

SIERRA CLUB
CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE

2026 Legislative Scorecard

A Year of Progress. A Continued Fight for California's Environment.

Table of Contents

Authors & Acknowledgements 2

2026: Inching Back to Normalcy 3

Priority Bill Summaries 4

Legislative Performance 6

Champions 6

Governor 8

Scores 10

Authors & Acknowledgements

The 2026 Legislative Scorecard was developed by Sierra Club California staff to evaluate the environmental performance of the California Legislature and Governor during the 2026 legislative year.

This report reflects the collective work of Sierra Club California's policy, advocacy, communications, and legislative teams, whose efforts throughout the year helped advance environmental protections, defend public participation, and hold California's elected officials accountable.

We extend our appreciation to Sierra Club's California chapters, volunteer leaders, legislative committees, and coalition partners for their continued advocacy, expertise, and commitment to protecting California's environment and communities.

Asha Sharma
Deputy Director
Sierra Club California

Jakob Evans
Senior Policy Strategist
Sierra Club California

Gabriela Facio
Senior Policy & Legislative Strategist
Sierra Club California

Mercedes Macias
Senior Policy Strategist
Sierra Club California

Miguel Miguel
Director
Sierra Club California

Michael Blenner
Communications and Digital Manager
Sierra Club California

Destiny Villareal
Digital and Social Media Strategist
Sierra Club California

About Sierra Club California

Sierra Club California is the statewide legislative and regulatory advocacy arm of the California chapters. We work to advance policies that protect clean air and water, address the climate crisis, safeguard public lands and wildlife, promote environmental justice, and strengthen public participation in environmental decision-making.

Through legislative advocacy, regulatory engagement, and collaboration with chapters and community partners, Sierra Club California works to ensure that California's environmental commitments translate into meaningful and lasting protections.

Note on the Scorecard

The scores and evaluations presented in this report reflect Sierra Club California's positions on 10 selected environmental bills and the recorded actions of California's elected officials on them during the 2026 legislative year. Scores are intended to provide a transparent assessment of alignment with Sierra Club California's environmental priorities and do not represent an evaluation of every action taken by an individual legislator or the Governor.



2026: Inching Back to Normalcy

For 40 years, Sierra Club California has served as a leading voice for environmental protection in the State Capitol, advocating for policies that safeguard our communities and advance a healthier, more sustainable California. The 2026 legislative year was a marked improvement from 2025. New environmental champions emerged in the Legislature, and legislators put forward a suite of bills to start regulating the environmental and ratepayer impacts of data centers, incentivize the electrification of buildings and homes, protect California coasts from oil and gas drilling, and support equitable access to the outdoors. There were far fewer rollbacks to environmental protections this year, including rumored behind-closed-door big business negotiations that failed to bear fruit, like the sweetheart deal for a luxury coastal developer or the California Forever project.

However, this session was not without its drawbacks. The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) has been continually attacked. Last year's SB 131, which removed CEQA's requirements for many manufacturing plants, was ultimately not cleaned up as previously promised by legislative leadership. Major industry-backed rollbacks by the California Air Resources Board to the state Cap-and-Invest program meant many environmental programs were underfunded this year. And Governor Newsom continued his poor track record on environmental issues at the end of his tenure.

Priority Bill Summaries

Sierra Club California's policy team selects the bills that are scored from our overall list of priority bills. The selection process includes an evaluation of the bill's impact on Sierra Club California's mission and the environment and represents the breadth of issue areas that Sierra Club California advocates on. **This year, we scored 10 total bills:** 8 bills that we supported and 2 that we opposed. When a bill returned to its house of origin for a concurrence vote, we used that vote.

AB 2313 (Berman) Accelerating Equitable Building Electrification – SUPPORT

This bill will streamline building electrification efforts by incentivizing gas customers to transition from fossil gas infrastructure to zero-emission electric alternatives, with a focus on disadvantaged communities.

