



THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATIVE 2012 REPORT CARD

ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY MOVES STEADILY FORWARD IN 2012 LEGISLATION

This year's legislative scorecard provides a more optimistic picture than last year's did.

A number of our strongest environmental policy supporters in the legislature brought their scores back up into the 90s this year, and once again we have more than one 100 percent. This likely reflects the fact that there weren't end-of-session environmental rollback bills pushed by leadership and labor that put these members in an awkward position of choosing among loyalties.

At the same time, scores for a number of Republicans dropped substantially. While there was only one zero percent last year, this year there are a handful. Notably, last year's lone zero percenter, Kristin Olsen (R-Merced), brought her score up with three good votes for parks, solar energy and safe streets for bicyclists, all things that rightly shouldn't be viewed through partisan lenses. Notably, Nathan Fletcher (I-San Diego) more than doubled his score (from 36% to 73%) after changing his party registration from Republican to Independent.

Some Good Bills Moved

Overall, no landmark, headline-making environmental bills passed. In truth, we didn't expect any when the session started. This is an election year with reconfigured legislative districts that make many legislators—and legislative leadership—cautious about stirring fierce opposition from anyone, but especially business interests that can pour money into opposition campaigns. Add to that the state's bad budget outlook and generally down economy, which focused policymakers on a range of issues unrelated to the environment.

Still, the legislature did pass some good, solid environmental bills. They passed bills that advance the work started years ago to transition California to cleaner energy, to make clean, drinkable water available to all Californians, to keep state parks open and accessible, and to encourage humane treatment of wildlife

Among the most satisfying wins was passage of SB 1222, carried by Senator Mark Leno (D-San Francisco). That bill sets

a cap on the fees that local entities can charge for rooftop solar installation permits. Our own volunteer, Kurt Newick of the Loma Prieta Chapter, has been instrumental in drawing attention to the unreasonably high permit fees some local governments have been charging.

Another notable bill that many Club members worked to help pass was SB 1221, carried by Senator Ted Lieu (D-Torrance). That bill bans the use of packs of dogs to hunt and harass bears and bobcats.

We are also especially pleased that two bills that will help get the state parks system on better footing were passed. They are AB 1589 by Jared Huffman (D-San Rafael), and AB 1478 by Bob Blumenfield (D-Van Nuys).

Rollbacks Mostly Stopped

We began the year worried that there would again be a flurry of environmental rollback bills introduced through the "gut-and-amend" process at the end of session. And while there were some bad bills introduced that required a lot of action by our volunteers and staff lobbyists, most of those met a dead end either at the legislature or at the governor's desk.

The exception was AB 845, a bill sponsored by Assemblymember Fiona Ma (D-San Francisco). That bill bans voter-approved ordinances limiting solid waste imports into cities and counties, and targeted especially a 1984 voter-approved ordinance in Solano County that put a cap on the amount of solid waste that could be brought into private landfills in that county. That measure has been used to help protect sensitive marshland from landfill expansion.

Last year, Sierra Club California lobbyists and volunteers helped stop a similar bill. This year, through the gut-and-amend process, Ma brought the other bill back to life as AB 845 and it sailed through in the last week of the legislative session. The governor signed the bill to make it law.

ENVIRONMENTAL ROLLBACKS MOSTLY MEET A DEAD END

The 2012 legislative session began in January with delivery of a truckload of bills designed to chip away at environmental protections, especially clean air protections. It really got going in the spring when the administration threatened to weaken environmental review for the state's high-speed rail project. And it ended in August with a last-minute flurry designed to gut the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The year also ended with none of the worst threats to environmental review or air protections winning. Most of the bad bills were killed in committees or weakened to the point of acceptability. The governor's office abandoned plans for environmental review exemptions for high speed rail. And the one bill that could have done the most damage to environmental review died before it came to the Senate floor.

That bill, which Senator Michael Rubio (D-Bakersfield) prepared to introduce in the last week of the legislative session, would have effectively thrown out CEQA. It would have killed the assurance that all Californians would have the right to the same level of information about and "feasible mitigation" of

environmental impacts from new construction projects.

Fortunately, environmental organizations working in the Capitol agreed to stay united this year and fight environmental rollbacks. When rumors and draft versions of Rubio's bill began surfacing, the groups, including Sierra Club California, jumped into action developing analyses, contacting the press, and calling on our members to tell legislators to oppose dramatic changes to CEQA. Moreover, because the bill was so sweeping, it worried labor unions. Several, including building trades unions, joined the environmentalists in opposing the bill.

