

THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATIVE

2022 Report Card

https://www.sierraclub.org/california

October 2022

A Dramatic 2022 Legislative Session

It's been over a month since the end of the 2022 legislative session and only one word can describe this session accurately: dramatic.

The second-year of a two-year session is typically hectic, but 2022 was especially so. Sierra Club California tracked a total of 854 bills that were introduced in 2022, or introduced in 2021 but acted on in some way in 2022. Of these 854 bills, we supported 154, 59 of which made it to the Governor's desk. We also stopped many environmentally harmful bills from passing this session, as we do every year. Of the 56 bills we opposed this year, only 5 made it to the Governor's desk.

In addition to working on legislation, Sierra Club California fiercely advocated for large, long-term investments in the state budget that would curb the worst impacts of the climate crisis, keep the lights on, and protect California communities and ecosystems.

Sierra Club California fiercely advocated for large, long-term investments in the state budget that would curb the worst impacts of the climate crisis.

There was also some drama outside the policy and budget realm. In late May, one legislator made a surprising announcement indicating that he had secured the votes to take over as Speaker of the Assembly. The Speaker has tremendous influence on the progression of environmental and climate legislation. As such, Sierra Club California is especially interested in which legislator the caucus elects to wield this power. However, after a 6-hour caucus meeting, current-Speaker Anthony Rendon announced that he would remain in power - at least until the end of the 2022 legislative session.

(Continued on Page 2)

In This Issue

A Dramatic 2022 Legislative Session
Newsom's Perplexing Performance1
2022 Bill Summaries4
Assembly Report Card5
Senate Report Card7

Newsom's Perplexing Performance

The Governor's numerical score never quite tells the whole story of their actions over the year, and this year was no exception.

Of the 16 unique bills we scored, 8 made it to Newsom's desk. Of these bills, he signed four that we supported, vetoed two that we supported and signed two that we opposed. Therefore, if we graded him on this alone, he would receive a failing grade of 50%.

For our 17 priority bills that made it to Newsom's desk this session, he signed seven that we supported and vetoed one that we opposed. He also signed three priority bills that we opposed and vetoed six that we opposed. If we graded him on these, he would receive a 47%.

However, extra credit should be given for a couple reasons.

First - the budget: Newsom's budget proposed \$10 billion for zero emission transportation funding by 2027, and he is on track to deliver on this commitment. Crucially, many of these investments prioritize disadvantaged communities. He also invested \$112 million in building decarbonization efforts and promised a total of nearly \$1 billion in funding by 2026. Finally, Newsom has committed to deploy 6 million heat pumps by 2030, and create 7 million climate-ready homes by 2035 within the budget process. Importantly, half of these funds will be allocated to low-income communities of color. (Continued on Page 2)

(Session continued from page 1)

For the session's final act, the Governor broke with his hands-off approach and waded into the legislative process. Newsom sent the legislature a list of five climate priorities that he urged the legislature to send to his desk.

He made this plea with less than 30 days left in the session, pushing legislative staff and advocates into overdrive to draft language and ensure that the bills passed would be the most beneficial for the environment. The Governor also made a last-minute legislative push to extend the operation of Diablo Canyon nuclear power plant, an effort opposed by Sierra Club California and other environmental organizations.

This session yielded some historic results for the climate and California communities.

In the end, this session yielded some historic results for the climate and California communities. Frontline communities can finally celebrate the passage of legislation that will require a minimum, health-protective setback distance between oil and gas drilling operations and communities. Many in the legislature also stepped up to ensure the use of carbon capture does not directly further oil extraction. The legislature also passed a budget with historic investments in zero emission transportation and building decarbonization. However, the legislature also introduced bills that Sierra Club California opposed, which will negatively impact the environment. Ultimately, only 5 made it to the Governor's desk.

How did the legislators fare? Let's take a look.

Legislator Final Grades

We had a fair number of legislators vote with the environment and Sierra Club California the majority of the time, but very few ended the session with a perfect score. Though the end result of this year's session was a net positive for the environment, the path towards that success was marred by legislators who put polluting industry interests above the health and safety of their constituents, the environment, and the planet.

