Sierra Club California Legislative Priority List 2019  
(April 8, 2019)

Each year, Sierra Club California staff and volunteer leaders work together to analyze and determine Club positions on hundreds of bills at the legislature. From among those on which we take a position, a number rise to the top as priority bills that deserve special attention. We encourage our members to bring these bills to the attention of their legislators.

Below is the Sierra Club California list of priority bills in 2019 with our position and each bill’s status as of the date above. This list is updated periodically. We cover and take positions on dozens of bills. This priority list is not a complete list of all the bills we take a position on or lobby. Each bill is linked to its page on the California Legislative Information website. Click on the links for the latest status on bills and their location in the legislative process.

The priority bills are grouped below by topic area and listed within those by house and in ascending numerical order. Bills introduced by Assembly members begin with AB and bills introduced by Senators begin with SB. Those without any highlighting are actively moving through the legislative process. Those bills highlighted in gray appear to be dead or inactive this year. Those that have been passed through the legislature and are awaiting action by the governor are highlighted in aqua, and those signed by the governor to become law have been highlighted in green. Those highlighted in red have been vetoed by the governor.

**California Deal**

**AB 966** (Bonta) Reducing Emissions in Cement Plants  
California’s eight cement factories are the largest consumers of coal and petroleum coke in the state. This bill would require cement plants to reduce their carbon emissions in a transparent way by preparing a facility-specific Environmental Product Declaration. **SUPPORT.**

**AB 1276** (Bonta) Green New Deal  
This bill would significantly increase renewable energy production, invest in building debaronization, reduce carbon emissions and promote the green job economy within 10 years. **SUPPORT.**

**SB 1** (Atkins) California Environmental, Public Health and Workers Defense Act of 2019  
The bill would defend California’s standards that protect our natural resources and public health from the Trump administration’s reckless attempts to repeal or undermine environmental regulations. **SUPPORT.**

**Clean Electricity and Efficiency**

**AB 1690** (Flora) Biomass Contract Extensions  
It would allow biomass incinerators in the most polluted air basins in the state to receive contract extensions to burn trees from the forest. **OPPOSE.**
**SB 772** (Bradford) Energy Storage
This bill would open the way for a large-scale pump storage facility, known as Eagle Crest, that will impair critical water sources used by wildlife within Joshua Tree National Park. **OPPOSE.**

**Clean Water and a Smart Water System**

**AB 1204** (R. Blanca) Delaying Drinking Water Standards
The bill would extend the amount of time public water systems have to comply with drinking water standards from one year to three years. Californians could be exposed to contaminated water during this multi-year extension. **OPPOSE.**

**SB 307** (Roth) Mojave Desert Aquifer
The federal government has refused to conduct an environmental review of a project that would pump 16 billion gallons of water annually for 50 years from the Mojave Desert aquifer. The bill would prohibit the water transfer unless the state finds that it will not adversely affect the natural resources of those federal and state lands. **SUPPORT.**

**SB 559** (Hurtado) Friant-Kern Canal
The bill would appropriate $400 million to repair the Friant-Kern Canal, a water conveyance built as part of the federally funded Center Valley Project that has suffered from subsidence due to agriculture’s over-draught of aquifers along the canal’s 152-mile length. The bill provides funding with no bounds to ensure steps are taken by the agency responsible for the canal to ensure future subsidence does not occur and that conservation measures are imposed. **OPPOSE.**

**Clean Transportation for Clean Air**

**AB 40** (Ting) A Strategy for Zero Emission Cars by 2040
This bill would require a state agency that regulates zero-emission vehicle technology to develop a strategy for ensuring that, by 2040, all new cars and new light-duty trucks sold in the state are zero emission. **SUPPORT.**

This is now a two-year bill.

**AB 210** (Voepel) Smog Check Exemptions for Old Cars
This bill is an “evergreen” bad bill. It is reintroduced nearly every two years and if it passes, it would allow old, dirty, highly polluting cars identified as “collector” cars avoid smog check and smog control devices. This bill would open the door to more pollution at a time when we need clean air more than ever. **OPPOSE.** Bill pulled by author before first committee, but may be revived in 2020.

**AB 753** (E. Garcia) Fuels, Vehicles and Fueling Infrastructure Incentives
This bill would shift incentive funds now available for zero-emission vehicles and infrastructure to so-called near-zero vehicles and the methane fuels they use. Methane is an extraordinarily potent greenhouse gas. **OPPOSE.**

**AB 1406** (O’Donnell) Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program
This bill would require that the California Energy Commission invest in biofuels production and incentivize methane-fueled vehicles. This legislation would undermine California’s efforts toward a zero-emission transportation future. **OPPOSE.**
**AB 1411 (Reyes) Sustainable Freight**
Heavy-duty vehicles are a major source of pollution in California. Freight causes 23 percent of transportation emissions and consists of only 3 percent of vehicles in the state. The bill would require the deployment of 200,000 zero-emission medium- and heavy-duty vehicles by 2030 and would direct the state to update the integrated action plan for sustainable freight. **SUPPORT.**

**SB 44 (Skinner) Ditch Dirty Diesel**
The bill would provide a roadmap for meeting California’s climate and air quality targets by dramatically reducing harmful diesel emissions. It would require the Air Resources Board to develop a comprehensive strategy to deploy zero- and near-zero freight vehicles that would bring the state into compliance with ambient air quality standards, reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 40 percent by 2030 and 80 percent by 2050. **SUPPORT.**