Senate President Pro Tem Darrell Steinberg announced just days before the end of session that he would not allow Rubio's bill to move, but would instead make sure CEQA reforms were addressed in 2013. Later, in September, Senator Steinberg announced that he will appoint Senator Rubio to chair the Senate Environmental Quality Committee, one of the first committee stops for any CEQA legislation. We anticipate that 2013 will be a very interesting year.

GOVERNOR'S ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE MIXED

Governor Brown improved his overall record on environmental bills this year. Among the 11 bills on our scorecard that made it to his desk, the governor agreed with our request for signature or veto on 8 of the bills. That gives him a positive score of 73 percent. That's substantially better than the 55 percent score he received last year.

However, bill signing doesn't tell the full story about Brown's environmental record this year. On developing regulations to implement the Green Chemistry law, the administration has done excellent work in the face of growing opposition from polluting industries. It remains strong on cleaner cars work. But on parks funding, water supply, and his general approach to environmental review, we have disagreed with Brown and found his approach lacking.

Brown provided no vision and demonstrated no apparent interest in the state parks system funding crisis at a time when it

needed attention the most. It seemed certain that dozens of parks would close in July because of budget cuts, until the legislature intervened with a fix. In August, unspent money was found in two special accounts and the interim director resigned. We're waiting for the governor to appoint a director who can put the park system back together.

In July, Governor Brown said he is committed to building a massive water conveyance project that will move water from the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. The proposal is short on details and leaves us worried that species recovery and ecosystem restoration will suffer.

The governor also escalated his attacks on the California Environmental Quality Act. He personally pushed legislators to vote for AB 1073, which we opposed. He cheered others' attempts to weaken CEQA. He told the press that changing CEQA was "the Lord's work." We're still mulling over that theological pronouncement.

WEIRD BILLS TO PONDER

While some California legislators propose policies to better our state, others slip in bills that sound more like a bad joke than potential laws. Sierra Club California has been working with the legislature for almost 30 years and we are no strangers to bad legislation. Yet, some bills that were introduced in 2012 had us scratching our heads.

As a fun trivia, see if you connect the legislators to their failed ideas below. Answers can be found at the bottom of this page.

- ___ Senator Tony Strickland
- ___ Assemblymember Fiona Ma
- ___ Senator Juan Vargas
- ___ Assemblymember Brian Jones

A. This bill would have cut the author's commute time by removing a carpool lane on the I-80 during morning traffic hours.

B. This bill would have allowed placement of flagpoles of any size in any coastal zone without fulfilling CA Coastal Act requirements. Fortunately, this idea didn't fly when presented to the legislature.

C. This bill would have required needless warning labels on reusable shopping bags about the dangers of using bags that have not been cleaned and disinfected. (Hint: This legislator is currently running for a Congressional seat against Julia Brownley, the assemblymember behind the bill to ban plastic bags.)

D. This bill would have circumvented a court ruling protecting water bodies from pollution from sooty fireworks displays. We were happy to see this idea fizzle.

2012 BILL SUMMARIES

AB 685 (Eng): would establish a right to water for basic human needs as a policy of the state of California. **-SUPPORT- Signed**

AB 1478 (Blumenfeld): would appropriate \$240,000 over a two year period from existing funds to allow the State Park and Recreation Commission to evaluate the department's deferred maintenance obligations, provide advice to the department on budgetary matters, and to conduct workshops and hold public hearings on these subjects. **-SUPPORT- Signed**

AB 1532 (John A. Perez): would provide appropriate and necessary guidance on state distribution of auction revenues collected from California's cap-and-trade system for greenhouse gas reductions. **-SUPPORT- Signed**

AB 1589 (Huffman): would establish new funding programs to prevent the closures of state parks and provide more clarity on how the Department of State Parks and Recreation selects parks for closures. **-SUPPORT- Signed**

AB 1990 (Fong): would establish a program with the goal of installing 375 megawatts of electrical generating capacity from small renewable distributed generation projects in California's low-income and disadvantaged communities. **-SUPPORT- Failed on Senate Floor**

