California College of the Arts

The following information was submitted through the STARS Reporting Tool.

Date Submitted: Feb. 23, 2015 STARS Version: 2.0

Table of Contents

3
3
7
7
17
25
25
38
49
49
58
62
65
70
77
88
98
105
109
109
124
130
135
139
139

The information presented in this submission is self-reported and has not been verified by AASHE or a third party. If you believe any of this information is erroneous, please see the process for inquiring about the information reported by an institution.

Institutional Characteristics

Institutional Characteristics

The passthrough subcategory for the boundary

Credit
Institutional Boundary
Operational Characteristics
Academics and Demographics

Institutional Boundary

Criteria

This won't display

Operational Characteristics

Criteria

n/a

Academics and Demographics

Criteria

n/a

Academics

Curriculum

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that have formal education programs and courses that address sustainability. One of the primary functions of colleges and universities is to educate students. By training and educating future leaders, scholars, workers, and professionals, higher education institutions are uniquely positioned to prepare students to understand and address sustainability challenges. Institutions that offer courses covering sustainability issues help equip their students to lead society to a sustainable future.

Credit
Academic Courses
Learning Outcomes
Undergraduate Program
Graduate Program
Immersive Experience
Sustainability Literacy Assessment
Incentives for Developing Courses
Campus as a Living Laboratory

Academic Courses

Criteria

Part 1

Institution offers sustainability courses and/or courses that include sustainability and makes an inventory of those courses publicly available.

Part 2

Institution's academic departments (or the equivalent) offer sustainability courses and/or courses that include sustainability.

In order to report and earn points for this credit, the institution must conduct a course inventory. The inventory should consist of two parts:

1) An inventory of sustainability courses that includes, at minimum, the title, department (or equivalent), and level of each course (i.e. undergraduate or graduate), as well as a brief description if the sustainability focus of the course is not apparent from its title

2) An inventory of other courses that include sustainability. The inventory includes, at minimum, the title, department (or the equivalent), and level of each course and a description of how sustainability is integrated into each course.

A course may be a sustainability course or it may include sustainability; no course should be identified as both:

• A sustainability course is a course in which the primary and explicit focus is on sustainability and/or on understanding or solving one or more major sustainability challenge (e.g. the course contributes toward achieving principles outlined in the Earth Charter).

• A course that includes sustainability is primarily focused on a topic other than sustainability, but incorporates a unit or module on sustainability or a sustainability challenge, includes one or more sustainability-focused activities, or integrates sustainability issues throughout the course.

For guidance on conducting a course inventory and distinguishing between sustainability courses and courses that include sustainability, see *Standards and Terms* and the Credit Example in the STARS Technical Manual. An institution that has developed a more refined approach to course classification may use that approach as long as it is consistent with the definitions and guidance provided.

Each institution is free to choose a methodology to identify sustainability courses that is most appropriate given its unique circumstances. Asking faculty and departments to self-identify sustainability courses and courses that include sustainability using the definitions outlined in *Standards and Terms* or looking at the stated learning outcomes and course objectives associated with each course may provide a richer view of sustainability course offerings than simply reviewing course descriptions, but it is not required.

This credit does not include continuing education and extension courses, which are covered by EN 11: Continuing Education.

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Institution's students graduate from degree programs that include sustainability as a learning outcome or include multiple sustainability learning outcomes. Sustainability learning outcomes (or the equivalent) may be specified at:

- Institution level (e.g. covering all students)
- Division level (e.g. covering one or more schools or colleges within the institution)
- Program level
- Course level

This credit includes graduate as well as undergraduate programs. For this credit, "degree programs" include majors, minors, concentrations, certificates, and other academic designations. Extension certificates and other certificates that are not part of academic degree programs do not count for this credit; they are covered in *EN 11: Continuing Education*. Programs that include co-curricular aspects may count as long as there is an academic component of the program. Learning outcomes at the course level count if the course is required to complete the program.

This credit is inclusive of learning outcomes, institutional learning goals, general education outcomes, and graduate profiles that are consistent with the definition of "sustainability learning outcomes" included in Standards and Terms.

Institutions that do not specify learning outcomes as a matter of policy or standard practice may report graduates from sustainability-focused programs (i.e. majors, minors, concentrations and the equivalent as reported for *AC 3: Undergraduate Program* and *AC 4: Graduate Program*) in lieu of the above criteria.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Number of students who graduated from a program that has adopted at least one sustainability learning outcome: 495

Total number of graduates from degree programs:

495

A copy of the list or inventory of degree, diploma or certificate programs that have sustainability learning outcomes:

A list of degree, diploma or certificate programs that have sustainability learning outcomes:

A list or sample of the sustainability learning outcomes associated with degree, diploma or certificate programs (if not included in an inventory above):

Sustainability: Students demonstrate an understanding of sustainability as a global, social, economic, environmental, and practice-based concern.

The website URL where information about the institution's sustainability learning outcomes is available:

https://www.cca.edu/about/administration/academic-affairs/outcomes

Undergraduate Program

Criteria

Institution offers at least one:

• Sustainability-focused program (major, degree program, or equivalent) for undergraduate students

And/or

• Undergraduate-level sustainability-focused minor or concentration (e.g. a concentration on sustainable business within a business major).

Extension certificates and other certificates that are not part of academic degree programs do not count for this credit; they are covered in *EN 11: Continuing Education*.

Graduate Program

Criteria

Institution offers at least one:

• Sustainability-focused program (major, degree program, or equivalent) for graduate students

And/or

• Graduate-level sustainability-focused minor, concentration or certificate (e.g. a concentration on sustainable business within an MBA program).

Extension certificates and other certificates that are not part of academic degree programs do not count for this credit; they are covered in *EN 11: Continuing Education*.

Immersive Experience

Criteria

Institution offers at least one immersive, sustainability-focused educational study program. The program is one week or more in length and may take place off-campus, overseas, or on-campus.

For this credit, the program must meet one or both of the following criteria:

• It concentrates on sustainability, including its social, economic, and environmental dimensions

And/or

• It examines an issue or topic using sustainability as a lens.

For-credit programs, non-credit programs and programs offered in partnership with outside entities may count for this credit. Programs offered exclusively by outside entities do not count for this credit.

See the Credit Example in the STARS Technical Manual for further guidance.

Sustainability Literacy Assessment

Criteria

Institution conducts an assessment of the sustainability literacy of its students. The sustainability literacy assessment focuses on knowledge of sustainability topics and may also address values, behaviors and/or beliefs. Assessments that focus exclusively on values, behaviors and/or beliefs are not sufficient to earn points for this credit.

Institution may conduct a follow-up assessment of the same cohort group(s) using the same instrument.

This credit includes graduate as well as undergraduate students.

Incentives for Developing Courses

Criteria

Institution has an ongoing program or programs that offer incentives for faculty in multiple disciplines or departments to develop new sustainability courses and/or incorporate sustainability into existing courses or departments. The program specifically aims to increase student learning of sustainability.

Incentives may include release time, funding for professional development, and trainings offered by the institution.

Incentives for expanding sustainability offerings in academic, non-credit, and/or continuing education courses count for this credit.

Campus as a Living Laboratory

Criteria

Institution is utilizing its infrastructure and operations for multidisciplinary student learning, applied research and practical work that advances sustainability on campus in at least one of the following areas:

- Air & Climate
- Buildings
- Dining Services/Food
- Energy
- Grounds
- Purchasing
- Transportation
- Waste
- Water
- Coordination, Planning & Governance
- Diversity & Affordability
- Health, Wellbeing & Work
- Investment
- Public Engagement
- Other

This credit includes substantive work by students and/or faculty (e.g. class projects, thesis projects, term papers, published papers) that involves active and experiential learning and contributes to positive sustainability outcomes on campus (see the Credit Example in the STARS Technical Manual). On-campus internships and non-credit work (e.g. that take place under supervision of sustainability staff or committees) may count as long as the work has a learning component.

This credit does not include immersive education programs, co-curricular activities, or community-based work, which are covered by *AC 5: Immersive Experience*, credits in the Campus Engagement subcategory, and credits in the Public Engagement subcategory, respectively.

Research

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are conducting research on sustainability topics. Conducting research is a major function of many colleges and universities. By researching sustainability issues and refining theories and concepts, higher education institutions can continue to help the world understand sustainability challenges and develop new technologies, strategies, and approaches to address those challenges.

Credit	
Academic Research	
Support for Research	
Access to Research	

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Part 1

Institution's faculty and/or staff conduct sustainability research and the institution makes an inventory of its sustainability research publicly available.

Part 2

Institution's academic departments (or the equivalent) include faculty and staff who conduct sustainability research.

Any level of sustainability research is sufficient to be included for this credit. In other words, a researcher who conducts both sustainability research and other research may be included.

In order to report for this credit, the institution should conduct an inventory to identify its sustainability research activities and initiatives.

Each institution is free to choose a methodology to identify sustainability research that is most appropriate given its unique circumstances. For example, an institution may distribute a survey to all faculty members and ask them to self-identify as being engaged in sustainability research or ask the chairperson of each department to identify the sustainability research activities within his or her department. The research inventory should be based on the definition of "sustainability research" outlined in Standards and Terms and include, at minimum, all research centers, laboratories, departments, and faculty members whose research focuses on or is related to sustainability.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Number of the institution's faculty and/or staff engaged in sustainability research:

39

Total number of the institution's faculty and/or staff engaged in research:

484

Number of academic departments (or the equivalent) that include at least one faculty or staff member that conducts sustainability research:

14

The total number of academic departments (or the equivalent) that conduct research:

A copy of the sustainability research inventory that includes the names and department affiliations of faculty and staff engaged in sustainability research:

Names and department affiliations of faculty and staff engaged in sustainability research:

Architecture: Katherine Ackerly, Sam Jensen Augustine, Ila Berman, Nathaniel Brown, David Fletcher, Nataly Gattegno, David Gissen, Margaret Ikeda, Jason Kelly Johnson, Evan Jones, Andrew Kudless, Brendon Levitt, Adam Marcus, Stet Sanborn, Ryan Stroupe Ceramics: Nathan Lynch, Eric Scollon Critical Studies/Visual Studies: William Alschuler, Claudia Bernardi, Celeste Connor, Forrest Hartman, Stuart Kendall, Carol Manahan Diversity Studies: Lauren Elder Fashion: Lynda Grose, Amy Williams Film: Jody Gillerman Furniture: Donald Fortescue, Barbara Holmes Humanities and Sciences: Christine Metzger Industrial Design: Jay Baldwin, Yves Behar, Colin Owen, Joseph Urich Interior Design: Amy Campos Painting Drawing: Kim Anno Sculpture: Mia Feuer, Mark Thompson Textiles: Sasha Duerr

A brief description of the methodology the institution followed to complete the research inventory:

Faculty chairs of each program were asked to identify sustainability research activities within his or her department.

A brief description of notable accomplishments during the previous three years by faculty and/or staff engaged in sustainability research:

Sasha Duerr, Textiles, is the founder and co-director of Permacouture and teaches the Soil to Studio class which follows the tenets of "soil to studio" in its pedagogical approaches. From slow food to slow fashion, a political culture of stewardship is being reborn that incorporates responsible modes of production, connection to the source of our materials, and a sense of the "commons." The course, taught each spring semester, maintains a community garden on the Oakland campus where plants for dyes and fibers are cultivated and harvested.

In 2013 CCA received \$200,000 National Science Foundation Grant to develop "science in the studio" classes. Stuart Kendall, chair of Critical Studies, and Christine Metzger, CCA's first tenure-track assistant professor of earth and environmental science, have been leading the Exploring Science in the Studio initiative; their efforts were key to obtaining the NSF grant.

Lynda Grose, Fashion Design, is a contributing author to The Routledge Handbook on Sustainable Fashion (Routledge), and co-authored Fashion & Sustainability: Design for Change And has worked with the Sustainable Cotton Project for more than eight years.

The website URL where information about sustainability research is available:

https://sites.google.com/a/cca.edu/sustainability/

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Institution encourages and/or supports sustainability research through one or more of the following:

- An ongoing program to encourage students in multiple disciplines or academic programs to conduct research in sustainability. The program provides students with incentives to research sustainability. Such incentives may include, but are not limited to, fellowships, financial support, and mentorships. The program specifically aims to increase student sustainability research.
- An ongoing program to encourage faculty from multiple disciplines or academic programs to conduct research in sustainability topics. The program provides faculty with incentives to research sustainability. Such incentives may include, but are not limited to, fellowships, financial support, and faculty development workshops. The program specifically aims to increase faculty sustainability research.
- Formally adopted policies and procedures that give positive recognition to interdisciplinary, transdisciplinary, and multidisciplinary research during faculty promotion and/or tenure decisions.
- Ongoing library support for sustainability research and learning in the form of research guides, materials selection policies and practices, curriculum development efforts, sustainability literacy promotion, and e-learning objects focused on sustainability.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Does the institution have a program to encourage student sustainability research that meets the criteria for this credit?:

Yes

A brief description of the institution's program(s) to encourage student research in sustainability:

Sustainability Leadership Award:

This award is for the student who has demonstrated both academic excellence and a commitment to being a sustainability leader at the college. Students may show leadership in terms of environmental, cultural, social, financial, and personal sustainability practices and principles.

Impact Awards:

The IMPACT Social Entrepreneurship Awards encourages collaborative interdisciplinary teams of CCA students to develop and actualize a socially innovative project.

All projects require teams to develop a detailed proposal that addresses a specific social need in a local, national, or international community.

Three student teams are granted up to \$10,000 each for proposed projects that best exemplify interdisciplinary engagement, social entrepreneurship, and community collaboration.

Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

The website URL where information about the student research program is available:

https://www.cca.edu/students/leadership/excellence/awards

Does the institution have a program to encourage faculty sustainability research that meets the criteria for this credit?:

No

A brief description of the institution's program(s) to encourage faculty research in sustainability:

The website URL where information about the faculty research program is available:

Has the institution formally adopted policies and procedures that give positive recognition to interdisciplinary, transdisciplinary, and multidisciplinary research during faculty promotion and/or tenure decisions?: No

A brief description or the text of the institution's policy regarding interdisciplinary research:

Professional achievement is an area evaluated for faculty promotions, which includes research; if those achievements are interdisciplinary, transdisciplinary, or multidisciplinary, it is noted but not given more weight than other types of research/achievement.

The website URL where information about the treatment of interdisciplinary research is available:

https://www.cca.edu/about/administration/academic-affairs/faculty/guidelines

Does the institution provide ongoing library support for sustainability research and learning that meets the criteria for this credit?:

Yes

A brief description of the institution's library support for sustainability research and learning:

Sustainability is a focus of the libraries' collection development policies and practices. This focus is seen most clearly in our print collection and in the Materials Library collection. Our Instructional Services Librarian provides literacy support in all areas, including sustainability literacy. Inter-Library loan services are available to both students and faculty to support research needs that go beyond our libraries' collections.

The website URL where information about the institution's library support for sustainability is available:

http://library.cca.edu/search~S2/a?searchtype=d&searcharg=sustainability&searchscope=1&SORT=D

Access to Research

Criteria

Institution has a formally adopted open access policy that ensures that versions of all future scholarly articles by faculty and staff and all future theses and dissertations are deposited in a designated open access repository.

The open access repository may be managed by the institution or the institution may participate in a consortium with a consortial and/or outsourced open access repository.

Engagement

Campus Engagement

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that provide their students with sustainability learning experiences outside the formal curriculum. Engaging in sustainability issues through co-curricular activities allows students to deepen and apply their understandings of sustainability principles. Institution-sponsored co-curricular sustainability offerings, often coordinated by student affairs offices, help integrate sustainability into the campus culture and set a positive tone for the institution.