SB 222 (Wiener) Streamlining Home Electrification – SUPPORT

This bill will accelerate the transition to residential electrification and reduce climate and air pollution impacts by removing local permitting, cost, and regulatory barriers to installing heat pump technologies in homes.

AB 1448 (Hart) Protecting Coastal Lands from Oil & Gas Development - SUPPORT

This bill will strengthen safeguards for California's coast by increasing oversight of existing offshore oil operations and preventing the use of state infrastructure to facilitate new federal offshore oil and gas development and infrastructure.

SB 954 (Blakespear) Cleaning up CEQA Exemption for Overbroad "Advanced Manufacturing" Projects - SUPPORT

This bill would have addressed the environmental and public health rollbacks enacted under SB 131 (2025), and taken critical steps toward fixing the "advanced manufacturing" CEQA exemption loophole.

SB 1370 (Stern) Exempting Wildfire Mitigation Projects From Nearly All Environmental Laws and Regulations – OPPOSE

This bill will jeopardize public and ecosystem health by exempting wildfire mitigation projects up to 3,000 acres in size from a wide range of environmental laws and regulations under CNRA and CalEPA's purview.

AB 2218 (Kalra) Protecting Tribal Water Rights – SUPPORT

This bill would have addressed the historical injustices experienced by California Native Tribes by establishing a policy to increase tribal water rights.

AB 2494 (Rogers) Redefining Forest Management – SUPPORT

This bill would have required state demonstration forests to demonstrate biodiversity conservation and wildfire resilience, while supporting durable onsite carbon sequestration, climate mitigation and resiliency goals, equitable forest access, wildlife habitat, recreation, and research efforts.

AB 40 (Bonta) Community First Coal Review Act – SUPPORT

This bill will ensure that proposed large-scale coal facilities receive full environmental review – an important step towards protecting community health and the environment.

SB 1268 (Gonzalez) Expanding Outdoor Public Recreation Spaces – SUPPORT

This bill will establish an initiative to advance equitable access to outdoor public recreation spaces, and align these goals with the state's outdoor equity goals and 30x30 goal to ensure conservation strategies enhance public access and benefits for disadvantaged and low-income communities.

SB 811 (Caballero) Industry Loopholes for Metal Shredding Facilities - OPPOSE

This bill will create an unnecessary carve out permitting structure to California's established hazardous waste laws for metal shredding facilities.

Strong Environmental Champions in the Legislature and New Leadership in the Senate Are Big Boons for the Environment

Out of Sierra Club California's **28 priority support bills**, 15, or a little more than half, were passed out of the Legislature. **Seventeen of our 21 priority opposition bills** met their end in the Legislature, or Sierra Club California moved to neutral after significant amendments.

Many of Sierra Club California's support bills died in the Assembly, whose leadership continues to be much more moderate on environmental issues than the Senate. Meanwhile, the Senate, with new, strong environmental leadership from Pro Tem Limón, Appropriations Chair Cervantes and Budget Chair Laird, killed many of our priority opposition bills, while supporting many of our priority support bills.

Our Environmental Champions in this year's Scorecard in the Assembly are Assemblymembers Bonta and Hart, who both performed strongly in our scorecard and authored priority support bills – AB 40 (Bonta), to ensure large coal facilities receive environmental review, and AB 1448 (Hart) to protect California coasts from oil and gas drilling.

2026 ASSEMBLY CHAMPIONS



Mia Bonta
Assemblymember · District 18



Gregg Hart
Assemblymember · District 37

In the Senate, our environmental champions are Senators Blakespear and Menjivar. Senator Blakespear performed well in our scorecard, and used her power as Senate Environmental Quality Committee Chair to stop multiple harmful environmental bills that would have weakened air quality protections and public health guardrails. Blakespear also authored two priority support bills to better protect the environment and worker health: SB 954 and SB 1259. Meanwhile, Senator Menjivar received one of the highest scores in the Senate, and authored a Sierra Club California priority bill - SB 1125 - which would have created a statewide water affordability program.

