has. Thanks for your comments Randy. John

-----Original Message-----

From: Waite.Randy@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Waite.Randy@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2003 11:35 AM

To: jthorne@capitolink.com; Flournoy.Karen@epamail.epa.gov

Cc: Dunkins.Robin@epamail.epa.gov; Laur.Michele@epamail.epa.gov;

Lassiter.Penny@epamail.epa.gov; Schrock.Bill@epamail.epa.gov;

Howland.Sanda@epamail.epa.gov; Jones.Tim@epamail.epa.gov;

Fergusson.Bruce@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Comments on slides for NPPC meeting

John,

My thoughts and comments (including input from OECA) on the Air Policy slides:

Slide 2, fourth bullet: Change to "This monitoring study/safe harbor will produce the data needed to better determine who is and who is not subject to CERCLA and CAA requirements."

Slide 4, first bullet: "EPA will use the data to clarify the size/type of farm that will likely trigger CAA and CERCLA reporting requirements."

Final slide: Good summary of reasons; however, I would like to ensure that we emphasize the non-regulatory reasons for doing this as well (either here or by someone else). We need to start getting across the idea that farms are going to continue to be vulnerable to citizen suits and this data will go a long way in helping us, in partnership, to find solutions to some of those issues, making them less vulnerable in the long run. With good information, we can solve problems. With no

information, we leave the door open to outside scare tactics.

Others here may have some additional comments, but I wanted to get these to you as soon as possible. Thank you for putting these together. They helped me to understand what messages you wished to have conveyed to the group.

Randy Waite
919-541-5447