In addition, this subcategory recognizes institutions that support faculty and staff engagement, training, and development programs in sustainability. Faculty and staff members' daily decisions impact an institution's sustainability performance. Equipping faculty and staff with the tools, knowledge, and motivation to adopt behavior changes that promote sustainability is an essential activity of a sustainable campus.

Credit
Student Educators Program
Student Orientation
Student Life
Outreach Materials and Publications
Outreach Campaign
Employee Educators Program
Employee Orientation
Staff Professional Development

Student Educators Program

Criteria

Institution coordinates an ongoing peer-to-peer sustainability outreach and education program for degree-seeking students. The institution:

- Selects or appoints students to serve as educators and formally designates the students as educators (paid and/or volunteer),
- Provides formal training to the educators in how to conduct outreach, and
- Offers faculty or staff and/or other financial support to the program.

This credit focuses on programs for degree-seeking students enrolled in a for-credit program. Continuing education and/or non-credit students are excluded from this credit.

This credit recognizes ongoing student educator programs that engage students on a regular basis. For example, student educators may be responsible for serving (i.e. directly targeting) a particular subset of students, such as those living in residence halls or enrolled in certain academic subdivisions. Thus, a group of students may be served by a program even if not all of these students avail themselves of the outreach and education offerings.

Sustainability outreach campaigns, sustainability events, and student clubs or groups are not eligible for this credit unless the criteria outlined above are met. These programs are covered by *EN 5: Outreach Campaign* and *EN 3: Student Life*.

Student Orientation

Criteria

Institution includes sustainability prominently in its student orientation activities and programming. Sustainability activities and programming are intended to educate about the principles and practices of sustainability. The topics covered include multiple dimensions of sustainability (i.e. social, environmental and economic).

Because orientation activities vary from one institution to another, prominent inclusion of sustainability may not take the same form on each campus. Prominent inclusion of sustainability may also take different forms for different types of students (e.g. undergraduate students, transfer students, graduate students). When reporting for this credit, each institution will determine what prominent inclusion of sustainability means given its particular context. (See the Credit Example in the STARS Technical Manual.)

As this credit is intended to recognize programming and student learning about sustainability, incorporating sustainability strategies into event planning (e.g. making recycling bins accessible or not serving bottled water) is not, in and of itself, sufficient for this credit. Such strategies may count if they are highlighted and are part of the educational offerings. For example, serving local food would not, in and of itself, be sufficient for this credit; however, serving local food and providing information about sustainable food systems during meals could contribute to earning this credit.

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett

Director of Facilities and Operations

Facilities

Criteria

Institution has co-curricular sustainability programs and initiatives. The programs and initiatives fall into one or more of the following categories:

- Active student groups focused on sustainability
- Gardens, farms, community supported agriculture (CSA) or fishery programs, and urban agriculture projects where students are able to gain experience in organic agriculture and sustainable food systems
- Sustainable enterprises that include sustainability as part of their mission statements or stated purposes (e.g. cafés through which students gain sustainable business skills)
- Sustainable investment funds, green revolving funds or sustainable microfinance initiatives through which students can develop socially, environmentally and fiscally responsible investment and financial skills
- Conferences, speaker series, symposia or similar events related to sustainability that have students as the intended audience
- · Cultural arts events, installations or performances related to sustainability that have students as the intended audience
- Wilderness or outdoors programs (e.g. that organize hiking, backpacking, kayaking, or other outings for students and follow Leave No Trace principles
- Sustainability-related themes chosen for themed semesters, years, or first-year experiences (e.g. choosing a sustainability-related book for common reading)
- Programs through which students can learn sustainable life skills (e.g. a series of sustainable living workshops, a model room in a residence hall that is open to students during regular visitation hours and demonstrates sustainable living principles, or sustainability-themed housing where residents and visitors learn about sustainability together)
- Sustainability-focused student employment opportunities offered by the institution
- Graduation pledges through which students pledge to consider social and environmental responsibility in future job and other decisions
- Other co-curricular sustainability programs and initiatives

Multiple programs and initiatives may be reported for each category and each category may include institution-governed and/or student-governed programs.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Does the institution have one or more co-curricular sustainability programs and initiatives that fall into the following categories?:

Yes or No

Active student groups focused on sustainability	Yes
Gardens, farms, community supported agriculture (CSA) or fishery programs, or urban agriculture projects where students are able to gain experience in organic agriculture and sustainable food systems	Yes
Student-run enterprises that include sustainability as part of their mission statements or stated purposes	No
Sustainable investment funds, green revolving funds or sustainable microfinance initiatives through which students can develop socially, environmentally and fiscally responsible investment and financial skills	Yes
Conferences, speaker series, symposia or similar events related to sustainability that have students as the intended audience	Yes
Cultural arts events, installations or performances related to sustainability that have students as the intended audience	Yes
Wilderness or outdoors programs that follow Leave No Trace principles	No
Sustainability-related themes chosen for themed semesters, years, or first-year experiences	No
Programs through which students can learn sustainable life skills	No
Sustainability-focused student employment opportunities offered by the institution	Yes
Graduation pledges through which students pledge to consider social and environmental responsibility in future job and other decisions	No
Other co-curricular sustainability programs and initiatives	Yes

The name and a brief description of each student group focused on sustainability:

Future Action Reclamation Mob (FARM):

FARM is an alternative form of nonviolent protest, reclaiming unused public space to build community. With several variations of FARM currently in the works, the Hooper chapter of FARM works to revitalize the city owned land adjacent to CCA's San Francisco campus.

The website URL where information about student groups is available:

http://farmcca.org/

A brief description of gardens, farms, community supported agriculture (CSA) or fishery programs, and urban agriculture projects where students are able to gain experience in organic agriculture and sustainable food systems:

The college has two working gardens on each campus. The garden in Oakland is a curricular tied to "Soil to Studio". Soil to Studio explores regenerative design, introducing students to nontoxic natural dyes cultivated through urban gardening and giving them insight into the politics, aesthetics and practice of natural dyeing. On the SF campus the garden is overseen by the student group, FARM, and in partnership with Facilities host a variety of events.

https://www.cca.edu/about/sustainability

The website URL where information about the organic agriculture and/or sustainable food systems projects and initiatives is available:

https://www.cca.edu/academics/craft

A brief description of student-run enterprises that include sustainability as part of their mission statements or stated purposes:

The website URL where information about the student-run enterprise(s) is available:

A brief description of the sustainable investment or finance initiatives:

Impact: Social Entrepreneurship Awards "Socially Conscious Investing" Board Finance committee is developing definition and policy in 2015

The website URL where information about the sustainable investment or finance initiatives is available:

A brief description of conferences, speaker series, symposia or similar events related to sustainability that have students as the intended audience:

2011-12: Water Works: exploring sciences in the studio2013-14: Wasteland: An intersection between science and Art2015-16: (eS2) : exploring science in the studioIn Solution: Water Symposium brings together experts in the fields of science, art, design, and technology for a two-day symposium of panels, films, and presentations. (last year)

The website URL where information about the event(s) is available:

https://www.cca.edu/calendar/2014/solution-water-symposium

A brief description of cultural arts events, installations or performances related to sustainability that have students as the intended audience:

Water Work Exhibition explores ideas of water and fluidity. The earth is 71 percent water, and our bodies are composed of 60 percent water. Water can be fog, precipitation, condensation, or as we are experiencing in California now, drought.

The website URL where information about the cultural arts event(s) is available:

https://www.cca.edu/calendar/2014/water-work-exhibition

A brief description of wilderness or outdoors programs for students that follow Leave No Trace principles:

The website URL where information about the wilderness or outdoors program(s) is available:

A brief description of sustainability-related themes chosen for themed semesters, years, or first-year experiences:

The website URL where information about the theme is available:

A brief description of program(s) through which students can learn sustainable life skills:

The website URL where information about the sustainable life skills program(s) is available:

A brief description of sustainability-focused student employment opportunities:

FARM fellows, funded from President's Sustainability Steering Group

The website URL where information about the student employment opportuntities is available: http://farmcca.org/

A brief description of graduation pledges through which students pledge to consider social and environmental responsibility in future job and other decisions:

The website URL where information about the graduation pledge program is available:

A brief description of other co-curricular sustainability programs and initiatives:

Earth Week and Student Sustainability Award:

A series of events focused on sustainability such as swapping materials, encouraging those to use public transportation, etc. Also, there is the Student Sustainability Award which recognizes students who demonstrated academic excellence and a commitment to being a sustainability leader at the college. Students may show leadership in terms of environmental, cultural, social, financial, and personal sustainability practices and principles.

The website URL where information about other co-curricular sustainability programs and initiatives is available:

https://www.cca.edu/students/leadership/excellence/awards

Outreach Materials and Publications

Criteria

Institution produces outreach materials and/or publications that foster sustainability learning and knowledge. The publications and outreach materials may include the following:

- A central sustainability website that consolidates information about the institution's sustainability efforts
- A sustainability newsletter
- Social media platforms (e.g. Facebook, Twitter, interactive blogs) that focus specifically on campus sustainability
- A vehicle to publish and disseminate student research on sustainability
- Building signage that highlights green building features
- Food service area signage and/or brochures that include information about sustainable food systems
- Signage on the grounds about sustainable groundskeeping and/or landscaping strategies employed
- A sustainability walking map or tour
- A guide for commuters about how to use alternative methods of transportation
- Navigation and educational tools for bicyclists and pedestrians (e.g. covering routes, inter-modal connections, policies, services, and safety)
- A guide for green living and incorporating sustainability into the residential experience
- Regular coverage of sustainability in the main student newspaper, either through a regular column or a reporter assigned to the sustainability beat
- Other

A single outreach material or publication that serves multiple purposes may be counted more than once. For example, a sustainability website that includes tools for bicyclists and pedestrians may be counted in both categories.

Outreach Campaign

Criteria

Part 1

Institution holds at least one sustainability-related outreach campaign directed at students that yields measurable, positive results in advancing sustainability. The sustainability-related outreach campaign may be conducted by the institution, a student organization, or students in a course.

Part 2

Institution holds at least one sustainability-related outreach campaign directed at employees that yields measurable, positive results in advancing sustainability. The sustainability-related outreach campaign may be conducted by the institution or an employee organization.

The campaign(s) reported for this credit could take the form of a competition (e.g. a residence hall conservation competition), a rating or certification program (e.g. a green labs or green office program), and/or a collective challenge (e.g. a campus-wide drive to achieve a specific sustainability target). A single campus-wide campaign may meet the criteria for both parts of this credit if educating students is a prime feature of the campaign and it is directed at both students and employees.

To measure if a campaign yields measurable, positive results, institutions should compare pre-campaign performance to performance during or after the campaign. The following impacts are not sufficient for this credit:

- Increased awareness
- Additional members of a mailing list or group

Employee Educators Program

Criteria

Institution administers or oversees an ongoing faculty/staff peer-to-peer sustainability outreach and education program.

In the program, employee sustainability educators are formally designated and receive formal training or participate in an institution-sponsored orientation. The institution offers financial or other support to the program.

This credit recognizes ongoing programs that engage employees on a regular basis. For example, employee educators may represent or be responsible for engaging workers in certain departments or buildings. Thus, a group of employees may be served (i.e. directly targeted) by a program even if not all of these employees avail themselves of the outreach and education offerings.

Training and/or professional development opportunities in sustainability for staff are excluded from this credit. These activities are covered in *EN 8: Staff Professional Development*.

Employee Orientation

Criteria

Institution covers sustainability topics in new employee orientation and/or in outreach and guidance materials distributed to new employees, including faculty and staff. The topics covered include multiple dimensions of sustainability (i.e. social, environmental and economic).

Staff Professional Development

Criteria

Institution makes available training and/or other professional development opportunities in sustainability to all staff at least once per year.

Separate training opportunities for each department would count for this credit, as long as each staff member has an opportunity to learn about sustainability at least once per year. It is not necessary that each staff member attend such trainings; the credit is based on making training available to all staff.

This credit applies to staff members only; it does not include faculty members.

The following training opportunities are not sufficient for this credit:

- Specialized training for a small group of staff
- The opportunity to participate in an institutional sustainability committee or group

Public Engagement

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that help catalyze sustainable communities through public engagement, community partnerships and service. Engagement in community problem-solving is fundamental to sustainability. By engaging with community members and organizations in the governmental, non-profit and for-profit sectors, institutions can help solve sustainability challenges. Community engagement can help students develop leadership skills while deepening their understandings of practical, real-world problems and the process of creating solutions. Institutions can contribute to their communities by harnessing their financial and academic resources to address community needs and by engaging community members in institutional decisions that affect them. In addition, institutions can contribute toward sustainability broadly through inter-campus collaboration, engagement with external networks and organizations, and public policy advocacy.

Credit	
Community Partnerships	
Inter-Campus Collaboration	
Continuing Education	
Community Service	
Community Stakeholder Engagement	
Participation in Public Policy	
Trademark Licensing	
Hospital Network	

Community Partnerships

Criteria

Institution has one or more formal partnership(s) with the local community, including school districts, government agencies, non-profit organizations, businesses and/or other entities, to work together to advance sustainability within the community.

Each partnership conforms to one of the following types:

Type of Partnership	Indicators
A. Supportive	 Scope: Addresses a sustainability topic or a specific aspect of sustainability (e.g. community garden, environmental remediation, community environmental health and education) Duration: May be time-limited (short-term projects and events), multi-year, or ongoing Commitment: Institutional involvement may include financial and/or staff support or may be limited to resource sharing and/or endorsement Governance: Campus and community leaders or representatives are engaged in program/project development
B. Collaborative	 Scope: Addresses one or more sustainability challenge and may simultaneously support social equity and wellbeing, economic prosperity, and ecological health (e.g. a green jobs program in an economically disadvantaged neighborhood) Duration: May be time-limited, multi-year, or ongoing Commitment: Institution provides faculty/staff, financial, and/or material support Governance: Campus and local community members are both engaged in program/project development, from agenda setting and planning to decision-making, implementation and review

C.Transformative	 Scope: Catalyzes community resiliency and local/regional sustainability by simultaneously supporting social equity and wellbeing, economic prosperity, and ecological health on a community or regional scale (e.g. "transition" projects and partnerships focused on community adaptation to climate change) Duration: Is multi-year or ongoing and proposes or plans for institutionalized and systemic change Commitment: Institution provides faculty/staff and financial or material support Governance: Partnership has adopted a stakeholder engagement framework through which community members, vulnerable populations, faculty, staff, students and other stakeholders are engaged in program/project development, from agenda setting and planning to decision-making, implementation and review
------------------	---

An institution may have multiple partnerships of each type, however no single partnership may be both supportive and collaborative, collaborative and transformative, or supportive and transformative.

Recognizing the diversity of forms that community partnerships may take, it is not required that a partnership meet all of the criteria listed to be considered supportive or collaborative. A partnership must meet all of the criteria listed to be considered transformative, however. For further guidance in identifying community partnerships that meet the criteria for each type, see the Credit Example in the STARS Technical Manual.

This credit recognizes campus-community partnerships that advance sustainability in an explicit and participatory way. Participatory, community-based research and engaged scholarship around issues of sustainability may be included if it involves formal partnership(s). Although community service activities (e.g. academic service learning, co-curricular service learning and volunteer activities, Work-Study community service and paid community service internships) may involve local partnerships and contribute toward sustainability, they are not included in this credit. Community service is covered by *EN 12: Community Service*.

Inter-Campus Collaboration

Criteria

Institution collaborates with other colleges and universities to support and help build the campus sustainability community.