2026 SENATE CHAMPIONS



Caroline Menjivar
Senator · District 20



Catherine Blakespear
Senator · District 37

Legislators who received a perfect score include Assemblymembers Garcia, Haney, Hart, Lee, Pellerin, Schultz, Ward, Rogers and Zbur. No Senators received a perfect score, largely because of the high number of Senators who voted "yes" on SB 1370 (Stern and Becker) - a priority opposition bill.

Assemblymembers who received an "A" include Assemblymembers Addis, Alvarez, Bauer-Kahan, Bennett, Berman, Boerner, Bonta, Bryan, Connolly, Elhawary, Fong, Gabriel, Kalra, Muratsuchi, Ortega, and Celeste Rodriguez. Senators who received an "A" include Senators Arreguin, McNerney, Menjivar, and Wiener.

Democrats in the Senate had the same average and median scores overall as Democrats in the Assembly.

A Governor Unwilling to Exercise Bold Leadership

2026 was Governor Newsom's last year as California's governor, which meant this was his last opportunity to sign and veto bills. **The 10 bills we scored all made it to Newsom's desk.** Of these bills, he signed five that we supported, vetoed three that we supported, and signed two that we opposed. Therefore, **Newsom earned a failing grade of 50 percent.**

As Newsom angles himself for a likely 2028 presidential run, his environmental record in the 2026 legislative year continued to slip. Newsom's veto of three priority support bills that were scored – SB 954 (Blakespear), AB 2218 (Kalra) and AB 2494 (Rogers) – exposes frontline communities to increased risk from industrial pollution, excludes tribes from important decision-making tables, and uplifts extractive management of state forests.

While these vetoes dragged down Newsom's score this year, the Governor did take important actions aligned with Sierra Club California's positions that he deserves credit for. Specifically, Newsom protected Californians from reckless actions from the federal administration.

He signed AB 40 (Bonta) and AB 1448 (Hart), ensuring that communities in West Oakland and the Central Coast are better protected against polluting projects proposed by the Trump administration. While Newsom's environmental record this year left much to be desired, Sierra Club California is grateful that the Governor stood up to President Trump when his ire turned to California's environment.

How to read the scores

Each legislator's score represents the percentage of selected legislative actions that aligned with Sierra Club California's positions. The tables identify each legislator's name, district, political party, voting record on individual bills, and overall environmental score.
The scorecard uses the following symbols:

- ✓ (dark green): The legislator voted in agreement with Sierra Club California's position.
- × (red): The legislator voted contrary to Sierra Club California's position.
- ✓+ (light green): The legislator did not cast a vote, and the abstention was scored as aligned.
- ✓− (gold): The legislator did not cast a vote, and the abstention was scored as not aligned.
- ABS (gray): The legislator was recorded as absent for that vote.

Assembly

Assemblymember	District	Party	SB 1370	SB 811	SB 954	SB 1268	SB 222	AB 40	AB 2313	AB 2494	AB 1448	AB 2218	Score
Addis, Dawn	AD 30	D	✓+	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Aguiar-Curry, Cecilia	AD 4	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓–	✓	✓–	✓–	✓	50%
Ahrens, Patrick	AD 26	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Alanis, Juan	AD 22	R	✗	✓+	✗	✓	✓–	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓–	20%
Alvarez, David	AD 80	D	✓+	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓–	✓	✓	✓	90%
Arambula, Joaquin	AD 31	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓–	✓	✓	✓	80%
Avila Farias, Anamarie	AD 15	D	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓–	✗	✓	✗	✓	40%
Bains, Jasmeet	AD 35	D	✗	✗	✓–	✓	✓	✓	✓–	✓	✓–	✓–	40%
Bauer-Kahan, Rebecca	AD 16	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Bennett, Steve	AD 38	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Berman, Marc	AD 23	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Boerner, Tasha	AD 77	D	✓+	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Bonta, Mia	AD 18	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Bryan, Isaac	AD 55	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Calderon, Lisa	AD 56	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Caloza, Jessica	AD 52	D	✓+	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%