Legislators can be divided into a few different camps:

Certified Fresh 100%ers

This year, only six courageous legislators - 1 Senator and 5 Assemblymembers - voted with Sierra Club California on our environmental and environmental-justice priorities 100% of the time. This list is smaller than in previous years, illustrating that these leaders put the environment ahead of unprecedented pressures from their fellow politicians and polluting interests.

Our esteemed 100%ers are: Senator Monique Limón and Assemblymembers Tasha Boerner Horvath, Alex Lee, Al Muratsuchi, Luz Rivas, and Mark Stone. (Continued on Page 3) (Newsom continued from page 1)

Second - he signed some vital environmental bills: Newsom signed the aforementioned bills establishing health-protective setbacks and ensuring captured carbon cannot be used to extract oil or gas. He also boosted these bills through the legislature by including them in his climate priorities.

That said, we would be remiss if we didn't mention some of Newsom's less environmentally friendly actions. The Governor put his thumb on the scale to pass the costly and damaging Diablo Canyon Power Plant Extension, and weighed in early on in the budget process to prop up polluting gas power plants and diesel backup generators. He walked this investment back a bit after significant pushback from Sierra Club California and communities, but in the end, he still directed potentially significant funds towards fossil fuel infrastructure. And in the regulatory arena, his administration continues to push the antiquated Delta tunnel, which will decimate the San Francisco Bay-Delta.

We're using our academic discretion to award the Governor with the lukewarm passing grade of "C."

Like every honest teacher would tell you, grading is ultimately subjective. So while Newsom received an objective failing grade by the numbers, we're using our academic discretion to award the Governor with the lukewarm passing grade of "C." He deserves some credit for historic, climate-and-community friendly policies and investment, but he has much room for improvement in how he chooses to confront grid reliability without promoting fossil fuels or keeping outdated, nuclear power plants around.

(Grades continued from page 2)

Certified Pretty Good: 80-90%ers

A number of legislators who would normally align themselves with Sierra Club California fell victim to political pressure this year. Two bills in particular brought down the scores of nearly a quarter of the legislators.

Due to an enormous pressure campaign by the Governor, many who typically would expect to vote with Sierra Club and the environment voted the wrong way on a harmful piece of legislation that extended the life of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Powerplant via a \$1.4 billion forgivable loan to PG&E and a massive California Environmental Quality Act exemption.

For the sake of many folks on this list, we wish we didn't have to score this bill, but our conscience required that we score such a regressive piece of public policy that was jammed through with little public input.

Like Diablo, bioenergy is another area of public policy fraught with politics and misinformation. SB 1109 (Caballero) extended a program that requires California ratepayers to subsidize polluting, expensive biomass incinerators.

Sierra Club California has been fighting this program since its inception and, despite our efforts and fierce opposition from environmental justice organizations, biomass bills often get wrapped up in messy wildfire politics which can spook some electeds. Those receiving either 80 or 90% this year have typically been consistent votes for the environment in the past, but they just couldn't overcome pressure from a very powerful peer or a politically challenging issue. Still, we expect better of these leaders.

A number of legislators who would normally align themselves with Sierra Club California fell victim to political pressure this year.

Unpleasant Surprises

When Assemblymember Cottie Petrie-Norris and Senator Josh Newman arrived in Sacramento, Sierra Club California recognized they were Democrats from tough, purple districts, but we had reason to believe they would still have a strong environmental moral compass. The former remains true, but their commitment to protecting the environment is becoming increasingly questionable considering their perennial decreasing scores.

This year, Assemblymember Petrie-Norris received a dismal 40% and Senator Newman an abhorrent 20%. Of the bills we scored, both members voted against the environment a majority of the time. Their records are incredibly disappointing, especially when compared to similarly situated legislators (e.g. Senator Dave Min, who greatly improved on his 2021 score to receive an 80% in 2022).

Now that you know the score, take action!



<u>Tell your legislators you know the score.</u> Call their district offices and thank them if they scored well, or express disappointment if they didn't. Let them know you care about California's environment. You can find phone numbers for legislators at: www.senate.ca.gov or www.assembly.ca.gov. You can find out who your representatives are at: findyourrep.legislature.ca.gov.