See the Credit Example in the STARS Technical Manual for guidance on identifying appropriate collaborations.

Continuing Education

Criteria

Part 1

Institution offers continuing education courses that address sustainability.

Courses that address sustainability include continuing education sustainability courses and continuing education courses that include sustainability. Courses that can be taken for academic credit are not included in this credit. They are covered by the Curriculum subcategory.

Part 2

Institution has at least one sustainability-themed certificate program through its continuing education or extension department.

Degree-granting programs (e.g. programs that confer Baccalaureate, Masters, and Associates degrees) and certificates that are part of academic degree programs are not included in this credit. They are covered in the Curriculum subcategory.

Community Service

Criteria

Part 1

Institution engages its student body in community service, as measured by the percentage of students who participate in community service.

Part 2

Institution engages students in community service, as measured by the average hours contributed per full-time student per year.

Institutions may exclude non-credit, continuing education, and/or part-time students from this credit.

Community Stakeholder Engagement

Criteria

Institution has adopted a framework for community stakeholder engagement in governance, strategy and operations. The framework includes:

1) Policies and procedures that ensure community stakeholder engagement is applied systematically and regularly across the institution's activities (e.g. planning and development efforts, capital investment projects, and/or other activities and decisions that affect the broader community)

And

2) Established practices to identify and engage relevant community stakeholders, including any vulnerable or underrepresented groups.

Frameworks adopted by entities of which the institution is part (e.g. government or university system) may count for this credit as long as the policies apply to and are followed by the institution.

This credit does not include the engagement of internal campus stakeholders (e.g. students, faculty and staff); internal stakeholder engagement is covered in *PA 3: Governance*.

Participation in Public Policy

Criteria

Institution advocates for national, state/provincial, or local public policies that support campus sustainability or that otherwise advance sustainability.

The policy advocacy must be done by the institution, not by students or a student group. This credit acknowledges institutions that advocate for policy changes and legislation to advance sustainability broadly. Advocacy efforts that are made exclusively to advance the institution's interests or projects may not be counted. For example, advocating for government funding for campus sustainability may be counted, whereas lobbying for the institution to receive funds that have already been appropriated may not.

Trademark Licensing

Criteria

Institution is a member of the Fair Labor Association (FLA) and/or the Worker Rights Consortium (WRC).

Hospital Network

Criteria

Institution's affiliated hospital or health system is a member of the Global Green and Healthy Hospitals Network, the Healthier Hospitals Initiative and/or Practice Greenhealth.

This credit includes hospitals and health systems that are formally affiliated with a higher education institution (sometimes called "university hospitals"). Other types of health care providers (e.g. insurers through which an institution obtains health care for its employees) are not included.

Operations

Air & Climate

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are measuring and reducing their greenhouse gas and air pollutant emissions. Global climate change is having myriad negative impacts throughout the world, including increased frequency and potency of extreme weather events, sea level rise, species extinction, water shortages, declining agricultural production, and spread of diseases. The impacts are particularly pronounced for low-income communities and countries. In addition, institutions that inventory and take steps to reduce their air pollutant emissions can positively impact the health of the campus community, as well as the health of their local communities and regions.

Credit
Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Outdoor Air Quality

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has conducted a publicly available greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions inventory that includes, at minimum, Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions and may also include Scope 3 GHG emissions. The inventory may be validated internally by campus personnel who are independent of the GHG accounting and reporting process and/or verified by an independent, external third party.

Part 2

Institution reduced its adjusted net Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions per weighted campus user compared to a baseline.

Part 3

Institution's annual adjusted net Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions are less than the minimum performance threshold of 0.02 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MtCO2e) per gross square foot (0.002 MtCO2e per gross square metre) of floor area.

Performance for Part 3 of this credit is assessed using EUI-adjusted floor area, a figure that accounts for significant differences in energy use intensity (EUI) between types of building space.

For this credit, the following carbon offsets may be counted:

- 1. Institution-catalyzed carbon offsets (popularly known as "local offsets")
- 2. Carbon sequestration due to land that the institution manages specifically for sequestration (as documented in policies, land management plans or the equivalent)
- 3. Carbon storage from on-site composting
- 4. Third-party verified purchased carbon offsets

Purchased Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) that are either Green-e Energy certified or meet Green-e Energy's technical requirements and are verified as such by a third party may be counted as zero emissions energy for purposes of Scope 2 GHG accounting.

Purchased carbon offsets and RECs that have not been third-party verified do not count.

Institutions that have sold or transferred emissions reductions, e.g. in the form of verified emissions reductions (VERs), may not count those reductions toward this credit.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Does the institution's GHG emissions inventory include all Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions?:

Yes

Does the institution's GHG emissions inventory include all Scope 3 GHG emissions from any of the following categories?:

	Yes or No
Business travel	No
Commuting	No
Purchased goods and services	No
Capital goods	No
Fuel- and energy-related activities not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2	No
Waste generated in operations	No

Does the institution's GHG emissions inventory include Scope 3 emissions from other categories?:

No

A brief description of the methodology and/or tool used to complete the GHG emissions inventory:

CCA is a member institution of the American College and University President's Climate Commitment. A copy of our GHG report is publicly available on their website along with all other participating institutions.

We use the EPA's Energy Star Portfolio Manager tool to collect and monitor energy use data. We use the "Clean Air - Cool Planet" campus carbon calculator provided by the ACUPCC to calculate emissions figures.

Has the GHG emissions inventory been validated internally by personnel who are independent of the GHG accounting and reporting process and/or verified by an independent, external third party?: Yes

A brief description of the internal and/or external verification process:

We use the EPA's Energy Star Portfolio Manager tool to collect and monitor energy use data. The College's energy use data is supplied directly from the utility to the EPA's Energy Star Portfolio Manager. The Energy star tool calculates GHG emissions based on utility supplied information about fuel mix. We then import data from the energy star tool and survey data from reporting departments into the "Clean Air - Cool Planet" campus carbon calculator provided by the ACUPCC to calculate additional emissions figures.

Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions::

	Performance Year	Baseline Year
Scope 1 GHG emissions from stationary combustion	976.60 <i>Metric Tons of CO2</i> Equivalent	919.70 <i>Metric Tons of CO2</i> Equivalent
Scope 1 GHG emissions from other sources	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent
Scope 2 GHG emissions from purchased electricity	979.40 <i>Metric Tons of CO2</i> Equivalent	998.90 <i>Metric Tons of CO2</i> Equivalent
Scope 2 GHG emissions from other sources	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent

Figures needed to determine total carbon offsets::

	Performance Year	Baseline Year
Institution-catalyzed carbon offsets generated	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent
Carbon sequestration due to land that the institution manages specifically for sequestration	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent
Carbon storage from on-site composting	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent
Third-party verified carbon offsets purchased	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent	0 Metric Tons of CO2 Equivalent

A brief description of the institution-catalyzed carbon offsets program:

A brief description of the carbon sequestration program and reporting protocol used:

A brief description of the composting and carbon storage program: Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

A brief description of the purchased carbon offsets, including third party verifier(s) and contract timeframes:

Figures needed to determine "Weighted Campus Users"::

	Performance Year	Baseline Year
Number of residential students	270	240
Number of residential employees	10	6
Number of in-patient hospital beds	0	0
Full-time equivalent enrollment	1,998	1,900
Full-time equivalent of employees	300	280
Full-time equivalent of distance education students	0	0

Start and end dates of the performance year and baseline year (or three-year periods):

	Start Date	End Date
Performance Year	Jan. 1, 2014	Jan. 1, 2015
Baseline Year	Jan. 1, 2008	Jan. 1, 2009

A brief description of when and why the GHG emissions baseline was adopted:

Gross floor area of building space, performance year:

573,665 Square Feet

Floor area of energy intensive building space, performance year:

Laboratory space	0 Square Feet
Healthcare space	0 Square Feet
Other energy intensive space	0 Square Feet

Scope 3 GHG emissions, performance year::

	Emissions
Business travel	
Commuting	
Purchased goods and services	
Capital goods	
Fuel- and energy-related activities not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2	
Waste generated in operations	
Other categories (please specify below)	

A brief description of the sources included in Scope 3 GHG emissions from "other categories":

A copy of the most recent GHG emissions inventory:

The website URL where the GHG emissions inventory is posted:

http://rs.acupcc.org/

A brief description of the institution's GHG emissions reduction initiatives, including efforts made during the previous three years:

We use the EPA's Energy Star Portfolio Manager tool to collect and monitor energy use data. We use the "Clean Air - Cool Planet" campus carbon calculator provided by the ACUPCC to calculate emissions figures. We use the output of this data to monitor, identify and recommend GHG reduction and energy saving capital improvements and campus initiatives.

Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has adopted policies or guidelines to improve outdoor air quality and minimize air pollutant emissions from mobile sources. Policies and/or guidelines may include, but are not limited to, prohibiting vehicle idling, restrictions on the use of powered lawn care equipment, and other strategies for minimizing mobile emissions.

Policies adopted by entities of which the institution is part (e.g. government or university system) may count for Part 1 of this credit as long as the policies apply to and are followed by the institution.

Part 2

Institution has completed an inventory of significant air emissions from stationary sources on campus. Significant emissions include nitrogen oxides (NO_x) , sulfur oxides (SO_x) , and other standard categories of air emissions identified in environmental permits held by the institution, international conventions, and/or national laws or regulations.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Does the institution have policies and/or guidelines in place to improve outdoor air quality and minimize air pollutant emissions from mobile sources?:

Yes

A brief description of the policies and/or guidelines to improve outdoor air quality and minimize air pollutant emissions from mobile sources:

As a forward thinking, design focused institution, our policies and guidelines are developed with consideration of the lifecycle implications of our choices and to help guide sustainable, energy efficient, and innovative strategies whenever possible.

CCA does not own or operate a fleet of vehicles and has a insignificant mobile emissions footprint. We are a public transit oriented institution. We encourage and accommodate the use of alternate transportation through campus design and operational support. We encourage faculty, students and staff to use public transportation for their commuting needs, including travel to and between the two campuses. To supplement public transportation options, CCA contracts with an outside vendor to provide limited shuttle service between the Oakland and San Francisco campuses and Webster Hall.

Has the institution completed an inventory of significant air emissions from stationary sources on campus?:

Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

Yes

A brief description of the methodology(ies) the institution used to complete its air emissions inventory:

CCA has limited stationary sources of emissions on either campus and insignificant emissions. We have completed ASHRAE audits. We comply will all city, state and federal reporting requirements.

	Weight of Emissions
Nitrogen oxides (NOx)	1 Tons
Sulfur oxides (SOx)	1 Tons
Carbon monoxide (CO)	1 Tons
Particulate matter (PM)	
Ozone (O3)	
Lead (Pb)	
Hazardous air pollutants (HAPs)	
Ozone-depleting compounds (ODCs)	
Other standard categories of air emissions identified in permits and/or regulations	

Weight of the following categories of air emissions from stationary sources::

A brief description of the institution's initiatives to minimize air pollutant emissions from stationary sources, including efforts made during the previous three years:

CCA is committed to using sustainable and energy efficient operations. We use high efficiency mechanical equipment and systems including on demand boilers, hot water heating loops, occupancy sensors, and advanced controls technology to manage system operation. We comply with all California Title 24 requirements in all new buildings and construction. We comply with Bay Area Air Quality Management District testing and monitoring requirements for stationary sources.

The website URL where information about the institution's outdoor air quality policies, guidelines or inventory is available:

http://www.baaqmd.gov/Divisions/Planning-and-Research/Rules-and-Regulations.aspx

Buildings

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are taking steps to improve the sustainability performance of their buildings. Buildings are generally the largest user of energy and the largest source of greenhouse gas emissions on campuses. Buildings also use significant amounts of potable water. Institutions can design, build, and maintain buildings in ways that provide a safe and healthy indoor environment for inhabitants while simultaneously mitigating the building's impact on the outdoor environment.

Credit
Building Operations and Maintenance
Building Design and Construction
Indoor Air Quality

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Institution owns and operates buildings that are:

1) Certified under a green building rating system for existing buildings, e.g. LEED® for Existing Buildings: Operations & Maintenance (O&M)

And/or

2) Operated and maintained in accordance with formally adopted sustainable operations and maintenance guidelines and policies that cover all of the following:

- Impacts on the surrounding site
- Energy consumption
- Building-level energy metering
- Usage of environmentally preferable materials
- Indoor environmental quality
- Water consumption
- Building-level water metering

Building space that meets multiple criteria listed above should not be double-counted.

Building Design and Construction

Criteria

Institution-owned buildings that were constructed or underwent major renovations in the previous five years are:

1) Certified under a green building rating system for new construction and major renovations (e.g. the LEED® for New Construction and Major Renovations, LEED for Commercial Interiors, LEED for Healthcare, and/or LEED for Core and Shell Green Building Rating Systems)

2) Certified Living under the Living Building Challenge (LBC)

And/or

3) Designed and built in accordance with formally adopted green building guidelines and policies that cover all of the following topics:

- Impacts on the surrounding site
- Energy consumption
- Building-level energy metering
- Usage of environmentally preferable materials
- Indoor environmental quality
- Water consumption
- Building-level water metering

Building space that meets multiple criteria listed above should not be double-counted.

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Institution has an indoor air quality (IAQ) management program that includes regular auditing or monitoring, a mechanism for occupants to register complaints, and action plans to implement any corrective measures required in response to audits, monitoring or complaints.

Policies and plans adopted by entities of which the institution is part (e.g. government or university system) may count for this credit as long as the policies apply to and are followed by the institution.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Floor area of building space covered by an indoor air quality (IAQ) management program that meets the criteria for this credit:

400,000 Square Feet

Gross floor area of building space:

457,031 Square Feet

A brief description of the institution's indoor air quality program(s):

Our mechanical, janitorial, pest management, hygiene and custodial contractors and vendors provide the highest level of service and quality in all EPA IAQ standard areas. We use the EPA IAQ Tools For Schools Action Kit to guide project work and semi-annual assessments.

The website URL where information about the institution's indoor air quality program(s) is available:

http://www.epa.gov/iaq/schools/actionkit_text.html#Checklists

Dining Services

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are supporting a sustainable food system. Modern industrial food production often has deleterious environmental and social impacts. Pesticides and fertilizers used in agriculture can contaminate ground and surface water and soil, which can in turn have potentially dangerous impacts on wildlife and human health. The production of animal-derived foods often subjects animals to inhumane treatment and animal products have a higher per-calorie environmental intensity than plant-based foods. Additionally, farm workers are often directly exposed to dangerous pesticides, subjected to harsh working conditions, and paid substandard wages. Furthermore, food is often transported long distance to institutions, producing greenhouse gas emissions and other pollution, as well as undermining the resiliency of local communities.

Institutions can use their purchasing power to require transparency from their distributors and find out where the food comes from, how it was produced, and how far it traveled. Institutions can use their food purchases to support their local economies; encourage safe, environmentally-friendly and humane farming methods; and help eliminate unsafe working conditions and alleviate poverty for farmers. These actions help reduce environmental impacts, preserve regional farmland, improve local food security, and support fair and resilient food systems.

Please note that while dining services can also play an important role in conserving energy and water, reducing waste, and purchasing environmentally preferable materials other than food, STARS measures these impacts across the institution instead of by department; therefore, the benefits of these actions are captured in the Energy, Water, Waste, and Purchasing subcategories, respectively.

Credit
Food and Beverage Purchasing
Low Impact Dining

Food and Beverage Purchasing

Criteria

Part 1

Institution's dining services purchase food and beverages that meet at least one of the following criteria:

• Local and community-based

And/or

• Third party verified to be ecologically sound, fair and/or humane

Food and beverage purchases that meet both criteria listed above (e.g. local community-based products that are Certified Organic) should not be double-counted.