Assemblymember	District	Party	SB 1370	SB 811	SB 954	SB 1268	SB 222	AB 40	AB 2313	AB 2494	AB 1448	AB 2218	Score
Carrillo, Juan	AD 39	D	✗	✗	✓−	✓	✓	✓−	✓−	✓	✓−	✓	40%
Castillo, Leticia	AD 58	R	✗	✓	✓−	✓	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Chen, Phillip	AD 59	R	✓+	✗	✓−	✓	✓−	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Connolly, Damon	AD 12	D	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Davies, Laurie	AD 74	R	✓+	✗	✓−	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	✓−	20%
DeMaio, Carl	AD 75	R	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Dixon, Diane	AD 72	R	✗	✓+	✗	✓	✗	✓−	✗	✗	✓−	✓−	20%
Elhawary, Sade	AD 57	D	✓+	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Ellis, Stan	AD 32	R	✗	✓	✗	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	10%
Flora, Heath	AD 9	R	✓+	✗	✗	✓	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Fong, Mike	AD 49	D	✓+	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Gabriel, Jesse	AD 46	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Garcia, Robert	AD 50	D	✓+	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Gipson, Mike	AD 65	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓−	✓	✓−	✓	50%
Gonzalez, Jeff	AD 36	R	✗	✓+	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Gonzalez, Mark	AD 54	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓	✓	✓	70%

Assemblymember	District	Party	SB 1370	SB 811	SB 954	SB 1268	SB 222	AB 40	AB 2313	AB 2494	AB 1448	AB 2218	Score
Hadwick, Heather	AD 1	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	10%
Haney, Matt	AD 17	D	✓+	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Harabedian, John	AD 41	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Hart, Gregg	AD 37	D	✓+	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Hoover, Josh	AD 7	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Irwin, Jacqui	AD 42	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Jackson, Corey	AD 60	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Johnson, Natasha	AD 63	R	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	10%
Kalra, Ash	AD 25	D	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Krell, Maggy	AD 6	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Lackey, Tom	AD 34	R	✗	✓+	✗	✓	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Lee, Alex	AD 24	D	✓	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Lowenthal, Josh	AD 69	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Macedo, Alexandra "Ali"	AD 33	R	✗	✓+	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
McKinnor, Tina	AD 61	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	ABS	ABS	ABS	ABS	ABS	✓	80%
Muratsuchi, Al	AD 66	D	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%

Assemblymember	District	Party	SB 1370	SB 811	SB 954	SB 1268	SB 222	AB 40	AB 2313	AB 2494	AB 1448	AB 2218	Score
Nguyen, Stephanie	AD 10	D	✗	✓+	✓−	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓	✓−	✓	60%
Ortega, Liz	AD 20	D	✓+	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Pacheco, Blanca	AD 64	D	✗	✗	✓−	✓	✓	✓−	✓−	✓	✓−	✓−	30%
Papan, Diane	AD 21	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Patel, Darshana	AD 76	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓	80%
Patterson, Joe	AD 5	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	10%
Pellerin, Gail	AD 28	D	✓+	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Petrie-Norris, Cottie	AD 73	D	✗	✗	✓−	✓	✓−	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓	50%
Quirk-Silva, Sharon	AD 67	D	✗	✗	✓−	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	70%
Ramos, James	AD 45	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓	✓−	✓	60%
Ransom, Rhodesia	AD 13	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓	✓	✓	80%
Rivas, Robert	AD 29	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Rodriguez, Celeste	AD 43	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Rodriguez, Michelle	AD 53	D	✗	✗	✓−	✓	✓	✓−	✓−	✓	✓−	✓−	30%
Rogers, Chris	AD 2	D	✓+	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Rubio, Blanca	AD 48	D	✗	✗	✓−	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓	✓−	✓−	40%