Make your voice heard and take action on key legislation when the 2023 legislative session begins by watching for news at: www.sierraclubcalifornia.org.



Become a Sierra Club member on our website and sign up for our activist updates.

2022 Bill Summaries

Sierra Club California policy advocates select the bills that appear on the report card. The selection is based on factors that include a bill's overall importance to the state's environmental quality, the precedent it sets for good or bad impacts, and the bill's importance to fulfilling the Club's mission. We also consider what a bill's score reveals about environmental commitment in the legislature. This year, we scored 16 total bills: 7 that originated in the Assembly, and 9 from the Senate. When a bill returned to its house of origin for a concurrence vote, we used that vote.

Assembly Bill 2053 (Lee) Would address California's affordable housing crisis by advancing sustainably built social housing using a mixed-income model. **SUPPORT: Held in the Senate Committee on Governance and Finance.**

Assembly Bill 2133 (Quirk) Would reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the near term by increasing the state's GHG reduction target from 40% below 1990 levels in 2030 to 55% **SUPPORT: Failed to Pass the Assembly Floor.**

Assembly Bill 2419 (Bryan) Would ensure that federal climate and infrastructure investments will advance California's goals to promote equity, environmental justice, and a high-road economy through targeted investments to disadvantaged and low-income communities. **SUPPORT: Held in the Senate Committee on Appropriations.**

Assembly Bill 2108 (Rivas) Improves consideration of environmental justice in state water decisions by requiring the State Water Board to analyze environmental justice, tribal impact and racial equity considerations when adopting water quality plans and policies. **SUPPORT: Signed by the Governor.**

Assembly Bill 2201 (Bennett) Would prohibit a local agency in high/medium priority basins from approving permits for construction of new groundwater wells unless the GSA determines it would be consistent with applicable sustainability plans. **SUPPORT: Failed to pass the Assembly Floor.**

Assembly Bill 2438 (Friedman) Would align the state's transportation programs with its climate goals by requiring various state transportation programs to incorporate strategies from the Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure (CAPTI) into the program guidelines. **SUPPORT: Vetoed by the Governor.**

Assembly Bill 2784 (Ting) Would set a minimum recycled content requirements for plastic thermoform food containers (i.e. berry boxes) and establish minimum recycled content using a phased approach – starting at 10% and eventually reaching 30% and set penalties for manufacturers that do not meet the requirements **SUPPORT: Vetoed by the Governor.**

Senate Bill 260 (Wiener) Requires publicly traded corporations with annual revenues in excess of \$1 billion that do business in California to publicly disclose their greenhouse gas emissions from the prior calendar year. The bill would also require the Air Resources Board to develop and adopt regulations requiring those large corporations to set emissions targets based on the entity's emissions. **SUPPORT: Failed to pass the Assembly Floor.**

Senate Bill 1137 (Gonzalez) Protects the public health of California's frontline communities by creating a science-based minimum health and safety distance of 3,200-feet between oil and gas wells and "sensitive receptors," such as homes, schools, childcare facilities, playgrounds, hospitals, and nursing homes. **SUPPORT: Signed by the Governor.**

Senate Bill 1157 (Hertzberg) Establishes new indoor residential water efficiency standards to enhance the state's water resiliency and conservation. **SUPPORT: Signed by the Governor.**

Senate Bill 1314 (Limón) Addresses the fossil fuel industries harmful practices by prohibiting enhanced oil recovery using captured carbon. **SUPPORT: Signed by the Governor.**

Senate Bill 1173 (Gonzalez) Would prohibit the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) and the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) from investing in fossil fuel companies, and require that they divest any current investments by 2030. SUPPORT: Passed Senate. Died in the Assembly Committee on Public Employment and Retirement.