Local community- based products:

- Are sourced from local community-based producers (directly or through distributors)
- Contain raw ingredients (excluding water) that are third party verified and/or locally harvested and produced (e.g. bread made with Organic flour or local honey) and
- Exclude products from Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs), products that have minimal nutritional value (e.g. soda, chewing gum, candies made predominantly from sweeteners), and products from producers that have been convicted of one or more labor law violations within the previous three years

Products that are not local and community-based must be third party verified to count. Recognized third party standards and certifications for food and beverages are outlined in the STARS Technical Manual. Institutions located outside the U.S. and Canada may use additional third party certifications to identify ecologically sound, fair and humane products, provided the certifications are reported in "Notes about this submission".

Part 1 of this credit includes food and beverage purchases for on-campus dining operations and catering services operated by the institution or the institution's primary dining services contractor (e.g. Aramark, Bon Appétit Management Company, Chartwells, Sodexo). On-site franchises, convenience stores, vending services, and concessions are excluded from Part 1.

Part 2

Institution's on-site franchises, convenience stores, vending services, and/or concessions purchase food and beverages that are third party verified and/or locally sourced (i.e. meet the criteria outlined in Part 1).

Low Impact Dining

Criteria

Part 1

Conventionally produced animal products comprise less than 30 percent of the institution's total dining services food purchases.

Conventionally produced animal products include all food products that contain animal derived (i.e. meat, fish, egg, dairy) ingredients that have not been verified to be sustainably produced. Sustainably produced animal products have been either:

• Third party verified to be ecologically sound and/or humane (see *OP 6: Food and Beverage Purchasing*)

Or

• Verified by the institution to be both ecologically sound and humane (e.g. "Pasture Raised", "Grass Fed" or "Humanely Raised") through a relationship with a local producer

Part 2

Institution:

• Offers diverse, complete-protein vegan options at all meals in at least one dining facility on campus

And

• Provides labels and/or signage that distinguishes between vegan, vegetarian (not vegan), and other items

This credit includes on-campus dining operations and catering services operated by the institution or the institution's primary dining services contractor. On-site franchises, convenience stores, vending machines, and concessions should be excluded to the extent feasible.

Energy

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are reducing their energy consumption through conservation and efficiency, and switching to cleaner and renewable sources of energy such as solar, wind, geothermal, and low-impact hydropower. For most institutions, energy consumption is the largest source of greenhouse gas emissions, which cause global climate change. Global climate change is having myriad negative impacts throughout the world, including increased frequency and potency of extreme weather events, sea level rise, species extinction, water shortages, declining agricultural production, ocean acidification, and spread of diseases. The impacts are particularly pronounced for vulnerable and poor communities and countries. In addition to causing global climate change, energy generation from fossil fuels, especially coal, produces air pollutants such as sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, mercury, dioxins, arsenic, cadmium and lead. These pollutants contribute to acid rain as well as health problems such as heart and respiratory diseases and cancer. Coal mining and oil and gas drilling can also damage environmentally and/or culturally significant ecosystems. Nuclear power creates highly toxic and long-lasting radioactive waste. Large-scale hydropower projects flood habitats and disrupt fish migration and can involve the relocation of entire communities.

Implementing conservation measures and switching to renewable sources of energy can help institutions save money and protect them from utility rate volatility. Renewable energy may be generated locally and allow campuses to support local economic development. Furthermore, institutions can help shape markets by creating demand for cleaner, renewable sources of energy.

Credit Building Energy Consumption

Clean and Renewable Energy

Building Energy Consumption

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has reduced its total building energy consumption per gross square foot/metre of floor area compared to a baseline.

Part 2

Institution's annual building energy consumption is less than the minimum performance threshold of 28 Btu per gross square foot (2.6 Btu per gross square metre) of floor area per degree day.

Performance for Part 2 of this credit is assessed using EUI-adjusted floor area, a figure that accounts for significant differences in energy use intensity (EUI) between types of building space.

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Institution supports the development and use of clean and renewable energy sources, using any one or combination of the following options.

Option 1:	Generating electricity from clean and renewable energy sources on campus and retaining or retiring the rights to the environmental attributes of such electricity. (In other words, if the institution has sold Renewable Energy Credits for the clean and renewable energy it generated, it may not claim such energy here.) The on-site renewable energy generating devices may be owned and/or maintained by another party as long as the institution has contractual rights to the associated environmental attributes.
Option 2:	Using renewable sources for non-electric, on-site energy generation, such as biomass for heating.
Option 3:	Catalyzing the development of off-site clean and renewable energy sources (e.g. an off-campus wind farm that was designed and built to supply electricity to the institution) and retaining the environmental attributes of that energy.
Option 4:	Purchasing the environmental attributes of electricity in the form of Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) or other similar renewable energy products that are either Green-e Energy certified or meet Green-e Energy's technical requirements and are verified as such by a third party, or purchasing renewable electricity through the institution's electric utility through a certified green power purchasing option.

Since this credit is intended to recognize institutions that are actively supporting the development and use of clean and renewable energy, neither the electric grid mix for the region in which the institution is located nor the grid mix reported by the electric utility that serves the institution count for this credit.

The following renewable systems are eligible for this credit:

- Concentrated solar thermal
- Geothermal systems that generate electricity
- Low-impact hydroelectric power
- Solar photovoltaic
- Wave and tidal power

• Wind

Biofuels from the following sources are eligible:

- Agricultural crops
- Agricultural waste
- Animal waste
- Landfill gas
- Untreated wood waste
- Other organic waste

Technologies that reduce the amount of energy used but do not generate renewable energy do not count for this credit. For example, daylighting, passive solar design, and ground-source heat pumps are not counted in this credit. The benefits of such strategies, as well as improved efficiencies achieved through using cogeneration technologies, are captured by *OP 1: Greenhouse Gas Emissions* and *OP 8: Building Energy Consumption*.

Transportation fuels, which are covered by OP 1: Greenhouse Gas Emissions and OP 18: Campus Fleet, are not included in this credit.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Clean and renewable energy from the following sources::

	Performance Year
Option 1: Clean and renewable electricity generated on-site during the performance year and for which the institution retains or has retired the associated environmental attributes	0 MMBtu
Option 2: Non-electric renewable energy generated on-site	500 MMBtu
Option 3: Clean and renewable electricity generated by off-site projects that the institution catalyzed and for which the institution retains or has retired the associated environmental attributes	0 MMBtu
Option 4: Purchased third-party certified RECs and similar renewable energy products (including renewable electricity purchased through a certified green power purchasing option)	0 MMBtu

Total energy consumption, performance year: 30.626 *MMBtu*

A brief description of on-site renewable electricity generating devices :

A brief description of on-site renewable non-electric energy devices:

We have 35 Heliodyn Gobi solar thermal panels used to preheat water for our hydronic heating system in our main campus building in San Francisco.

A brief description of off-site, institution-catalyzed, renewable electricity generating devices:

A brief description of the RECs and/or similar renewable energy products:

The website URL where information about the institution's renewable energy sources is available: http://www.heliodyne.com/industry_professionals/downloads/GOBI%20Spec%20Sheet.pdf

Grounds

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that plan and maintain their grounds with sustainability in mind. Beautiful and welcoming campus grounds can be planned, planted, and maintained in any region while minimizing the use of toxic chemicals, protecting wildlife habitat, and conserving water and resources.

Credit	
Landscape Management	
Biodiversity	

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations

Facilities

Criteria

Institution's grounds include areas that are managed at one or more of the following levels:

1) Managed in accordance with an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Plan

2) Managed in accordance with a sustainable landscape management program

And/or

3) Organic, certified and/or protected

The level at which an area of grounds is managed may be determined as outlined in the table below:

Management Level	Standards and/or Certifications Required
1) IPM Plan	 IPM plan calls for: Using least-toxic chemical pesticides, Minimum use of chemicals, and Use of chemicals only in targeted locations and only for targeted species

2) Sustainable Landscape Management Program	 The program includes formally adopted guidelines, policies and/or practices that cover all of the following: Integrated pest management (see above) Plant stewardship - protecting and using existing vegetation (e.g. through the use of a tree care plan), using native and ecologically appropriate plants, and controlling and managing invasive species Soil stewardship - organic soils management practices that restore and/or maintain a natural nutrient cycle and limit the use of inorganic fertilizers and chemicals Use of environmentally preferable materials - utilizing reused, recycled and local and sustainably produced landscape materials Hydrology and water use - restoring and/or maintaining the integrity of the natural hydrology by promoting water infiltration, minimizing or eliminating the use of potable water for irrigation, and protecting/restoring riparian, wetland, and shoreline habitats and lost streams Materials management and waste minimization - composting and/or mulching waste from groundskeeping, including grass trimmings Snow and ice management (if applicable) - implementing technologies or strategies to reduce the environmental impacts of snow and ice removal
3) Organic, Certified and/or Protected	 Protected areas and land that is: Maintained in accordance with an organic land care standard or sustainable landscape management program that has eliminated the use of inorganic fertilizers and chemical pesticides, fungicides and herbicides in favor of ecologically preferable materials Certified Organic Certified under the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) Forest Management standard Certified under the Sustainable Sites Initiative™ (SITES™) and/or Managed specifically for carbon sequestration (as documented in policies, land management plans or the equivalent)

Land that meets multiple criteria should not be double-counted. An area of grounds that does not meet the standards specified for a particular management level should be reported at the next appropriate level for which it does meet the standards. For example, a landscape management program that includes an IPM plan and meets some, but not all, of the other standards listed for a sustainable landscape management plan should be reported at level 1 (IPM Plan).

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Figures required to calculate the total area of managed grounds::

	Area
Total campus area	11 Acres
Footprint of the institution's buildings	7 Acres
Area of undeveloped land, excluding any protected areas	0 Acres

Area of managed grounds that is::

	Area
Managed in accordance with an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Plan	0 Acres
Managed in accordance with a sustainable landscape management program that includes an IPM plan and otherwise meets the criteria outlined	4 Acres
Managed organically, third party certified and/or protected	0 Acres

A copy of the IPM plan:

The IPM plan :

Our IPM plan is not consolidated into a single document. Policies and protocols supporting IPM are managed by multiple departments and contract service providers on two campuses. A consolidated plan is in progress.

A brief summary of the institution's approach to sustainable landscape management:

CCA manages all grounds according to best practices and environmental stewardship. We use non-toxic methods of pest control, use native plant and tree species, maintain 3 organic gardens which are integrated into the curriculum, integrate green space into all campus planning initiatives and new development, and compost all plant debris.

A brief description of how the institution protects and uses existing vegetation, uses native and ecologically appropriate plants, and controls and manages invasive species:

The institution plants only native and drought tolerant species. This part of our campus planning guidelines. We partner with local landscape architects to help develop landscaping plans. Our landscaping company and Facilities department are knowledgable about management of native plants.

A brief description of the institution's landscape materials management and waste minimization policies and practices:

Partnering with our landscaper, waste management company, and members of the CCA community, we are able to compost 100 percent of plant debris.

A brief description of the institution's organic soils management practices:

Partnering with our landscaper, waste management company, and members of the CCA community, we are able to compost 100 percent of plant debris. Partnering with our landscaper and members of the CCA community, we monitor and manage water and soil resource use. We operate 3 organic gardens.

A brief description of the institution's use of environmentally preferable materials in landscaping and grounds management:

We require the use of non-toxic, organic, and locally sourced materials in all landscaping and grounds management. We only use native plants and tree species.

A brief description of how the institution restores and/or maintains the integrity of the natural hydrology of the campus:

We are an urban campus. We comply with municipal storm water requirements and maintain storm water management systems. We have implemented rainwater catchments, bioswales, raised beds, sidewalk greening, xeriscaped gardens, and irrigation controls to manage water resources.

A brief description of how the institution reduces the environmental impacts of snow and ice removal (if applicable):

A brief description of any certified and/or protected areas:

Is the institution recognized by the Arbor Day Foundation's Tree Campus USA program (if applicable)?: No

The website URL where information about the institution's sustainable landscape management programs and practices is available:

https://sites.google.com/a/cca.edu/sustainability/?pli=1

Biodiversity

Criteria

The institution conducts one or both of the following:

• An assessment to identify endangered and vulnerable species (including migratory species) with habitats on institution-owned or -managed land

And/or

• An assessment to identify environmentally sensitive areas on institution-owned or -managed land

The institution has plans or programs in place to protect or positively affect the species, habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas identified.

Assessments conducted and programs adopted by other entities (e.g. government, university system, NGO) may count for this credit as long as the assessments and programs apply to and are followed by the institution.

Purchasing

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are using their purchasing power to help build a sustainable economy. Collectively, colleges and universities spend many billions of dollars on goods and services annually. Each purchasing decision represents an opportunity for institutions to choose environmentally and socially preferable products and services and support companies with strong commitments to sustainability.

Credit
Electronics Purchasing
Cleaning Products Purchasing
Office Paper Purchasing
Inclusive and Local Purchasing
Life Cycle Cost Analysis
Guidelines for Business Partners

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has an institution-wide stated preference to purchase computers and/or other electronic products that are EPEAT registered or meet similar multi-criteria sustainability standards for electronic products. This can take the form of purchasing policies, guidelines, or directives.

Policies and directives adopted by entities of which the institution is part (e.g. government or university system) may count for this credit as long as the policies apply to and are followed by the institution.

Part 2

Institution purchases EPEAT registered products for desktop and notebook/laptop computers, displays, thin clients, televisions and imaging equipment.

This credit does not include servers, mobile devices such as tablets and smartphones, or specialized equipment for which no EPEAT certified products are available.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Does the institution have an institution-wide stated preference to purchase computers and/or other electronic products that are EPEAT registered or meet similar multi-criteria sustainability standards for electronic products?: Yes

A copy of the electronics purchasing policy, directive, or guidelines:

The electronics purchasing policy, directive, or guidelines :

Our institution purchases EPEAT and energy star certified devices for all of its computing facilities and staff work stations. We also develop recommended computer bundles to students that are EPEAT qualified.

A brief description of steps the institution has taken to ensure that the purchasing policy, directives, or guidelines are followed:

Our institution purchases EPEAT and energy star certified devices for all of its computing facilities and staff work stations. We also develop recommended computer bundles to students that are EPEAT qualified.

Does the institution wish to pursue to pursue Part 2 of this credit (expenditures on EPEAT registered electronics)?: Yes

Expenditures on EPEAT registered desktop and laptop computers, displays, thin clients, televisions, and imaging equipment::

	Expenditure Per Level
EPEAT Bronze	0 US/Canadian \$
EPEAT Silver	0 US/Canadian \$
EPEAT Gold	400,000 US/Canadian \$

Total expenditures on desktop and laptop computers, displays, thin clients, televisions, and imaging equipment:

400,000 US/Canadian \$

The website URL where information about the institution's electronics purchasing policy, directive, or guidelines is available:

http://www.apple.com/environment/letter-to-customers/

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has an institution-wide stated preference to purchase cleaning and janitorial products that are Green SealTM or UL Environment (EcoLogo)TM certified and/or meet similar multi-criteria sustainability standards for cleaning and janitorial products. This can take the form of purchasing policies, guidelines, or directives.

Policies and directives adopted by entities of which the institution is part (e.g. government or the university system) may count for this credit as long as the policies apply to and are followed by the institution.

Part 2

Institution's main cleaning or housekeeping department(s) and/or contractor(s) purchase Green Seal or UL Environment (EcoLogo) certified cleaning and janitorial products.