SB 535 (De León): would help fulfill the commitments made in the California Global Warming Act (AB 32) to work towards a clean energy future for California, specifically aimed at disadvantaged communities. **-SUPPORT- Signed**

SB 568 (Lowenthal): would prohibit a food vendor or restaurant on or after January 1, 2016 from dispensing prepared food to a customer in a polystyrene foam food container (a.k.a. Styrofoam). Styrofoam poses environmental and public health threats. **-SUPPORT- Failed on Assembly Floor**

SB 1054 (Pavley): would ensure that property owners are notified if a nearby entity is planning to conduct oil and gas

drilling, including hydraulic fracturing (fracking). It also adds some other requirements regarding extending the time required between permit approval and commencement of drilling. **-SUPPORT- Failed on Senate Floor**

SB 1221 (Lieu): would prohibit the unsporting practice of using dogs to chase or "hound" bears and bobcats while hunting. **-SUPPORT- Signed**

SB 1222 (Leno): would cap excessive permit fees charged by local governments for residential solar systems. **-SUPPORT- Signed**

SB 1464 (Lowenthal): would require automobiles to allow a three-foot clearance when passing cyclists or, if that is not possible, to reduce speed when passing. **-SUPPORT- Vetoed**

AB 845 (Ma): would prohibit an ordinance enacted by a city or county, including an ordinance enacted by initiative by the voters of a city or county, from otherwise restricting or limiting the importation of solid waste into a privately owned solid waste facility in that city or county based on place of origin. This is a direct attack on efforts to encourage greater recycling. This issue is currently under review at the appeals court level, and this bill would disrupt the judicial process. **-OPPOSE- Signed**

AB 976 (Hall): would create a huge impediment to the establishment of Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) programs. It would prohibit a CCA from procuring electricity or energy services from any entity with which it contracted for analysis, advice, consultation, or other services prior to program launch. **-OPPOSE- Vetoed**

AB 1073 (Fuentes): a special interest bill that would allow the Calico Solar Project to circumvent the normal environmental review by local and state agencies and instead be reviewed by the California Energy Commission. **-OPPOSE- Signed**



BYRON SHER AWARD

The Byron Sher Award for Achievement in Environmental Protection by a Public Official was presented to Assemblymember Jared Huffman (left with Sierra Club California staff) and to Assemblymember Paul Fong (right with Sierra Club California activists) as part of Sierra Club California Lobby Day activities on August 20, 2012.

+ Means pro-environment vote

- Means anti-environment vote

NV- Means legislator was present, but chose not to cast a vote in support of a pro-environment

NV+ Means legislator was present, but chose not to cast a vote on an anti-environment bill

E Means excused absence (does not count toward total score)

SIERRA CLUB CALIFORNIA ASSEMBLY REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	Supported Measures											Opposed Measures			
		SCORE	AB 685	AB 1478	AB 1532	AB 1589	AB 1990	SB 535	AB 568	SB 1221	SB 1222	SB 1464	AB 845	AB 976	AB 1073	
			Human Right to Water	State Parks: Finances	AB 32 Revenue Distribution	State Parks: Sustainability and Protection	Solar For All	Community Benefits Fund	Ban on Polystyrene Food Containers	Ban on Hound Hunting	Solar Energy: Permits	Bicycles: Passing Distance	Solid Waste: Place of Origin	Community Choice Aggregation	Solar Thermal Powerplants	
Achadjian, Katcho (R-33)	4/13	31%	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	
Alejo, Luis (D-28)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	NV+	-
Allen, Michael (D-7)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Ammiano, Tom (D-13)	13/13	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Atkins, Toni (D-76)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	NV+
Beall, Jim (D-24)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+
Berryhill, Bill (R-26)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Block, Marty (D-78)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Blumenfield, Robert (D-40)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	NV+
Bonilla, Susan (D-11)	8/13	62%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	NV-	NV-	+	+	-	NV+	-
Bradford, Steven (D-51)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	NV-	+	NV+	-	-
Brownley, Julia (D-41)	13/13	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	+	NV+
Buchanan, Joan (D-15)	8/13	62%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	-
Butler, Betsy (D-53)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	+
Calderon, Charles (D-58)	7/13	54%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	NV-	+	-	-	-
Campos, Nora (D-23)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
Carter, Wilmer Amina (D-62)	6/13	46%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	+	-	NV-	-	-	-
Cedillo, Gilbert (D-45)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
Chesbro, Wesley (D-1)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	NV+	+
Conway, Connie (R-34)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Cook, Paul (R-65)	1/13	8%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-
Davis, Mike (D-48)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	NV+	-	-
Dickinson, Roger (D-9)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	NV+	NV+	-
Donnelly, Tim (R-59)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Eng, Mike (D-49)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Feuer, Mike (D-42)	13/13	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fletcher, Nathan (I-75)	8/11	73%	+	NV-	+	+	+	E	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	-	E
Fong, Paul (D-22)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	-
Fuentes, Felipe (D-39)	6/13	46%	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	-	+	NV-	+	-	-	-
Furutani, Warren (D-55)	8/12	62%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	NV-	+	-	-	E
Gaines, Beth (R-4)**	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
Galgiani, Cathleen (D-17)	7/13	54%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	-	NV-	+	NV-	-	-	+
Garrick, Martin (R-74)	3/13	23%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	NV+
Gatto, Mike (D-43)	8/13	62%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Gordon, Richard (D-21)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	NV+	-	-
Gorell, Jeff (R-37)***	4/13	31%	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	NV+	-	-
Grove, Shannon (R-32)	2/13	15%	-	NV-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Hagman, Curt (R-60)	3/13	23%	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
Halderman, Linda (R-29)	2/13	15%	-	NV-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+
Hall, Isadore III (D-52)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-

