Justice Delayed at the Dunes



It's hard to imagine that a single photo could sum up all the problems that have arisen over the decades in which cars have been allowed to drive through the Oceano Dunes; a photo that could symbolize everything wrong with that long-ago, antiquated, environmentally disastrous decision that has plagued one of the world's rarest and most fragile ecosystems and its neighbors ever since.

But there is such a photo. It was printed in the August 10 edition of *The Tribune* along with the news that the California Coastal Commission will appeal the county court ruling blocking its order to remove off-road vehicles from the dunes by January 2024. (We can hope the appellate court will display a better understanding of the Commission's authority and the way Coastal Development Permits and Local Coastal Programs work.)

It's a photo of tire tracks on the beach, in which rest a multitude of burst and shattered Pismo clams, stretching off to the horizon.

It's the photo that the off-road lobby and the California Department of Parks and Recreation need to answer but can't. The usual pablum touting the notion that environmentally sensitive off-roading is possible in the dunes won't cut it. In no universe can it be claimed that signage, brochures at the entry kiosk, speed

limits, or polite requests from park rangers could result in off-road vehicles *driving around the clams* or deter the drivers who run over them deliberately because they like the popping sound.

Nor will pointing at the designated snowy plover nesting areas the birds are restricted to, except that nobody told the plovers. (At the height of the Covid-19 pandemic, with no cars to brush them back, the plovers immediately expanded their nesting area, and State Parks got caught ordering that the birds be harassed back into their designated spaces -- a straight-up violation of the Endangered Species Act.)



Bonita Ernst

Shortly after the court ruling, an online newsletter for motorcycle enthusiasts claimed that "the judge who put a stop to the ban was presented with factual evidence that motorized vehicle usage in the tiny area is not negatively affecting the birds or causing new erosion," pretending the off-roaders won the case on the evidence. They didn't. The judge deliberately did not "reach the merits of the case," instead issuing a narrow ruling on administrative procedure. (This did not, however, stop the judge from delivering a scathing opinion of the "evidence" offered by the litigants to support their arguments that the Coastal Commission does not have jurisdiction in a State Park, or that off-roaders have a "vested right" to the Oceano Dunes.)

The motorcycle newsletter also said the quiet part out loud, giving credit for the courtroom win to the Fresno-based Friends of Oceano Dunes, but going on to state that "standing behind the non-profit was the powerhouse of Specialty Equipment Market Association (SEMA), as well as EcoLogic Partners, which fronts a collective of entities including the Off-Road Business Association (ORBA), American Sand Association (ASA) and American Motorcyclist Association (AMA) District 37."

Powerful economic special interests triumph over environment was probably not the look their colleagues were going for.

But really, the clams in the tire tracks say it all. In 2021, after forty years of trying to find a workable compromise between the desire to engage in automotive recreation in an Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area and their obligation to protect that area, the Coastal Commission had to admit that there is no such compromise. You can't drive 4x4's through an

Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area and pretend that any amount of regulation or mitigation can undo that damage.

The Commission did its duty to protect those resources, and has continued to do so by appealing the verdict of the SLO County Court.

It did so under fire. In a highly unusual action, on the day the Coastal Commission met to consider future action, an attorney for the off-roaders attempted to force the Commission to immediately implement the court's ruling and vacate the Commission's amendments to the Oceano Dunes Coastal Development Permit, thereby forfeiting its right to appeal. Arrogance was a bad plan. The Commission promptly recorded a unanimous vote to appeal and immediately filed notice with the court.

With that in mind, we have a suggestion for the South County Chambers of Commerce: Keep <u>charting a path</u> toward a future of healthier, environmentally responsible uses of the Oceano



Dunes. The Stewardship Study you released in June was (mostly) on the right track in its recommendations for "new experiences within and around the Dunes that can be developed to provide diverse activities for visitors and residents to enjoy on the Dunes while also increasing visitor contributions to local economies.... Some examples of new experiences could include historical walking/biking/OHV sightseeing tours of historical sites, culinary and native plant engagement workshops, and overnight star knowledge experiences."

Just delete three letters from that plan and you'll be all set.