Cleaning and janitorial products include, at minimum:

- Cleaning/degreasing agents
- General-purpose, bathroom, glass, and carpet cleaners
- Biologically-active cleaning products (enzymatic and microbial products)
- Floor-care products, e.g. floor finish and floor finish strippers
- Hand cleaners
- Sanitary paper products, e.g. toilet tissue, facial tissue, paper towels, napkins, and placemats
- Plastic film products (e.g. garbage bags/liners)
- Laundry care products including powder, liquid or pre-measured dosage laundry detergents, stain removers and dryer sheets
- Specialty surface cleaning products and odor removers, including but not limited to: boat cleaning products; deck and outdoor
 furniture cleaning products; graffiti removers; metal cleaning products; motor vehicle (automotive/tire/wheel) cleaning products;
 motor vehicle windshield washing fluid; optical lens cleaning products; oven cleaning products; upholstery cleaning products; and
 other cleaning products sold for specific specialty uses

Submission Note:

We contract third party Janitorial service. From our janitorial service, "GMG Janitorial uses only green cleaning products and cleaning methods. Green Cleaning allows us to provide a healthier workplace by decreasing indoor office pollutants and improving air quality. GMG's Green Cleaning is good for employees, the community, and the environment at large.

At GMG, we are committed to Green Cleaning and naturally implement the US Green Building Council (USGBC) recommendations in all our janitorial services.

Cleaning processes that physically remove dirt rather than simply moving it Training for employees on how to use chemicals properly - maximizing cleaning effects while minimizing waste Educating our staff on Green Cleaning advancements Regular cleaning and maintenance checks on our equipment, so that it always works at peak capacity Green Cleaning Services GMG utilizes Green Cleaning at all times, including:

Environmentally-friendly Green Seal certified cleaning products

HEPA-filter vacuums for customers who request these

Paper and linen produced with post-recycled materials

Microfiber mops and rags which require less washing, thereby saving on water and detergent

Recycling programs for everything from glass and cans, paper, printer and copy machine toner cartridges, to e-waste, such as old office machines, computers, monitors and cell phones, which we keep out of landfills."

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Does the institution have an institution-wide stated preference to purchase third party certified cleaning and janitorial products?:

Yes

A copy of the green cleaning product purchasing policy, directive, or guidelines:

The green cleaning product purchasing policy, directive, or guidelines:

We contract third party Janitorial service. From our janitorial service, "GMG Janitorial uses only green cleaning products and cleaning methods. Green Cleaning allows us to provide a healthier workplace by decreasing indoor office pollutants and improving air quality. GMG's Green Cleaning is good for employees, the community, and the environment at large.

At GMG, we are committed to Green Cleaning and naturally implement the US Green Building Council (USGBC) recommendations in all our janitorial services.

Cleaning processes that physically remove dirt rather than simply moving it Training for employees on how to use chemicals properly - maximizing cleaning effects while minimizing waste Educating our staff on Green Cleaning advancements Regular cleaning and maintenance checks on our equipment, so that it always works at peak capacity Green Cleaning Services GMG utilizes Green Cleaning at all times, including:

Environmentally-friendly Green Seal certified cleaning products HEPA-filter vacuums for customers who request these Paper and linen produced with post-recycled materials Microfiber mops and rags which require less washing, thereby saving on water and detergent Recycling programs for everything from glass and cans, paper, printer and copy machine toner cartridges, to e-waste, such as old office machines, computers, monitors and cell phones, which we keep out of landfills." Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

A brief description of steps the institution has taken to ensure that the purchasing policy, directives, or guidelines are followed:

We contract third party Janitorial service. From our janitorial service, "GMG Janitorial uses only green cleaning products and cleaning methods. Green Cleaning allows us to provide a healthier workplace by decreasing indoor office pollutants and improving air quality. GMG's Green Cleaning is good for employees, the community, and the environment at large.

At GMG, we are committed to Green Cleaning and naturally implement the US Green Building Council (USGBC) recommendations in all our janitorial services.

Cleaning processes that physically remove dirt rather than simply moving it Training for employees on how to use chemicals properly - maximizing cleaning effects while minimizing waste Educating our staff on Green Cleaning advancements Regular cleaning and maintenance checks on our equipment, so that it always works at peak capacity Green Cleaning Services GMG utilizes Green Cleaning at all times, including:

Environmentally-friendly Green Seal certified cleaning products HEPA-filter vacuums for customers who request these Paper and linen produced with post-recycled materials Microfiber mops and rags which require less washing, thereby saving on water and detergent Recycling programs for everything from glass and cans, paper, printer and copy machine toner cartridges, to e-waste, such as old office machines, computers, monitors and cell phones, which we keep out of landfills."

Does the institution wish to pursue Part 2 of this credit (expenditures on cleaning and janitorial products)?: No

Expenditures on Green Seal and/or UL Environment (EcoLogo) certified cleaning and janitorial products:

Total expenditures on cleaning and janitorial products:

Has the institution's main cleaning or housekeeping department(s) and/or contractor(s) adopted a Green Seal or ISSA certified low-impact, ecological ("green") cleaning program?:

A brief description of the institution's low-impact, ecological cleaning program:

A copy of the sections of the cleaning contract(s) that reference certified green products:

The sections of the cleaning contract(s) that reference certified green products:

The website URL where information about the institution's green cleaning initiatives is available: http://www.gmgjanitorial.com/greencleaning.php

Office Paper Purchasing

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has an institution-wide stated preference to purchase office paper that has recycled content, is certified by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), and/or is certified to meet similar multi-criteria sustainability standards for paper. This can take the form of purchasing policies, guidelines, or directives.

Policies and directives adopted by entities of which the institution is part (e.g. government or the university system) may count for this credit as long as the policies apply to and are followed by the institution.

Part 2

Institution purchases office paper with post-consumer recycled, agricultural residue, and/or FSC certified content.

Inclusive and Local Purchasing

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has an institution-wide stated intent to support disadvantaged businesses, social enterprises, and/or local community-based businesses.

Support could take the form of giving preference during RFP processes, conducting targeted outreach to these businesses about opportunities to work with the institution, and/or other efforts to increase purchases made from such businesses.

Part 2

Institution makes purchases from companies that include disadvantaged businesses, social enterprises and/or local community-based businesses.

Purchases that meet multiple criteria listed above should not be double counted. Food and beverage purchases, which are covered by *OP* 6: Food and Beverage Purchasing and *OP* 7: Low Impact Dining, are not included in this credit.

Life Cycle Cost Analysis

Criteria

Institution employs Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) as a matter of policy and practice when evaluating energy- and water-using products and systems. Practices may include structuring RFPs so that vendors compete on the basis of lowest total cost of ownership (TCO) in addition to (or instead of) purchase price.

Guidelines for Business Partners

Criteria

Institution has and acts on policies, guidelines and/or agreements that set expectations about the social and environmental responsibility of its business partners. The policies, guidelines and/or agreements require new and/or existing vendors and contractors and/or franchisees to adhere to:

1) Minimum environmental standards and practices defined by the institution, for example as outlined by the institution's sustainability policies

And/or

2) Minimum standards and practices governing employee wages, benefits, working conditions and rights that are consistent with fundamental International Labor Organization (ILO) conventions.

All enterprises with employees on-site as part of regular campus operations (e.g. contractors and franchisees) and other standing and/or formal business relationships (e.g. regular vendors and contracted services) are included.

Businesses that produce and/or sell licensed articles bearing the institution's trademarked logo ("licensees") are not included. They are covered in *EN 15: Trademark Licensing*.

The credit acknowledges institutional engagement in selecting its business partners and guiding them toward sustainability. Policies, guidelines or practices of the businesses themselves do not count for this credit in the absence of institutional selection criteria and/or guidance. Requiring compliance with existing legislation does not count on its own, but may be included as part of broader requirements that meet the criteria outlined above.

Policies adopted by entities of which the institution is part (e.g. government or university system) may count for this credit as long as the policies apply to and are followed by the institution.

Transportation

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are moving toward sustainable transportation systems. Transportation is a major source of greenhouse gas emissions and other pollutants that contribute to health problems such as heart and respiratory diseases and cancer. Due to disproportionate exposure, these health impacts are frequently more pronounced in low-income communities next to major transportation corridors. In addition, the extraction, production, and global distribution of fuels for transportation can damage environmentally and/or culturally significant ecosystems and may financially benefit hostile and/or oppressive governments.

At the same time, campuses can reap benefits from modeling sustainable transportation systems. Bicycling and walking provide human health benefits and mitigate the need for large areas of paved surface, which can help campuses to better manage storm water. Institutions may realize cost savings and help support local economies by reducing their dependency on petroleum-based fuels for transportation.

Credit
Campus Fleet
Student Commute Modal Split
Employee Commute Modal Split
Support for Sustainable Transportation

Campus Fleet

Criteria

Institution supports alternative fuel and power technology by including in its motorized vehicle fleet vehicles that are:

- A. Gasoline-electric hybrid
- B. Diesel-electric hybrid
- C. Plug-in hybrid
- D. 100 percent electric
- E. Fueled with Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)
- F. Hydrogen fueled

G. Fueled with B20 or higher biofuel for more than 4 months of the year

And/or

H. Fueled with locally produced, low-level (e.g. B5) biofuel for more than 4 months of the year (e.g. fuel contains cooking oil recovered and recycled on campus or in the local community)

For this credit, the institution's motorized fleet includes all cars, carts, trucks, tractors, buses and similar vehicles used for transporting people and/or goods, including both leased vehicles and vehicles that are institution-owned and operated. Heavy construction equipment (e.g. excavators and pavers), maintenance equipment (e.g. lawn-mowers and leaf blowers), and demonstration/test vehicles used for educational purposes are not included in this credit.

Vehicles that meet multiple criteria (e.g. hybrid vehicles fueled with biofuel) should not be double-counted.

This credit was marked as **Not Applicable** for the following reason:

Institution does not have a motorized vehicle fleet.

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Institution's students commute to and from campus using more sustainable commuting options such as walking, bicycling, vanpooling or carpooling, taking public transportation, riding motorcycles or scooters, riding a campus shuttle, or a combination of these options.

Students who live on campus should be included in the calculation based on how they get to and from their classes.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Total percentage of students that use more sustainable commuting options:

85

The percentage of students that use each of the following modes as their primary means of transportation to get to and from campus::

	Percentage (0-100)
Commute with only the driver in the vehicle (excluding motorcycles and scooters)	15
Walk, bicycle, or use other non-motorized means	80
Vanpool or carpool	0
Take a campus shuttle or public transportation	30
Use a motorcycle, scooter or moped	5

A brief description of the method(s) used to gather data about student commuting:

Cars not allowed for first year students in college housing. Shuttle seats counted and reported monthly. Total number and occupancy of bike racks tracked by facilities.

The website URL where information about sustainable transportation for students is available:

https://sites.google.com/a/cca.edu/sustainability/

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Institution's employees (faculty, staff, and administrators) get to and from campus using more sustainable commuting options such as walking, bicycling, vanpooling or carpooling, taking public transportation, riding motorcycles or scooters, riding a campus shuttle, telecommuting, or a combination of these options.

Employees who live on campus should be included in the calculation based on how they get to and from their workplace.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Total percentage of the institution's employees that use more sustainable commuting options: 50

The percentage of the institution's employees that use each of the following modes as their primary means of transportation to and from campus::

	Percentage (0-100)
Commute with only the driver in the vehicle (excluding motorcycles and scooters)	15
Walk, bicycle, or use other non-motorized means	20
Vanpool or carpool	5
Take a campus shuttle or public transportation	5
Use a motorcycle, scooter or moped	5
Telecommute for 50 percent or more of their regular work hours	0

A brief description of the method(s) used to gather data about employee commuting:

HR department tracks staff enrolled in commuter benefits program. College operated shuttle records staff ID's. Annual surveys coordinated with regional transit organizations.

The website URL where information about sustainable transportation for employees is available:

https://sites.google.com/a/cca.edu/sustainability/

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Part 1

The institution demonstrates its support for active (i.e. non-motorized) transportation on campus in one or more of the following ways:

Option A: Institution:

- Provides secure bicycle storage (not including office space), shower facilities, and lockers for bicycle commuters. The storage, shower facilities and lockers are co-located in at least one building/location that is accessible to all commuters.
- Provides short-term bicycle parking (e.g. racks) within 50 ft (15 m) of all occupied, non-residential buildings and makes long-term bicycle storage available within 330 ft (100 m) of all residence halls (if applicable).
- Has a "complete streets" or bicycle accommodation policy (or adheres to a local community policy) and/or has a continuous network of dedicated bicycle and pedestrian paths and lanes that connects all occupied buildings and at least one inter-modal transportation node (i.e. transit stop or station)

And/or

• Has a bicycle-sharing program or participates in a local bicycle-sharing program

Option B: Institution is certified as a Bicycle Friendly University (at any level) by the League of American Bicyclists (U.S.) or under a similar third party certification for non-motorized transportation.

Part 2

Institution has implemented one or more of the following strategies to encourage more sustainable modes of transportation and reduce the impact of student and employee commuting. The institution:

- Offers free or reduced price transit passes and/or operates a free campus shuttle for commuters. The transit passes may be offered by the institution itself, through the larger university system of which the institution is a part, or through a regional program provided by a government agency.
- Offers a guaranteed return trip (GRT) program to regular users of alternative modes of transportation
- Participates in a car/vanpool or ride sharing program and/or offers reduced parking fees or preferential parking for car/vanpoolers
- Participates in a car sharing program, such as a commercial car-sharing program, one administered by the institution, or one administered by a regional organization
- Has one or more Level 2 or Level 3 electric vehicle recharging stations that are accessible to student and employee commuters
- Offers a telecommuting program for employees, either as a matter of policy or as standard practice
- Offers a condensed work week option for employees, either as a matter of policy or as standard practice
- · Has incentives or programs to encourage employees to live close to campus

Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

• Other strategies

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Does the institution provide secure bicycle storage (not including office space), shower facilities, and lockers for bicycle commuters?:

Yes

A brief description of the facilities for bicycle commuters:

indoor parking for 70% of bikes

Does the institution provide short-term bicycle parking (e.g. racks) within 50 ft (15 m) of all occupied, non-residential buildings and make long-term bicycle storage available within 330 ft (100 m) of all residence halls (if applicable)?: Yes

A brief description of the bicycle parking and storage facilities:

indoor parking for 70% of bikes

Does the institution have a "complete streets" or bicycle accommodation policy (or adhere to a local community policy) and/or have a continuous network of dedicated bicycle and pedestrian paths and lanes?: Yes

A brief description of the bicycle/pedestrian policy and/or network:

www.safebikes.org

Does the institution have a bicycle-sharing program or participate in a local bicycle-sharing program?: No

A brief description of the bicycle sharing program:

Is the institution certified as a Bicycle Friendly University by the League of American Bicyclists (U.S.) or under a similar third party certification covering non-motorized transportation?:

A brief description of the certification, including date certified and level:

Does the institution offer free or reduced price transit passes and/or operate a free campus shuttle for commuters?: Yes

A brief description of the mass transit program(s), (s), including availability, participation levels, and specifics about discounts or subsidies offered (including pre-tax options):

To supplement public transportation options, CCA provides free limited shuttle service between the Oakland and San Francisco campuses and Webster Hall.