SIERRA CLUB CALIFORNIA ASSEMBLY REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	Supported Measures											Opposed Measures		
		SCORE	AB 685	AB 1478	AB 1532	AB 1589	AB 1990	SB 535	AB 568	SB 1221	SB 1222	SB 1464	AB 845	AB 976	AB 1073
			Human Right to Water	State Parks: Finances	AB 32 Revenue Distribution	State Parks: Sustainability and Protection	Solar For All	Community Benefits Fund	Ban on Polystyrene Food Containers	Ban on Hound Hunting	Solar Energy: Permits	Bicycles: Passing Distance	Solid Waste: Place of Origin	Community Choice Aggregation	Solar Thermal Powerplants
Harkey, Diane (R-73)	1/13	8%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hayashi, Mary (D-18)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	NV+	
Hernández, Roger (D-57)	6/12	50%	+	+	+	+	NV-	NV-	NV-	E	+	-	-	-	
Hill, Jerry (D-19)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	
Huber, Alyson (D-10)	4/13	31%	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
Hueso, Ben (D-79)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	NV+	-	
Huffman, Jared (D-6)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Jeffries, Kevin (R-66)	3/12	15%	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	E	
Jones, Brian (R-77)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
Knight, Stephen (R-36)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
Lara, Ricardo (D-50)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	
Logue, Dan (R-3)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
Lowenthal, Bonnie (D-54)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	NV+	
Ma, Fiona (D-12)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	
Mansoor, Allan (R-68)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	+	
Mendoza, Tony (D-56)	7/13	54%	+	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	
Miller, Jeff (R-71)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
Mitchell, Holly (D-47)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	
Monning, William (D-27)	13/13	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	NV+	
Morrell, Mike (R-63)	1/13	8%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nestande, Brian (R-64)	3/13	23%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	
Neilsen, Jim (R-2)	3/13	23%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	
Norby, Chris (R-72)	3/13	23%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	NV+	
Olsen, Kristin (R-25)	3/13	23%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
Pan, Richard (D-5)	7/13	54%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	-	+	NV-	+	-	-	
Perea, Henry (D-31)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	-	+	+	+	+	-	
Pérez, John (D-46)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	
Pérez, V. Manuel (D-80)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV-	+	+	NV+	-	
Portantino, Anthony (D-44)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	NV+	
Silva, Jim (R-67)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
Skinner, Nancy (D-14)	13/13	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Smyth, Cameron (R-38)	4/13	31%	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	
Solorio, Jose (D-69)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	
Swanson, Sandré (D-16)	9/13	69%	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Torres, Norma (D-61)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	NV+	-	
Valadao, David (R-30)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
Wagner, Donald (R-70)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
Wieckowski, Bob (D-20)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	
Williams, Das (D-35)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	
Yamada, Mariko (D-8)	12/13	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	

Founded in 1986, Sierra Club California is the legislative and regulatory advocacy arm of Sierra Club's 13 California chapters. This report was developed by Sierra Club California Director Kathryn Phillips, Senior Advocate Jim Metropulos, Policy Advocate Annie Pham, and dedicated volunteers.