Senate Bill 846 (Dodd) Reneges on a settlement to close down Diablo Canyon nuclear powerplant in 2025 by giving \$1.4 billion to PG&E, waiving CEQA, and reducing key regulatory oversight all to extend the life of Diablo Canyon at ratepayer and taxpayer expense. **OPPOSE: Signed by the Governor.**

Senate Bill 1109 (Caballero) Continues to prop up dirty and expensive biomass incinerators without providing any public benefit by extending the BioRAM program. **OPPOSE: Signed by the Governor.**

Senate Bill 1219 (Hurtado) Creates additional processes, at taxpayer expense that would further delay urgently needed reforms to protect safe drinking water, endangered species, and the environment. OPPOSE: Pulled from Assembly Committee on Water Parks and Wildlife.

Senate Bill 1393 (Archuleta) A previous version of this bill would have inhibited cities' ability to reduce greenhouse gas and NOx emissions by adding burdensome requirements for the adoption of local building codes that require the replacement of fossil fueled appliances with zero emission electric ones. OPPOSE: Held in the Assembly Committee on Appropriations.

Report Card Legend and Notes

Legislator was present, but chose not to cast a vote in support of a pro-environment bill Legislator was present, but chose not to cast a vote on an anti-environment bill NV-Pro-environment vote NV+ Anti-environment vote

Excused absence

Legislator was not a member of the committee or had moved to another position during the vote n/a

Scores are based on the number of "+" and "NV+" votes cast versus the total number of possible votes (excused absences do not count against a score, but NV- votes do).

			SUPPORTED MEASURES OPPOSED MEASURES										
				OPPOSED MEASURES									
			AB 2503	AB 2133	AB 2419	AB 2108	SB 260	SB 1137	SB 1157	SB 1314	CD 0//	CD 1100	
ASSEMBLY	VOTE	SCORE	Establishes	Accelerates	Promotes	Ensures	Adds Trans-	Creates Health	Establishes Indoor	Bans Enhanced	SB 846	SB 1109	
REPORT CARD	TOTE	Joona	Mixed Income	Emission	Environmen-	Environmental	parency to	Protective Setback	Residential	Oil Recovery as a	Extends Diablo	Continues to Prop	
			Social Housing to Address Housing	Reduction	tal Justice in	Justice at the State Water	Corporate GHG Emis-	from Oil and Gas	Water Efficiency	Use for Captured	Canyon Nuclear	Up Polluting Bio-	
			Crisis	Goals	Infrastructure Investments	Board	sions	Operations	Standards	Carbon	Powerplant	mass Incinerators	
Aguiar-Curry, Cecilia M. (D-04)	4/10	40%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	NV-	NV-	-	-	
Alvarez, David (D-80)	3/8	37%	N/A	NV-	N/A	+	NV-	NV-	+	+	-		
Arambula, Dr. Joaquin (D-31)	3/10	30%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	-	
Bauer-Kahan, Rebecca (D-16)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Bennett, Steve (D-37)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Berman, Marc (D-24)	6/8	75%	Е	+	Е	+	+	+	+	+	-		
Bigelow, Frank (R-05)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	+	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Bloom, Richard H. (D-50)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	
Boerner Horvath, Tasha (D-76)	10/10	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	
Bonta, Mia (D-18)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	
Bryan, Isaac G. (D-54)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	
Calderon, Lisa (D-57)	6/10	60%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	
Carrillo, Wendy (D-51)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	
Cervantes, Sabrina (D-60)	6/10	60%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	
Chen, Phillip (R-55)	0/10	0%	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Choi, Steven Ph.D. (R-68)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cooley, Ken (D-08)	2/10	20%	NV-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cooper, Jim (D-09)	1/10	10%	NV-	NV-	+	NV-	NV-	-	NV-	NV-	-	-	
Cunningham, Jordan (R-35)	0/9	0%	E	-	-	-	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	
Dahle, Megan (R-01)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	-	
Daly, Tom (D-69)	1/10	10%	-	NV-	+	NV-	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	-	
Davies, Laurie (R-73)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Flora, Heath (R-12)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fong, Mike (D-49)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Fong, Vince (R-34)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Friedman, Laura (D-43)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	
Gabriel, Jesse (D-45)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	
Gallagher, James M. (R-03)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-+	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Garcia, Cristina (D-58)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Garcia, Eduardo (D-56)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Gipson, Mike A. (D-64)	6/10	60%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	
Gray, Adam C. (D-21)	0/9	0%	Е	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	
Grayson, Timothy S. (D-14)	3/10	30%	+	NV-	+	NV-	NV-	NV-	+	NV-	-	-	
Haney, Matt (D-17)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	
Holden, Chris (D-41)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	
Irwin, Jacqui (D-44)	2/5	40%	E	NV-	E	Е	NV-	E	+	Е	-	Е	
Jones-Sawyer, Sr., Reginald (D-59)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	