Does the institution offer a guaranteed return trip (GRT) program to regular users of alternative modes of transportation?:

No

A brief description of the GRT program:

Does the institution participate in a car/vanpool or ride sharing program and/or offer reduced parking fees or preferential parking for car/vanpoolers?:

No

A brief description of the carpool/vanpool program:

Does the institution participate in a car sharing program, such as a commercial car-sharing program, one administered by the institution, or one administered by a regional organization?:

Yes

A brief description of the car sharing program:

CCA uses an external vendor, ZipCar, as its official car share service. Departments have accounts to secure cars when needed. In addition, the college's newest student housing project, an 11 story LEED Platinum (targeted) facility has two on site ZipCar spots, 200 secure indoor bike parking spots, and is otherwise a car free building with zero parking spots and excellent transit adjacency.

A brief description of the electric vehicle recharging stations:

Does the institution offer a telecommuting program for employees as a matter of policy or as standard practice?: No

A brief description of the telecommuting program:

Does the institution offer a condensed work week option for employees as a matter of policy or as standard practice?: No

A brief description of the condensed work week program:

Does the institution have incentives or programs to encourage employees to live close to campus?: No

A brief description of the incentives or programs to encourage employees to live close to campus:

Does the institution have other incentives or programs to encourage more sustainable modes of transportation and reduce the impact of student and employee commuting?: No

A brief description of other sustainable transportation initiatives and programs:

The website URL where information about the institution's sustainable transportation program(s) is available: https://sites.google.com/a/cca.edu/sustainability/

Waste

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are moving toward zero waste by reducing, reusing, recycling, and composting. These actions mitigate the need to extract virgin materials, such as trees and metals. It generally takes less energy and water to make a product with recycled material than with virgin resources. Reducing waste generation also reduces the flow of waste to incinerators and landfills which produce greenhouse gas emissions, can contaminate air and groundwater supplies, and tend to have disproportionate negative impacts on low-income communities. Waste reduction and diversion also save institutions costly landfill and hauling service fees. In addition, waste reduction campaigns can engage the entire campus community in contributing to a tangible sustainability goal.

Credit
Waste Minimization
Waste Diversion
Construction and Demolition Waste Diversion
Hazardous Waste Management

Waste Minimization

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has implemented source reduction strategies to reduce the total amount of waste generated (materials diverted + materials disposed) per weighted campus user compared to a baseline.

Part 2

Institution's total annual waste generation (materials diverted and disposed) is less than the minimum performance threshold of 0.45 tons (0.41 tonnes) per weighted campus user.

This credit includes on-campus dining services operated by the institution or the institution's primary on-site contractor.

Total waste generation includes all materials that the institution discards, intends to discard or is required to discard (e.g. materials recycled, composted, donated, re-sold and disposed of as trash) except construction, demolition, electronic, hazardous, special (e.g. coal ash), universal and non-regulated chemical waste, which are covered in *OP 24: Construction and Demolition Waste Diversion* and *OP 25: Hazardous Waste Management*.

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Institution diverts materials from the landfill or incinerator by recycling, composting, reusing, donating, or re-selling.

This credit includes on-campus dining services operated by the institution or the institution's primary on-site contractor.

This credit does not include construction, demolition, electronic, hazardous, special (e.g. coal ash), universal and non-regulated chemical waste, which are covered in *OP 24: Construction and Demolition Waste Diversion* and *OP 25: Hazardous Waste Management*.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Materials diverted from the solid waste landfill or incinerator:

370 Tons

Materials disposed in a solid waste landfill or incinerator :

640 Tons

A brief description of programs, policies, infrastructure investments, outreach efforts, and/or other factors that contributed to the diversion rate, including efforts made during the previous three years:

Waste diversion is major component of our curriculum, our operations, and our waste management company. We operate a materials re-use center to capture all reusable or upcyclable materials from student, faculty and staff project work.

https://www.cca.edu/about/administration/studio-resources/backlot

We partner with a local organization called KIDmob that reclaims and distributes project materials into classrooms.

http://kidmob.org/about/

We partner with Recology and employ their three bin system on both campuses including educational signage and labeling system.

http://www.recologysf.com/index.php/for-businesses/commercial-recycling-compost-trash#compostin

Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

A brief description of any food donation programs employed by the institution:

Annual food drive

A brief description of any pre-consumer food waste composting program employed by the institution:

We partner with Recology and employ their three bin system on both campuses including educational signage and labeling system.

http://www.recologysf.com/index.php/for-businesses/commercial-recycling-compost-trash#compostin

g

A brief description of any post-consumer food waste composting program employed by the institution:

We partner with Recology and employ their three bin system on both campuses including educational signage and labeling system.

http://www.recologysf.com/index.php/for-businesses/commercial-recycling-compost-trash#compostin

g

Does the institution include the following materials in its waste diversion efforts?:

	Yes or No
Paper, plastics, glass, metals, and other recyclable containers	Yes
Food donations	No
Food for animals	No
Food composting	Yes
Cooking oil	No

Plant materials composting	Yes
Animal bedding composting	No
Batteries	Yes
Light bulbs	Yes
Toner/ink-jet cartridges	Yes
White goods (i.e. appliances)	Yes
Laboratory equipment	No
Furniture	Yes
Residence hall move-in/move-out waste	Yes
Scrap metal	Yes
Pallets	Yes
Motor oil	No
Tires	No

Other materials that the institution includes in its waste diversion efforts:

Construction and Demolition Waste Diversion

Criteria

Institution diverts non-hazardous construction and demolition waste from the landfill and/or incinerator.

Soil and organic debris from excavating or clearing the site do not count for this credit.

Hazardous Waste Management

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has strategies in place to safely dispose of all hazardous, special (e.g. coal ash), universal, and non-regulated chemical waste and seeks to minimize the presence of these materials on campus.

Part 2

Institution has a program in place to recycle, reuse, and/or refurbish electronic waste generated by the institution and/or its students. Institution takes measures to ensure that the electronic waste is recycled responsibly, for example by using a recycler certified under the e-Stewards and/or R2 standards.

Water

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are conserving water, making efforts to protect water quality and treating water as a resource rather than a waste product. Pumping, delivering, and treating water is a major driver of energy consumption, so institutions can help reduce energy use and the greenhouse gas emissions associated with energy generation by conserving water. Likewise, conservation, water recycling and reuse, and effective rainwater management practices are important in maintaining and protecting finite groundwater supplies. Water conservation and effective rainwater and wastewater management also reduce the need for effluent discharge into local surface water supplies, which helps improve the health of local water ecosystems.

Credit
Water Use
Rainwater Management
Wastewater Management

Water Use

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has reduced its potable water use per weighted campus user compared to a baseline.

Part 2

Institution has reduced its potable water use per gross square foot/metre of floor area compared to a baseline.

Part 3

Institution has reduced its total water use (potable + non-potable) per acre/hectare of vegetated grounds compared to a baseline.

Rainwater Management

Criteria

Part 1

Institution uses Low Impact Development (LID) practices as a matter of policy or standard practice to reduce rainwater/stormwater runoff volume and improve outgoing water quality for new construction, major renovation, and other projects that increase paved surface area on campus or otherwise significantly change the campus grounds.

The policy, plan, and/or strategies cover the entire campus. While the specific strategies or practices adopted may vary depending on project type and location, this credit is reserved for institutions that mitigate rainwater runoff impacts consistently during new construction. Implementing a strategy or strategies for only one new development project is not sufficient for Part 1 of this credit.

Part 2

Institution has adopted a rainwater/stormwater management policy, plan, and/or strategies that mitigate the rainwater runoff impacts of ongoing campus operations and treat rainwater as a resource rather than as a waste product.

The policy, plan, and/or strategies address both the quantity and quality (or contamination level) of rainwater runoff through the use of green infrastructure. Though specific practices adopted may vary across the campus, the policy, plan, and/or strategies cover the entire institution. Implementing strategies for only one building or area of campus is not sufficient for Part 2 of this credit.

Policies adopted by entities of which the institution is part (e.g. state government or the university system) may count for both parts of this credit as long as the policies apply to and are followed by the institution.

Wastewater Management

Criteria

Institution's wastewater is handled naturally on campus or in the local community. Natural wastewater systems include, but are not limited to, constructed treatment wetlands and Living Machines. To count, wastewater must be treated to secondary or tertiary standards prior to release to water bodies.

This credit recognizes natural handling of the water discharged by the institution. On-site recycling/reuse of greywater and/or blackwater is recognized in *OP 26: Water Use*.

Planning & Administration

Coordination, Planning & Governance

This subcategory seeks to recognize colleges and universities that are institutionalizing sustainability by dedicating resources to sustainability coordination, developing plans to move toward sustainability, and engaging students, staff and faculty in governance. Staff and other resources help an institution organize, implement, and publicize sustainability initiatives. These resources provide the infrastructure that fosters sustainability within an institution. Sustainability planning affords an institution the opportunity to clarify its vision of a sustainable future, establish priorities and help guide budgeting and decision making. Strategic planning and internal stakeholder engagement in governance are important steps in making sustainability a campus priority and may help advocates implement changes to achieve sustainability goals.

Credit
Sustainability Coordination
Sustainability Planning
Governance

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett Director of Facilities and Operations Facilities

Criteria

Institution has at least one sustainability committee, office, and/or officer tasked by the administration or board of trustees to advise on and implement policies and programs related to sustainability on campus. The committee, office, and/or officer focus on sustainability broadly (i.e. not just one sustainability issue, such as climate change) and cover the entire institution.

An institution that has multiple committees, offices and/or staff with responsibility for subsets of the institution (e.g. schools or departments) may earn points for this credit if it has a mechanism for broad sustainability coordination for the entire campus (e.g. a coordinating committee or the equivalent). A committee, office, and/or officer that focuses on just one department or school within the institution does not count for this credit in the absence of institution-wide coordination.

"---" indicates that no data was submitted for this field

Does the institution have at least one sustainability committee, office, and/or officer that focuses on sustainability broadly and covers the entire institution?:

Yes

A brief description of the activities and substantive accomplishments of the committee(s), office(s), and/or officer(s) during the previous three years:

2013-14: CCA receives \$200,000 National Science Foundation Grant 2012-13: Finalized membership in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 2011-12: Implemented composting initiative

Does the institution have at least one sustainability committee?:

Yes

The charter or mission statement of the committee(s) or a brief description of each committee's purview and activities:

President's Sustainability Steering Group:

The PSSG devised a statement of values that represents the college's core principles as they pertain to sustainability. These basic tenants are drawn upon frequently to ensure all future growth—curricular, technological, architectural—takes into consideration these best-practice guidelines. Minimize harm and optimize benefits to the environment and society in our daily endeavors; Apply the sustainability values we teach to our students to our facilities, transportation, and purchasing and investing practices; Provide the tools

Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

and resources that motivate our community members to be sustainability leaders; Draw on and contribute to the resources, knowledge, and initiatives uniquely available in the San Francisco Bay Area; Foster an academic and operational culture of continuing sustainability innovation; Identify and promote career opportunities in sustainable practices for our students.

Members of each committee, including affiliations and role (e.g. staff, student, or faculty):

President's Sustainability Steering Group: Committee chair (1) David Meckel, President's Office Faculty (2) Christine Metzger, H&S Lynda Grose, Fashion Students (2) Lisa Ferkel, Graphic Design Emily Falk, Architecture Staff (4) Thomas Haakenson, Provost's Office Zane Murray, Shops Noah Bartlett, Facilities Noki Seekao, Student Affairs Trustee (1) Byron Kuth, Kuth Ranieri Architects

The website URL where information about the sustainability committee(s) is available:

https://www.cca.edu/about/sustainability/presidents-steering-group

Does the institution have at least one sustainability office that includes more than 1 full-time equivalent (FTE) employee?:

No

A brief description of each sustainability office:

Full-time equivalent (FTE) of people employed in the sustainability office(s):

The website URL where information about the sustainability office(s) is available:

Does the institution have at least one sustainability officer?:

Yes

A brief description of each sustainability officer position:

David Meckel, FAIA, is the Director of Campus Planning. In this role he directs all of the college's long range planning efforts, chairs the President's Sustainability Steering Group, and directs external affairs related to neighborhood and civic engagement. Meckel has a long association with the college. In 1985, he was hired to develop CCA's architecture program and served as founding chair for two years. From 1987 to 1992, he was campus architect, responsible for developing facilities to support the college's growing enrollment. He was appointed dean of the School of Architectural Studies in 1992 and served in that capacity until 2000. Following an academic restructuring in 2000, Meckel became special assistant to the president, advising on facilities and strategic planning. President Stephen Beal commented on Meckel's role, "David has been a tremendous asset to CCA for over 20 years. He will continues to play a leadership role in shaping the future of the college. His extensive experience in sustainability, facility planning and program development, his professional expertise as an architect and his thorough knowledge of our programs make him invaluable to the college." Meckel holds a BS in Architecture from the University of Southern California and a Masters in Architecture from Columbia University. He began his career working with renowned designers Charles and Ray Eames in their Venice, California studio. He directed all the design work for the 1984 Olympic Games in Los Angeles**H** recently he received a City Legacy Award for this effort.

The website URL where information about the sustainability officer(s) is available:

Responsible Party

Noah Bartlett

Director of Facilities and Operations

Facilities

Criteria

Institution has current and formal plans to advance sustainability. The plan(s) cover one or more of the following areas:

- Curriculum
- Research (or other scholarship appropriate for the institution)
- Campus Engagement
- Public Engagement
- Air & Climate
- Buildings
- Dining Services/Food
- Energy
- Grounds
- Purchasing
- Transportation
- Waste
- Water
- Diversity & Affordability
- Health, Wellbeing & Work
- Investment
- Other

The plan(s) may include measurable objectives with corresponding strategies and timeframes to achieve the objectives.

The criteria may be met by any combination of formally adopted plans, for example:

- Strategic plan or equivalent guiding document
- Campus master plan or physical campus plan
- Sustainability plan
- Climate action plan
- Human resources strategic plan
- Diversity plan

For institutions that are a part of a larger system, plans developed at the system level are eligible for this credit.

Does the institution have current and formal plans to advance sustainability in the following areas? Do the plans include measurable objectives?:

	Current and Formal Plans (Yes or No) Measurable Objectives (Yes or N		
Curriculum	Yes	Yes	
Research (or other scholarship)	No	No	
Campus Engagement	No	No	
Public Engagement	No No		
Air and Climate	Yes Yes		
Buildings	Yes	Yes	
Dining Services/Food	No	No	
Energy	Yes	Yes	
Grounds	Yes	Yes	
Purchasing	No	No	
Transportation	Yes Yes		
Waste	Yes Yes		
Water	Yes Yes		
Diversity and Affordability	Yes Yes		
Health, Wellbeing and Work	No	No	
Investment	No	No	

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Curriculum:

CCA is developing a minor in Environmental Studies as well as a series of "Science in the Studio" courses, as a result of a significant grant from the National Science Foundation.

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Curriculum plan(s):

CCA is developing a robust program of college-wide and individual program learning outcomes. Several of these outcomes speak directly to the issue of sustainability.

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Curriculum plan(s):

Academic Affairs, under the guidance of the Provost (Dr. Melanie Corn), Associate Provost (Dr. Thomas O. Haakenson), and Director of Learning Assessment and Accreditation (Dr. Dominick Tracy) are primarily responsible for these college-wide initiatives.

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Research (or other scholarship):

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Research plan(s):

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Research plan(s):

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance Campus Engagement around sustainability:

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Campus Engagement plan:

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Campus Engagement plan(s):

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Public Engagement plan(s):

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Public Engagement plan(s):

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Air and Climate:

CCA is committed to reducing scope 1 and 2 related GHG emissions that contribute to air and climate degradation. CCA continues to incentivize use of alternative transportation, continue to advocate for enhanced public transportation services, develop car share and vehicle charging station opportunities, implement more storage and support solutions to continue to support our cyclist community, and transition our operations and business processes to reduce lifecycle impacts of our decisions.

