# **Protect Our Future: Protect Responsible Investing**

#### Oppose HB 863, 769, 770, HR 12 and SB 50, 177, 316, 377 and 430

It is not a new idea to incorporate social, environmental and governmental issues such as corruption into investment decisions. Companies consider the environment in order to *moderate* risk and align with the values of their customers and *community* to make better long term investments. Investment managers who incorporate these factors into decisions have a strong history of profits. Because of this, it is becoming a more and more popular method of investment.

## **A MANUFACTURED ISSUE**

All of these bills either prevent the Missouri state and/or local government from taking into account climate change and other social and governance issues when making decisions, or else prevent state and/or local government from dealing with businesses that do the same.

Why would the Missouri legislature enact these bills? This attempt to restrict Missourians' options is *part of* a larger dark-money campaign orchestrated and heavily funded by the fossil fuel industry and out-of-state billionaires. They oppose the growing trend of financial institutions and state and local governments making common-sense, business-driven decisions to respond to the systemic risks of climate change. They want to stop money flowing out of risky fossil fuel investments and into the growing clean energy economy by limiting options for governments. Rather than choosing Missourians, these bills favor dark money interests.



### **CONSIDERING THE FUTURE**

This set of bills is a desperate attempt at climate denialism. The fossil fuel industry is highly subsidized and the status quo is not profitable or *financially sustainable*. Bills like these are a dying industry's attempt to forestall the end rather than make changes for our shared future. States that have enacted similar laws risk losing hundreds of millions of dollars for retirees and municipalities.

Climate change is real and it already negatively impacts our economy. In 2021 climate disasters cost the United States more than \$145 billion. Missouri already suffers negative effects of climate change, including more frequent and severe floods, longer periods of dangerous heat in the summer, droughts and a more intense cold polar vortex in the winter. Both businesses and governments should consider the effects of climate change on the economy and Missourians. These bills limit that option.

HB 863 is sponsored by Rep. O'Donnell - it contains HB 769 and it passed the House. SB 50 is sponsored by Senator Moon. SB 177 is sponsored by Senator Eigel. SB 316 is sponsored by Senator Hoskins. All three Senate Bills have a Commerce Committee hearing on Thurs Apr 6. HB 769 is sponsored by Rep. Owen, HB 770 is sponsored by Rep. Thompson, HR 12 is sponsored by Rep. Owen - all three have been heard in the Financial Institutions Committee.

#### CONTACT **Missouri Sierra Club** PO Box 432010, St. Louis, MO 63143

#### 314-644-1011 missouri.chapter@sierraclub.org



1 Harvard Business Review "Yes Investing in ESG Pays Off" April 13, 2022. hbr.org/2022/04/yes-investing-in-esg-pays-off 2 Fast Company "The Secret Money Fueling the Conservative "Anti-ESG Push" December 19, 2022. fastcompany.com/90824901/secret-money-fueling-conservative-anti-esg-push 3 International Monetary Fund "Fossil Fuel Subsidies" imf.org/en/Topics/climate-change/energy-subsidies 4 The Hill "Analysis: State Anti-ESG laws could cost tax payers hundreds of millions" thehill.com/policy/energy-environment/3812695-analysis-state-anti-esg-laws-could-cost-taxpayers-hundreds-of-millions/ 5 National Centers for Environmental Information "Billion Dollar Weather and Climate Disasters" https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/billions/, nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/21/, ucdavis.edu/climate/efficitions/what-is-the-polar-vortex, rftorg/publications/explainers/climate-financial-risks/ whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/05/20/executive-order-on-climate-related-financial-risk/