Lackey, Tom (K-30)	0/10	0%0		-	1N V-	-	-	-		-	_	-
Lee, Alex (D-25)	10/10	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	NV+
Levine, Marc (D-10)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	=	+	+	-	-
Low, Evan (D-28)	7/10	70%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	-	-
Maienschein, Brian (D-77)	3/10	30%	NV-	NV-	+	+	NV-	NV-	NV-	+	-	-
Mathis, Devon J. (R-26)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayes, Chad (I-42)	0/10	0%	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	NV-	NV-	-	-	-
McCarty, Kevin (D-7)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
McKinnor, Tina (D-62)	6/8	75%	N/A	+	N/A	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Medina, Jose (D-61)	6/10	60%	+	NV-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
Mullin, Kevin (D-22)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Muratsuchi, Al (D-66)	10/10	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+
Nazarian, Adrin (D-46)	7/10	70%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	-
Nguyen, Janet (R-72)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	-
O'Donnell, Patrick (D-70)	1/8	13%	Е	NV-	Е	+	-	-	NV-	NV-	-	-
Patterson, Jim (R-23)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petrie-Norris, Cottie (D-74)	4/10	40%	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	NV-	NV-	+	-	-
Quirk, Bill (D-20)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Quirk-Silva, Sharon (D-65)	5/10	50%	+	NV-	+	+	-	+	NV-	+	-	-
Ramos, James C. (D-40)	6/10	60%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-
Rendon, Anthony (D-63)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-
Reyes, Eloise Gómez (D-47)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Rivas, Luz M. (D-39)	10/10	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	NV+
Rivas, Robert (D-30)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Rodriguez, Freddie (D-52)	6/10	60%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-
Rubio, Blanca E. (D-48)	3/10	30%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	-
Salas, Jr., Rudy (D-32)	2/10	20%	NV-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santiago, Miguel (D-53)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-
Seyarto, Kelly (R-67)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Smith, Thurston "Smitty" (R-33)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	-
Stone, Mark (D-29)	10/10	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ting, Philip Y. (D-19)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+
Valladares, Suzette Martinez (R-38)	0/10	0%	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Villapudua, Carlos (D-13)	4/10	40%	NV-	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	NV-	+	-	-
Voepel, Randy (R-71)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	NV+
Waldron, Marie (R-75)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ward, Christopher M (D-78)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Weber, M.D., Akilah (D-79)	7/10	70%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	-	-
Wicks, Buffy (D-15)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Wilson, Lori (D-11)	4/10	40%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	NV-	NV-	-	-
Wood, Jim (D-02)	7/10	70%	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-
Sierra Club California												Page 6

SUPPORTED MEASURES

SB 260

Adds Trans-

parency to

Corporate

GHG Emis-

sions

+

SB 1137

Creates Health

Protective Setback

from Oil and Gas

Operations

+

SB 1157

Establishes Indoor

Residential

Water Efficiency

Standards

SB 1314

Bans Enhanced

Oil Recovery as a

Use for Captured

Carbon

+

AB 2108

Ensures

Environmental

Justice at the

State Water

Board

+

AB 2419

Promotes

Environmen-

tal Justice in

Infrastructure

Investments

NV-

AB 2133

Accelerates

Emission

Reduction

Goals

+

AB 2503

Establishes

Mixed Income

Social Housing to

Address Housing

Crisis

+

VOTE | SCORE

90%

0%

0%

9/10

0/10

0/10

ASSEMBLY

REPORT CARD

Kalra, Ash (D-27)