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Air and Climate plan(s):

We have both single year and multiyear objectives. We set objectives annually including budgetary, project planning, and communication based strategies to support sustainability initiatives selected for the following academic and/or fiscal year. We are developing a sustainability reporting website to track and communicate objectives, strategies, and timeframes.

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Air and Climate plan(s):

Noah Bartlett - Chief Facilities Officer, Facilities Department

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Buildings:

Minimum LEED Silver and 25% better than Title 24

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Buildings plan(s):

all new and renovated buildings conform to these standards

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Buildings plan(s):

David Meckel, Director of Campus Planning

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Dining Services/Food:

Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Dining Services/Food plan(s):

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Dining Services/Food plan(s):

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Energy:

CCA is pursuing capital renewal and recommissioning of many building systems including mechanical and building envelope improvements. We are continuing to implement controls and sensor systems to enhance efficiency. We closely monitor our utility statements and benchmark resource use. We use the EPA's Energy Star Portfolio Manager tool to collect and monitor energy use data. We use the "Clean Air - Cool Planet" campus carbon calculator provided by the ACUPCC to calculate emissions figures.

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Energy plan(s):

We have both single year and multiyear objectives. We set objectives annually including budgetary, project planning, and communication based strategies to support sustainability initiatives selected for the following academic and/or fiscal year. We are developing a sustainability reporting website to track and communicate objectives, strategies, and timeframes.

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Energy plan(s):

Noah Bartlett - Chief Facilities Officer, Facilities Department

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Grounds:

CCA continues to expand its natural science curriculum, gardening and green space components of student life, and develop our built-living environment. We recently expanded our student organic garden, replenished our native species plantings in several areas of campus grounds, increased the urban forest in our neighborhood, and revised our IPM to specifically exclude use of toxic methods of pest and weed control.

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Grounds plan(s):

We have both single year and multiyear objectives. We set objectives annually including budgetary, project planning, and communication based strategies to support sustainability initiatives selected for the following academic and/or fiscal year. We are developing a sustainability reporting website to track and communicate objectives, strategies, and timeframes.

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Grounds plan(s):

Noah Bartlett - Chief Facilities Officer, Facilities Department

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Purchasing:

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Purchasing plan(s):

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Purchasing plan(s):

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Transportation:

(for contracted campus shuttles) In 2008 Storer applied for and was granted money from the California Air Resources Board to retro fit all of our diesel buses with clean air particulate traps. All 2003, 2005, 2006 and 2007 school buses have been retro fitted. Our 2010 and newer diesel buses were purchased with clean air particulate traps as part of the original manufactures equipment. Storer has also purchased and operated Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) vehicles for the past 14 years. This is a practice we continue to follow. We currently operate 17 CNG vehicles, 7 of these were purchased in the past two years.

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Transportation plan(s):

Storer continues to purchase clean air particulate traps and CNG powered vehicles. Storer is committed to reduce emissions of diesel particulate matter, oxides of nitrogen and other criteria pollutants from in—use heavy-duty diesel-fueled vehicles.

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Transportation plan(s):

Passenger Transportation is a highly regulated industry with tough State and Federal inspections. Storer Transportation is an industry leader with respect to best practice and safety. Our company as a whole is accountable to follow Storer's strict policies and procedures that relate to air quality control and best practices.

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Waste:

CCA is fortunate to operate in one of the greenest cities in the US and benefits from forward thinking policies, resources, and a community that helps enhance landfill diversion, recycling, upcycling and material reuse, and support consumer decision making. Our waste management company supported by local ordinances and initiatives has an ambitious goal to achieve zero waste by 2020. More here:

http://www.recologysf.com/index.php/for-community/zero

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Waste plan(s):

We have both single year and multiyear objectives. We set objectives annually including budgetary, project planning, and communication based strategies to support sustainability initiatives selected for the following academic and/or fiscal year. We are developing a sustainability reporting website to track and communicate objectives, strategies, and timeframes.

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Waste plan(s):

Noah Bartlett - Chief Facilities Officer, Facilities Department

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Water:

On an annual basis we identify opportunities to save water through equipment and technology in our buildings and operations. We continue to implement water saving equipment in our kitchens, bathrooms, and irrigation systems including water bottle fillers, low flow valves and sensor operated faucets, timer and sprinkler system improvements, and drought tolerance in our landscaping activities. We closely monitor our utility statements and benchmark resource use.

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Water plan(s):

We have both single year and multiyear objectives. We set objectives annually including budgetary, project planning, and communication based strategies to support sustainability initiatives selected for the following academic and/or fiscal year. We are developing a sustainability reporting website to track and communicate objectives, strategies, and timeframes.

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Water plan(s):

Noah Bartlett - Chief Facilities Officer, Facilities Department

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance Diversity and Affordability:

"One of the five main themes of CCA's five-year strategic plan is to ""cultivate diversity."" Each of goals listed below includes three to four college-wide objectives that relate to the theme of cultivating diversity. Increase racial, socioeconomic, and global diversity among students, staff, faculty, and trustees; Develop our pedagogy and curriculum to reflect social and cultural diversity; Build a campus community that supports and values diversity

The President's Diversity Steering Group (PDSG) is tasked to further refine these goals with specific initiatives.

https://www.cca.edu/about/diversity/steering/goals"

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Diversity and Affordability plan(s):

Increase racial, socioeconomic, and global diversity among students, staff, faculty, and trustees Develop our pedagogy and curriculum to reflect social and cultural diversity Build a campus community that supports and values diversity timeframe: 2010-2015

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Diversity and Affordability plan(s):

President's Diversity Steering Group

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Health, Wellbeing and Work:

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Health, Wellbeing and Work plan(s):

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Health, Wellbeing and Work plan(s):

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in Investment:

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the Investment plan(s):

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the Investment plan(s):

A brief description of the plan(s) to advance sustainability in other areas:

material use and reuse in making practices. Elimination of volatile and dangerous art making practices.

The measurable objectives, strategies and timeframes included in the other plan(s):

Elimination of volatile and dangerous art making practices.

Accountable parties, offices or departments for the other plan(s):

Campus Sustainability Data Collector | AASHE

Zane Murray, Director of Institutional Fabrication

The institution's definition of sustainability:

www.epa.gov

definition

Sustainability is based on a simple principle: Everything that we need for our survival and well-being depends, either directly or indirectly, on our natural environment. Sustainability creates and maintains the conditions under which humans and nature can exist in productive harmony, that permit fulfilling the social, economic and other requirements of present and future generations.

Does the institution's strategic plan or equivalent guiding document include sustainability at a high level?: Yes

A brief description of how the institution's strategic plan or equivalent guiding document addresses sustainability:

One of the 5 strategic plan sections is "Lead Responsibly" which articulates the role of sustainability in the college's mission and operations.

The website URL where information about the institution's sustainability planning is available:

https://sites.google.com/a/cca.edu/sustainability/

Governance

Criteria

Part 1

Institution's students participate in governance in one or more of the following ways:

A. All enrolled students, regardless of type or status, have an avenue to participate in one or more governance bodies (through direct participation or the election of representatives)

B. There is at least one student representative on the institution's governing body. To count, student representatives must be elected by their peers or appointed by a representative student body or organization.

And/or

C. Students have a formal role in decision-making in regard to one or more of the following:

- Establishing organizational mission, vision, and/or goals
- · Establishing new policies, programs, or initiatives
- Strategic and long-term planning
- Existing or prospective physical resources
- Budgeting, staffing and financial planning
- Communications processes and transparency practices
- Prioritization of programs and projects

Part 2

Institution's staff participate in governance in one or more of the following ways:

A. All staff members, regardless of type or status, have an avenue to participate in one or more governance bodies (through direct participation or the election of representatives)

B. There is at least one non-supervisory staff representative on the institution's governing body. To count, staff representatives must be elected by their peers or appointed by a representative staff body or organization.

And/or

C. Non-supervisory staff have a formal role in decision-making in regard to one or more of the areas outlined in Part 1.

Part 3

Institution's faculty participate in governance in one or more of the following ways:

A. All faculty members, regardless of type or status, have an avenue to participate in one or more governance bodies (through direct participation or the election of representatives)

B. There is at least one teaching or research faculty representative on the institution's governing body. To count, faculty representatives must be elected by their peers or appointed by a representative faculty body or organization.

And/or

C. Faculty have a formal role in decision-making in regard to one or more of the areas outlined in Part 1.

Participatory or shared governance bodies, structures and/or mechanisms may be managed by the institution (e.g. committees, councils, senates), by stakeholder groups (e.g. student, faculty and staff committees/organizations), or jointly (e.g. union/management structures).

Structures or mechanisms adopted by entities of which the institution is part (e.g. government or university system) may count for this credit as long as they apply and are adhered to by the institution.

Diversity & Affordability

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that are working to advance diversity and affordability on campus. In order to build a sustainable society, diverse groups will need to be able to come together and work collaboratively to address sustainability challenges. Members of racial and ethnic minority groups and immigrant, indigenous and low-income communities tend to suffer disproportionate exposure to environmental problems. This environmental injustice happens as a result of unequal and segregated or isolated communities. To achieve environmental and social justice, society must work to address discrimination and promote equality. The historical legacy and persistence of discrimination based on racial, gender, religious, and other differences makes a proactive approach to promoting a culture of inclusiveness an important component of creating an equitable society. Higher education opens doors to opportunities that can help create a more equitable world, and those doors must be open through affordable programs accessible to all regardless of race, gender, religion, socio-economic status and other differences. In addition, a diverse student body, faculty, and staff provide rich resources for learning and collaboration.

Credit
Diversity and Equity Coordination
Assessing Diversity and Equity
Support for Underrepresented Groups
Support for Future Faculty Diversity
Affordability and Access

Diversity and Equity Coordination

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has a diversity and equity committee, office and/or officer tasked by the administration or governing body to advise on and implement policies, programs, and trainings related to diversity and equity on campus. The committee, office and/or officer focuses on student and/or employee diversity and equity.

Part 2

Institution makes cultural competence trainings and activities available to all members of one or more of the following groups:

- Students
- Staff
- Faculty
- Administrators

Assessing Diversity and Equity

Criteria

Institution assesses diversity and equity on campus and uses the results to guide policy, programs, and initiatives. The assessment(s) address one or more of the following areas:

- 1. **Campus climate**, e.g. through a survey or series of surveys to gather information about the attitudes, perceptions and experiences of campus stakeholders and underrepresented groups
- 2. **Student diversity and educational equity**, e.g. through analysis of institutional data on diversity and equity by program and level, comparisons between graduation and retention rates for diverse groups, and comparisons of student diversity to the diversity of the communities being served by the institution
- 3. **Employee diversity and employment equity**, e.g. through analysis of institutional data on diversity and equity by job level and classification, and comparisons between broad workforce diversity, faculty diversity, management diversity and the diversity of the communities being served by the institution
- 4. **Governance and public engagement**, e.g. by assessing access to and participation in governance on the part of underrepresented groups and women, the centrality of diversity and equity in planning and mission statements, and diversity and equity in public engagement efforts

Support for Underrepresented Groups

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has mentoring, counseling, peer support, academic support, or other programs in place to support underrepresented groups on campus.

This credit excludes programs to help build a diverse faculty throughout higher education, which are covered in *PA 7: Support for Future Faculty Diversity*.

Part 2

Institution has a discrimination response policy, program and/or team (or the equivalent) to respond to and support those who have experienced or witnessed a bias incident, act of discrimination or hate crime.

Support for Future Faculty Diversity

Criteria

Institution administers and/or participates in a program or programs to help build a diverse faculty throughout higher education.

Such programs could take any of the following forms:

- Teaching fellowships or other programs to support terminal degree students from underrepresented groups in gaining teaching experience. (The terminal degree students may be enrolled at another institution.)
- Mentoring, financial, and/or other support programs to prepare and encourage undergraduate or other non-terminal degree students from underrepresented groups to pursue further education and careers as faculty members.
- Mentoring, financial, and/or other support programs for doctoral and post-doctoral students from underrepresented groups.

Affordability and Access

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has policies and programs in place to make it accessible and affordable to low-income students and/or to support non-traditional students. Such policies and programs may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Policies and programs to minimize the cost of attendance for low-income students
- Programs to equip the institution's faculty and staff to better serve students from low-income backgrounds
- Programs to prepare students from low-income backgrounds for higher education (e.g. U.S. federal TRIO programs)
- Scholarships provided specifically for low-income students
- Programs to guide parents of low-income students through the higher education experience
- Targeted outreach to recruit students from low-income backgrounds
- Scholarships provided specifically for part-time students
- An on-site child care facility, a partnership with a local facility, and/or subsidies or financial support to help meet the child care needs of students

Part 2

Institution is accessible and affordable to low-income students as demonstrated by one or more of the following indicators:

- A. The percentage of entering students that are low-income
- B. The graduation/success rate for low-income students
- C. The percentage of student financial need met, on average
- D. The percentage of students graduating with no interest-bearing student loan debt

Health, Wellbeing & Work

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that have incorporated sustainability into their human resources programs and policies. An institution's people define its character and capacity to perform; and so, an institution's achievements can only be as strong as its community. An institution can bolster the strength of its community by making fair and responsible investments in its human capital. Such investments include offering benefits, wages, and other assistance that serve to respectfully and ethically compensate workers and acting to protect and positively affect the health, safety and wellbeing of the campus community. Investment in human resources is integral to the achievement of a healthy and sustainable balance between human capital, natural capital, and financial capital.

Credit
Employee Compensation
Assessing Employee Satisfaction
Wellness Program
Workplace Health and Safety

Employee Compensation

Criteria

Part 1

Institution's employees and/or the employees of its on-site contractors are covered by sustainable compensation standards, guidelines, or policies and/or collective bargaining agreements.

A sustainable compensation (or "living wage") standard, guideline or policy is one that addresses wages and benefits in terms of the ability of employees to meet basic needs. For example, a sustainable compensation policy may index hourly wages to a poverty guideline or to local cost-of-living indicators. A labor market survey, salary survey or similar assessment may be used in conjunction with a basic needs/cost-of-living approach, but is not sufficient on its own to count as a sustainable compensation policy.

Part 2

Institution's employees and/or the employees of its on-site contractors receive sustainable compensation.

To earn points for Part 2 of this credit, an institution must assess employee compensation against one or more of the following:

- 1. A sustainable compensation standard developed or adopted by a committee with multi-stakeholder representation (i.e. its membership includes faculty, staff, and students and may include Human Resources administrators or other parties). The standard need not be formally adopted by the institution.
- 2. A sustainable compensation standard that is in use in the institution's locality. The standard may be formal (e.g. a "living wage" ordinance covering public employees) or informal (e.g. a standard adopted by a local, regional or national campaign).
- 3. An appropriate poverty guideline, threshold or low-income cut-off for a family of four.

For institutions that elect to assess compensation against a poverty guideline, threshold or low-income cut-off, sustainable compensation is defined as wages equivalent to 120 percent of the poverty guideline for a family of four. An institution may offset up to 20 percent of the wage criteria with employer-paid benefits that address basic needs (e.g. healthcare and retirement contributions).

Both parts of this credit are based on the total number of employees working on campus as part of regular and ongoing campus operations, which includes:

- Staff and faculty, i.e. all regular full-time, regular part-time and temporary (or non-regular) employees, including adjunct faculty and graduate student employees (e.g. teaching and research assistants). Institutions may choose to include or omit undergraduate student workers.
- Employees of contractors that work on-site as part of regular and ongoing campus operations. Such contractors may include, but are not limited to, providers of dining/catering, cleaning/janitorial, maintenance, groundskeeping, transportation, and retail services.