Assemblymember	District	Party	SB 1370	SB 811	SB 954	SB 1268	SB 222	AB 40	AB 2313	AB 2494	AB 1448	AB 2218	Score
Sanchez, Kate	AD 71	R	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Schiavo, Pilar	AD 40	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓−	✓	✓−	✓	✓	✓	70%
Schultz, Nicholas "Nick"	AD 44	D	✓+	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Sharp-Collins, LaShae	AD 79	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓	✓	✓	80%
Solache, Jose	AD 62	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓−	✓	✓	✓	60%
Soria, Esmeralda	AD 27	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓−	✓	✓−	✓	50%
Stefani, Catherine	AD 19	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Ta, Tri	AD 70	R	✗	✓+	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	30%
Tangipa, David	AD 8	R	✗	✓+	✗	✓	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Valencia, Avelino	AD 68	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓	✓	✓	✓	70%
Wallis, Greg	AD 47	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Ward, Chris	AD 78	D	✓	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Wicks, Buffy	AD 14	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Wilson, Lori	AD 11	D	✗	✗	✓−	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	70%
Zbur, Rick	AD 51	D	✓+	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%

Senate

Senator	District	Party	SB 1370	SB 811	SB 954	SB 1268	SB 222	AB 40	AB 2313	AB 2494	AB 1448	AB 2218	Score
Allen, Benjamin "Ben"	SD 24	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Alvarado-Gil, Marie	SD 4	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Archuleta, Bob	SD 30	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Arreguin, Jesse	SD 7	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Ashby, Angelique	SD 8	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Becker, Josh	SD 13	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Blakespear, Catherine	SD 38	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Cabaldon, Christopher	SD 3	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓–	70%
Caballero, Anna	SD 14	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓–	70%
Cervantes, Sabrina	SD 31	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Choi, Steven "Steve"	SD 37	R	✗	✓+	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓–	30%
Cortese, Dave	SD 15	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Dahle, Megan	SD 1	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓–	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓–	10%
Durazo, Maria	SD 26	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓–	✓	✓	✓	70%
Gonzalez, Lena	SD 33	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Grayson, Timothy "Tim"	SD 9	D	✗	✗	✓–	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓–	60%

Senator	District	Party	SB 1370	SB 811	SB 954	SB 1268	SB 222	AB 40	AB 2313	AB 2494	AB 1448	AB 2218	Score
Grove, Shannon	SD 12	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	10%
Hurtado, Melissa	SD 16	D	✗	✗	✓−	✓	✓	✓	✓−	✓−	✗	✓−	30%
Jones, Brian	SD 40	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	10%
Laird, John	SD 17	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Limon, Monique	SD 21	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
McGuire, Mike	SD 2	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
McNerney, Jerry	SD 5	D	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Menjivar, Caroline	SD 20	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%
Niello, Roger	SD 6	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	10%
Ochoa Bogh, Rosilicie	SD 19	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓−	✗	✗	✓−	20%
Padilla, Stephen "Steve"	SD 18	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Perez, Sasha	SD 25	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Reyes, Eloise	SD 29	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Richardson, Laura	SD 35	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Rubio, Susan	SD 22	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Seyarto, Kelly	SD 32	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	10%

Senator	District	Party	SB 1370	SB 811	SB 954	SB 1268	SB 222	AB 40	AB 2313	AB 2494	AB 1448	AB 2218	Score
Smallwood-Cuevas, Lola	SD 28	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Stern, Henry	SD 27	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Strickland, Tony	SD 36	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓−	✓−	10%
Umberg, Thomas "Tom"	SD 34	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Valladares, Suzette	SD 23	R	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓−	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20%
Wahab, Aisha	SD 10	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Weber Pierson, Akilah	SD 39	D	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	80%
Wiener, Scott	SD 11	D	✗	✓+	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	90%

SIERRA CLUB
CALIFORNIA

Sierra Club California
909 12th Street, Suite 202
Sacramento, CA, 95814

sierraclub.org/california

@sierraclubcalifornia | @SierraClubCA | Sierra Club California | @sierraclubcalifornia