Kiley, Kevin (R-06) Lackey, Tom (R-36) **OPPOSED MEASURES**

SB 846

Extends Diablo

Canyon Nuclear

Powerplant

SB 1109

Continues to Prop

Up Polluting Bio-

mass Incinerators

NV+

					SOLLOKIED	OITOSED WIEASURES						
SENATE REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	SCORE	AB 2133 Accelerates Emission Reduction Goals	AB 2201 Protects Groundwater Basins and Drinking Water Sources	AB 2438 Aligns Transportation Planning with Climate Targets	AB 2784 Sets Minimum Recycled Content Requirements for Plastic Food Containers	SB 1137 Creates Health Protective Setback from Oil and Gas Operations Operations s	SB 1173 Divests State Pension Funds from Fossil Fuels	SB 1314 Bans Enhanced Oil Recovery as a Use for Captured Carbon	SB 846 Extends Diablo Canyon Nucle- ar Powerplant		SB 1393 Adds Additional Barriers to Cities' Electrification Ordinances
Allen, Ben (D-26)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	NV+
Archuleta, Bob (D-32)	4/10	40%	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	-	-	-
Atkins, Toni G. (D-39)	7/10	70%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Bates, Patricia C. (R-36)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	-	-
Becker, Josh (D-13)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	NV+
Borgeas, Andreas (R-08)	2/10	20%	-	-	-	-	-	NV-	-	NV+	-	NV+
Bradford, Steven (D-35)	6/10	60%	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	+
Caballero, Anna (D-12)	3/10	30%	NV-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
Cortese, Dave (D-15)	5/10	50%	+	-	+	+	+	+	NV-	-	-	-
Dahle, Brian (R-01)	2/10	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	NV+	-
Dodd, Bill (D-03)	6/10	60%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	-	-
Durazo, Maria Elena (D-24)	7/10	70%	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	-
Eggman, Susan Talamantes (D-05)	6/10	60%	+	-	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	-	+	NV+
Glazer, Steven M. (D-07)	1/10	10%	NV-	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	+	NV-	-	-	-
Gonzalez, Lena A. (D-33)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	NV+
Grove, Shannon (R-16)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	-	-
Hertzberg, Robert M. (D-18)	5/6	83%	+	+	+	+	+	Е	Е	-	Е	Е
Hueso, Ben (D-40)	4/10	40%	NV-	+	+	+	NV-	-	+	-	-	-
Hurtado, Melissa (D-14)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV-	-	-	-
Jones, Brian (R-38)	3/10	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	NV+	NV+
Kamlager, Sydney (D-30)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	NV+
Laird, John (D-17)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	NV+
Leyva, Connie M. (D-20)	7/10	70%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	+	-
Limón, Monique (D-19)	10/10	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	NV+	NV+
McGuire, Mike (D-02)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	NV+
Melendez, Melissa (R-28)	3/10	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	NV+	NV+
Min, Dave (D-37)	8/10	80%	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	NV+
Newman, Josh D. (D-29)	2/10	20%	NV-	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	+	-
Nielsen, Jim (R-04)	2/10	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	-	NV+
Ochoa Bogh, Rosilicie (R-23)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	-	-
Pan, Richard (D-06)	6/10	60%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	-	-
Portantino, Anthony (D-25)	6/10	60%	+	+	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	-
Roth, Richard D. (D-31)	3/10	30%	+	- NT /	+	+	NV-	NV-	+	-	-	-
Rubio, Susan (D-22)	2/10	20%	+	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	-	-
Skinner, Nancy (D-09)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	NV+
Stern, Henry (D-27)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	+
Umberg, Tom (D-34)	5/10	50%	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Wieckowski, Bob (D-10)	8/10	80%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	NV+	NV+
Wiener, Scott D. (D-11)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Wilk, Scott T. (R-21)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	-

SUPPORTED MEASURES

OPPOSED MEASURES

Sierra Club California, founded in 1986, is the legislative and regulatory advocacy arm of the Sierra Club's 13 California chapters. Sierra Club California staff produced this report.