Construction and demolition crews and other temporary contracted employees may be excluded.

Assessing Employee Satisfaction

Criteria

Institution conducts a survey or other evaluation that allows for anonymous feedback to measure employee satisfaction and engagement. The survey or equivalent may be conducted institution-wide or may be done by individual departments or divisions. The evaluation addresses (but is not limited to) the following areas:

- Job satisfaction
- Learning and advancement opportunities
- Work culture and work/life balance

The institution has a mechanism in place to address issues raised by the evaluation.

Wellness Program

Criteria

Institution has a wellness and/or employee assistance program that makes available counseling, referral, and wellbeing services to all members of any of the following groups:

- Students
- Staff
- Faculty

Workplace Health and Safety

Criteria

Part 1

Institution has reduced its total number of reportable workplace injuries and occupational disease cases per full-time equivalent (FTE) employee compared to a baseline.

Part 2

Institution has fewer than 5 reportable workplace injuries and occupational disease cases annually per 100 full-time equivalent (FTE) employees.

This credit includes employees of contractors working on-site for whom the institution is liable for workplace safety, for example workers for whom the institution is mandated to report injuries and disease cases by a health and safety authority such as the U.S. Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) or the Canadian Center for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS). Injuries and disease cases include OSHA/CCOHS-reportable fatal and non-fatal injuries (or the equivalent) arising out of or in the course of work and cases of diseases arising from a work-related injury or the work situation or activity (e.g. exposure to harmful chemicals, stress, ergonomic issues). See *Sampling and Data Standards*, below, for further guidance on reporting injuries and disease cases.

Investment

This subcategory seeks to recognize institutions that make investment decisions that promote sustainability. Most institutions invest some of their assets in order to generate income. Together, colleges and universities invest hundreds of billions of dollars. Schools with transparent and democratic investment processes promote accountability and engagement by the campus and community. Furthermore, institutions can support sustainability by investing in companies and funds that, in addition to providing a strong rate of return, are committed to social and environmental responsibility. Investing in these industries also supports the development of sustainable products and services. Finally, campuses can engage with the businesses in which they are invested in order to promote sustainable practices.

Throughout this subcategory, the term "sustainable investment" is inclusive of socially responsible, environmentally responsible, ethical, impact, and mission-related investment.

Credit
Committee on Investor Responsibility
Sustainable Investment
Investment Disclosure

Committee on Investor Responsibility

Criteria

Institution has a formally established and active committee on investor responsibility (CIR) or similar body that makes recommendations to fund decision-makers on socially and environmentally responsible investment opportunities across asset classes, including proxy voting. The body has multi-stakeholder representation, which means its membership includes faculty, staff, and students and may include alumni, trustees, and/or other parties.

Institutions for which investments are handled by the university system and/or a separate foundation of the institution should report on the investment policies and activities of those entities.

A general committee that oversees the institution's investments does not count for this credit unless social and environmental responsibility is an explicit part of its mission and/or agenda.

This credit applies to institutions with endowments of US \$1 million or larger. Institutions with endowments totaling less than US \$1 million may choose to omit this credit.

Sustainable Investment

Criteria

There are two possible approaches to this credit; institutions may pursue one or both. Institutions for which investments are handled by the university system, a separate foundation of the institution and/or a management company contracted by the institution should report on the combined activities of those entities.

Option 1: Positive Sustainability Investment

Institution invests in one or more of the following:

- **Sustainable industries** (e.g. renewable energy or sustainable forestry). This may include any investment directly in an entire industry sector as well as holdings of companies whose entire business is sustainable (e.g. a manufacturer of wind turbines).
- **Businesses** *selected for* **exemplary sustainability performance** (e.g. using criteria specified in a sustainable investment policy). This includes investments made, at least in in part, because of a company's social or environmental performance. Existing stock in a company that happens to have socially or environmentally responsible practices should not be included unless the investment decision was based, at least in part, on the company's sustainability performance.
- Sustainability investment funds (e.g. a renewable energy or impact investment fund). This may include any fund with a mission of investing in a sustainable sector or industry (or multiple sectors), as well as any fund that is focused on purchasing bonds with sustainable goals.
- **Community development financial institutions** (CDFI) or the equivalent (including funds that invest primarily in CDFIs or the equivalent).
- Socially responsible mutual funds with positive screens (or the equivalent). Investment in a socially responsible fund with only negative screens (i.e. one that excludes egregious offenders or certain industries, such as tobacco or weapons manufacturing) does not count for Option 1.
- Green revolving loan funds that are funded from the endowment

Option 2: Investor Engagement

Institution has policies and/or practices that meet one or more of the following criteria:

- Has a publicly available sustainable investment policy (e.g. to consider the social and/or environmental impacts of investment decisions in addition to financial considerations)
- Uses its sustainable investment policy to select and guide investment managers
- Has engaged in proxy voting to promote sustainability, either by its CIR or other committee or through the use of guidelines, during the previous three years
- Has filed or co-filed one or more shareholder resolutions that address sustainability or submitted one or more letters about social or environmental responsibility to a company in which it holds investments, during the previous three years
- Has a publicly available investment policy with negative screens, for example to prohibit investment in an industry (e.g. tobacco or weapons manufacturing) or participate in a divestment effort (e.g. targeting fossil fuel production or human rights violations)
- Engages in policy advocacy by participating in investor networks (e.g. Principles for Responsible Investment, Investor Network on Climate Risk, Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility) and/or engages in inter-organizational collaborations to share best practices

Investment Disclosure

Criteria

Institution makes a snapshot of its investment holdings available to the public, including the amount invested in each fund and/or company and proxy voting records. The snapshot of holdings is updated at least once per year.

Institutions for which investments are handled by the university system, a separate foundation of the institution and/or a management company contracted by the institution should report on the combined activities of those entities.

Innovation

These credits recognize institutions that are seeking innovative solutions to sustainability challenges and demonstrating sustainability leadership in ways that are not otherwise captured by STARS.

Credit		
Innovation 1		
Innovation 2		
Innovation 3		
Innovation 4		

Criteria

- 1. Innovation credits are reserved for new, extraordinary, unique, ground-breaking, or uncommon outcomes, policies, and practices that greatly exceed the highest criterion of an existing STARS credit or are not covered by an existing STARS credit.
- 2. In general, innovation credits should have roughly similar impacts or be on the same scale as other STARS credits.
- 3. Outcomes, policies, and practices that are innovative for the institution's region or institution type are eligible for innovation credits.
- 4. The innovative practice, policy, program, or outcome must have occurred within the three years prior to the anticipated date of submission.
- 5. The innovative practice or program has to be something that the institution has already done; planned activities do not count.
- 6. The innovative practice or program should originate from an area within the defined institutional boundary.
- 7. An institution can only claim a particular activity as an innovation credit once. When re-submitting for a STARS rating, an innovation credit that the institution submitted previously cannot be re-submitted. An institution that has made significant advancements to a project or program that was previously submitted as an innovation may resubmit based on those advancements if the project or program is still considered innovative.
- 8. Practices, policies, and programs that were once considered innovative but are now widely adopted (e.g. being the first institution to enact a policy 20 years ago that is now common) may not be claimed as innovation credits.
- 9. Multiple activities or practices whose sum is innovative can be considered for an innovation credit as long as those activities or practices are related. For example, three innovative waste reduction programs in research laboratories could be listed together under a single innovation credit for Greening Laboratories. Listing a series of unrelated accomplishments or events under a single innovation credit is not accepted.
- 10. While the practices that led to receiving an award may be appropriate for an innovation credit, winning awards and/or high sustainability rankings in other assessments is not, in and of itself, grounds for an innovation credit. When the innovation is part of a partnership, the summary provided must clearly describe the institution's role in the innovation.

To help ensure that the policy, practice, program, or outcome that the institution is claiming for an innovation credit is truly innovative, institutions must submit a letter of affirmation from an individual with relevant expertise in the associated content area. The letter should affirm how the innovation meets the criteria outlined above.

For example, if an institution claims an innovation credit for water use reduction, the institution might solicit a letter from a hydrologist or a water expert from another campus or organization to verify that the strategy is innovative. An innovation may be affirmed internally by campus personnel who are independent of the policy, practice, program, or outcome. Please note that it is not required that the individual be employed in the higher education sector to submit a letter of verification.

The letter should be specific to a single innovation credit. If an institution is claiming three innovation credits, it would solicit and submit three separate letters, with each letter speaking to the specific innovation credit it addresses.

Criteria

- 1. Innovation credits are reserved for new, extraordinary, unique, ground-breaking, or uncommon outcomes, policies, and practices that greatly exceed the highest criterion of an existing STARS credit or are not covered by an existing STARS credit.
- 2. In general, innovation credits should have roughly similar impacts or be on the same scale as other STARS credits.
- 3. Outcomes, policies, and practices that are innovative for the institution's region or institution type are eligible for innovation credits.
- 4. The innovative practice, policy, program, or outcome must have occurred within the three years prior to the anticipated date of submission.
- 5. The innovative practice or program has to be something that the institution has already done; planned activities do not count.
- 6. The innovative practice or program should originate from an area within the defined institutional boundary.
- 7. An institution can only claim a particular activity as an innovation credit once. When re-submitting for a STARS rating, an innovation credit that the institution submitted previously cannot be re-submitted. An institution that has made significant advancements to a project or program that was previously submitted as an innovation may resubmit based on those advancements if the project or program is still considered innovative.
- 8. Practices, policies, and programs that were once considered innovative but are now widely adopted (e.g. being the first institution to enact a policy 20 years ago that is now common) may not be claimed as innovation credits.
- 9. Multiple activities or practices whose sum is innovative can be considered for an innovation credit as long as those activities or practices are related. For example, three innovative waste reduction programs in research laboratories could be listed together under a single innovation credit for Greening Laboratories. Listing a series of unrelated accomplishments or events under a single innovation credit is not accepted.
- 10. While the practices that led to receiving an award may be appropriate for an innovation credit, winning awards and/or high sustainability rankings in other assessments is not, in and of itself, grounds for an innovation credit. When the innovation is part of a partnership, the summary provided must clearly describe the institution's role in the innovation.

To help ensure that the policy, practice, program, or outcome that the institution is claiming for an innovation credit is truly innovative, institutions must submit a letter of affirmation from an individual with relevant expertise in the associated content area. The letter should affirm how the innovation meets the criteria outlined above.

For example, if an institution claims an innovation credit for water use reduction, the institution might solicit a letter from a hydrologist or a water expert from another campus or organization to verify that the strategy is innovative. An innovation may be affirmed internally by campus personnel who are independent of the policy, practice, program, or outcome. Please note that it is not required that the individual be employed in the higher education sector to submit a letter of verification.

The letter should be specific to a single innovation credit. If an institution is claiming three innovation credits, it would solicit and submit three separate letters, with each letter speaking to the specific innovation credit it addresses.

Criteria

- 1. Innovation credits are reserved for new, extraordinary, unique, ground-breaking, or uncommon outcomes, policies, and practices that greatly exceed the highest criterion of an existing STARS credit or are not covered by an existing STARS credit.
- 2. In general, innovation credits should have roughly similar impacts or be on the same scale as other STARS credits.
- 3. Outcomes, policies, and practices that are innovative for the institution's region or institution type are eligible for innovation credits.
- 4. The innovative practice, policy, program, or outcome must have occurred within the three years prior to the anticipated date of submission.
- 5. The innovative practice or program has to be something that the institution has already done; planned activities do not count.
- 6. The innovative practice or program should originate from an area within the defined institutional boundary.
- 7. An institution can only claim a particular activity as an innovation credit once. When re-submitting for a STARS rating, an innovation credit that the institution submitted previously cannot be re-submitted. An institution that has made significant advancements to a project or program that was previously submitted as an innovation may resubmit based on those advancements if the project or program is still considered innovative.
- 8. Practices, policies, and programs that were once considered innovative but are now widely adopted (e.g. being the first institution to enact a policy 20 years ago that is now common) may not be claimed as innovation credits.
- 9. Multiple activities or practices whose sum is innovative can be considered for an innovation credit as long as those activities or practices are related. For example, three innovative waste reduction programs in research laboratories could be listed together under a single innovation credit for Greening Laboratories. Listing a series of unrelated accomplishments or events under a single innovation credit is not accepted.
- 10. While the practices that led to receiving an award may be appropriate for an innovation credit, winning awards and/or high sustainability rankings in other assessments is not, in and of itself, grounds for an innovation credit. When the innovation is part of a partnership, the summary provided must clearly describe the institution's role in the innovation.

To help ensure that the policy, practice, program, or outcome that the institution is claiming for an innovation credit is truly innovative, institutions must submit a letter of affirmation from an individual with relevant expertise in the associated content area. The letter should affirm how the innovation meets the criteria outlined above.

For example, if an institution claims an innovation credit for water use reduction, the institution might solicit a letter from a hydrologist or a water expert from another campus or organization to verify that the strategy is innovative. An innovation may be affirmed internally by campus personnel who are independent of the policy, practice, program, or outcome. Please note that it is not required that the individual be employed in the higher education sector to submit a letter of verification.

The letter should be specific to a single innovation credit. If an institution is claiming three innovation credits, it would solicit and submit three separate letters, with each letter speaking to the specific innovation credit it addresses.

Criteria

- 1. Innovation credits are reserved for new, extraordinary, unique, ground-breaking, or uncommon outcomes, policies, and practices that greatly exceed the highest criterion of an existing STARS credit or are not covered by an existing STARS credit.
- 2. In general, innovation credits should have roughly similar impacts or be on the same scale as other STARS credits.
- 3. Outcomes, policies, and practices that are innovative for the institution's region or institution type are eligible for innovation credits.
- 4. The innovative practice, policy, program, or outcome must have occurred within the three years prior to the anticipated date of submission.
- 5. The innovative practice or program has to be something that the institution has already done; planned activities do not count.
- 6. The innovative practice or program should originate from an area within the defined institutional boundary.
- 7. An institution can only claim a particular activity as an innovation credit once. When re-submitting for a STARS rating, an innovation credit that the institution submitted previously cannot be re-submitted. An institution that has made significant advancements to a project or program that was previously submitted as an innovation may resubmit based on those advancements if the project or program is still considered innovative.
- 8. Practices, policies, and programs that were once considered innovative but are now widely adopted (e.g. being the first institution to enact a policy 20 years ago that is now common) may not be claimed as innovation credits.
- 9. Multiple activities or practices whose sum is innovative can be considered for an innovation credit as long as those activities or practices are related. For example, three innovative waste reduction programs in research laboratories could be listed together under a single innovation credit for Greening Laboratories. Listing a series of unrelated accomplishments or events under a single innovation credit is not accepted.
- 10. While the practices that led to receiving an award may be appropriate for an innovation credit, winning awards and/or high sustainability rankings in other assessments is not, in and of itself, grounds for an innovation credit. When the innovation is part of a partnership, the summary provided must clearly describe the institution's role in the innovation.

To help ensure that the policy, practice, program, or outcome that the institution is claiming for an innovation credit is truly innovative, institutions must submit a letter of affirmation from an individual with relevant expertise in the associated content area. The letter should affirm how the innovation meets the criteria outlined above.

For example, if an institution claims an innovation credit for water use reduction, the institution might solicit a letter from a hydrologist or a water expert from another campus or organization to verify that the strategy is innovative. An innovation may be affirmed internally by campus personnel who are independent of the policy, practice, program, or outcome. Please note that it is not required that the individual be employed in the higher education sector to submit a letter of verification.

The letter should be specific to a single innovation credit. If an institution is claiming three innovation credits, it would solicit and submit three separate letters, with each letter speaking to the specific innovation credit it addresses.