SIERRA CLUB CALIFORNIA SENATE REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	Supported Measures											Opposed Measures		
		SCORE	AB 685	AB 1478	AB 1532	AB 1589	AB 1990	SB 535	SB 1054	SB 1221	SB 1222	SB 1464	AB 845	AB 976	AB 1073
			Human Right to Water	State Parks: Finances	AB 32 Revenue Distribution	State Parks: Sustainability and Protection	Solar for all	Community Benefits Fund	Oil and Gas: Well Operation: Notice	Ban on Hound Hunting	Solar Energy: Permits	Bicycles: Passing Distance	Solid Waste: Place of Origin	Community Choice Aggregation	Solar Thermal Powerplants
Alquist, Elaine (D-13)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Anderson, Joel (R-36)	0/12	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	
Berryhill, Tom (R-14)	1/12	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	E	
Blakeslee, Sam (R-15)	5/11	45%	E	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	E	+	-	
Calderon, Ronald (D-30)	6/13	46%	-	+	+	+	-	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	-	-	
Canella, Anthony (R-12)	2/13	15%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	
Corbett, Ellen (D-10)	13/13	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	NV+	
Correa, Lou (D-34)	4/13	31%	-	+	NV-	+	-	+	-	NV-	-	+	-	-	
de Leon, Kevin (D-22)	8/11	73%	E	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	E	-	-	
DeSaulnier, Mark (D-7)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Dutton, Bob (R-31)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	
Emmerson, Bill (R-37)	1/13	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV-	-	+	-	-	-	
Evans, Noreen (D-2)	11/12	92%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	E	
Fuller, Jean (R-18)	2/13	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	
Gaines, Ted (R-1)	1/13	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
Hancock, Loni (D-9)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	NV-	+	+	NV+	
Harman, Tom (R-35)	3/13	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	NV+	-	
Hernandez O.D. Ed (D-24)	8/13	62%	+	+	+	+	-	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	
Huff, Bob (R-29)	0/13	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kehoe, Christine (D-39)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	-	
La Malfa, Doug (R-4)	3/13	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	
Leno, Mark (D-3)	13/13	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Lieu, Ted (D-28)	7/8	88%	+	+	+	E	E	+	+	+	E	E	NV+	E	
Liu, Carol (D-21)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Lowenthal, Alan (D-27)	10/12	83%	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	E	
Negrete-Mcleod, G. (D-32)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Padilla, Alex (D-20)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	-	
Pavley, Fran (D-23)	7/8	88%	+	E	NV-	E	E	+	+	+	E	E	+	NV+	
Price, Curren, Jr. (D-26)	9/13	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	
Rubio, Michael (D-16)	7/13	54%	+	+	NV-	+	-	+	NV-	NV-	-	+	NV+	NV+	
Runner, Sharon (R-17)	0/3	0%	-	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	-	E	
Simitian, Joe (D-11)	10/13	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Steinberg, Darrell (D-6)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Strickland, Tony (R-19)	2/12	17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	+	+	-	-	-	
Vargas, Juan (D-40)	8/13	62%	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	
Walters, Mimi (R-33)	0/13	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Wolk, Lois (D-5)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	NV+	
Wright, Roderick (D-25)	5/13	38%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	
Wyland, Mark (R-38)	0/7	0%	-	-	-	E	E	-	-	E	E	E	-	E	
Yee, Leland (D-8)	11/13	85%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	

A NOTE ABOUT VOTING:

The Senate and Assembly have different rules for voting on bills. In the Senate a member must be present at the time a vote for a bill is called. If a member is not present for any reason, including a committee hearing, and the roll is closed, they can no longer record a vote on a bill. In the Assembly, if an Assembly-member is not present and misses a vote during the daily session, they have the opportunity at the end of the day's session to vote on any bill they didn't vote on or even change their vote on a bill from earlier in the day. Because of the Senate Rules, some Senators may have missed floor votes that may have improved their score on our scorecard had they been present at the time of the vote and voted. We recognize the difference in voting rules between the houses but we are unable to reconcile the difference or verify how a Senator would have voted if they had been present at the time of the vote for purposes of our scorecard.