**SB 210 (Leyva) Truck Inspections and Maintenance**
This bill would beef up and enforce pollution control programs that will make sure heavy-duty truck pollution controls are maintained and operated correctly to discourage high-polluting trucks from California roads. **SUPPORT.**

**Cutting Waste & Toxics**

**AB 1080 (Gonzalez) and SB 54 (Allen) California Circular Economy and Plastic Pollution Reduction Act**
Single-use products—typically plastic packaging—is overflowing our landfills and fouling our oceans and streets. Current disposal and recycling policies cannot resolve these problems. These two identical bills will require the reduction, composting or recycling of 75 percent of single-use packaging by 2030. **SUPPORT.**

**SB 458 (Durazo) Protect Children from Brain-Damaging Chlorpyrifos Act of 2019**
Pregnant mothers and children can be exposed to chlorpyrifos, a dangerous pesticide, through food residue and contaminated water, which causes brain damage in infants and children. This bill would ban the use of chlorpyrifos. **SUPPORT.**

**Oil & Gas: Reducing Dependence**

**AB 342 (Muratsuchi) Prohibiting Oil Infrastructure on State Lands**
Opening California’s public lands to oil production would put the state’s most treasured landscapes at risk. This bill would prohibit the state from authorizing new construction of oil- and gas-related infrastructure on public lands. **SUPPORT.**

**AB 936 (R. Rivas) Tar Sands Oil Spill Contingency Plan**
The bill would require the state to amend California’s oil spill contingency plan to include response activities for non-floating oil (tar sands crude oil) spills. It would also require operators that receive or ship non-floating oils to provide advanced notice of transport. **SUPPORT.**

**AB 1083 (Burke) Assessing Clean Energy Procurement Requirements**
This bill throws a wrench into any future legislation to advance renewable energy and battery energy storage by opening up the ability to require an extensive study of all impacts of such requirements. This bill is part of a larger effort by the gas industry and utilities to discredit clean energy laws. **OPPOSE.**
**SB 169** (Jackson) Oil Pipeline Regulations
The bill would require that all pipelines in California follow consistent regulations. Currently pipelines that operate at partial capacity adhere to less stringent rules. It also ensures that pipeline inspectors have the proper tools to verify that all pipelines are operating in compliance with the law. **SUPPORT.**

**SB 457** (Hueso) - Biomethane Incentive Program Extension
In 2015 the California Public Utilities Commission established a $40 million incentive program to support biomethane projects, particularly dairy manure methane digesters, which have their own environmental impacts. This bill would extend the program for another five years. Rather than subsidizing polluting practices, California should instead incentivize farming practices that promote human and environmental health. **OPPOSE.**

**SB 463** (Stern) Oil Storage Risk Management Plan Update
This bill would require gas storage operators to include a list of any chemicals used their facilities in its risk management plans. It would also require operators to report any chemical leaks and make it a crime to violate this requirement. **SUPPORT.**

**Protecting Environmental Review**

**AB 1673** (Salas) CEQA Lawsuit Disclosure
This bill would require a plaintiff to identify and disclose any individual or business that contributes over $1,000 in a CEQA lawsuit, which could discourage communities from challenging potentially polluting and destructive projects. Failure to comply would be grounds for dismissal. **OPPOSE.**

**SB 25** (Caballero) Expedited Judicial Review for Opportunity Zones
This bill would require that any CEQA lawsuits challenging opportunity zone projects be resolved within 270 days. These projects are numerous and expansive, requiring sufficient time for a plaintiff to review the environmental documents. By imposing this limitation, this bill would severely interfere with the judicial process and removes the environmental protection that CEQA provides. **OPPOSE.**

**SB 632** (Galgiani) CEQA Exemptions for Massive Tree Removal
This bill would provide a CEQA exemption for any activity recommended by CAL FIRE’s very flawed DRAFT Vegetation Treatment Plan. The document is still under development and has been discredited for listing activities that would harm California’s wildlands and that have not been subject to adequate CEQA review. **OPPOSE.**

**Protecting Parks, Wildlife and Habitat**

**AB 454** (Kalra) Migratory Bird Protection
This would expand California’s leadership on migratory bird protection and conservation, which is especially important as many migratory bird populations continue to decline due to human activities and as the federal government rolls back federal protections for birds and other wildlife. **SUPPORT**

**AB 1254** (Kamlager-Dove) Trophy Hunting Ban
Bobcats play an important role in the maintenance of healthy ecosystems and are iconic in California. This bill would ban cruel and senseless bobcat trophy hunting in the state. **SUPPORT.**
**AB 1578** (L. Rivas) Pavement to Parks Program

In underserved communities, public schools often represent a student’s only opportunity to play outside and enjoy nature. This bill would establish the School Pavement to Parks Grant Program to provide grants to schools in disadvantaged communities to convert pavement areas to green spaces. **SUPPORT**

**AB 1788** (Bloom) Rodenticide Ban

Anticoagulant rodenticides, a group of dangerous pesticides, have widespread and detrimental impacts on the very carnivores who help regulate rodent populations – including bobcats, coyotes, mountain lions, foxes, hawks, eagles and owls. This bill would abolish the use of this pesticide, except in the case of agricultural activities and public health situations. **SUPPORT.**

**SB 402** (Borgeas) Off-Road Vehicles in Inyo County

Inyo County, which is home to Death Valley National Park, has an off-road vehicle pilot program that will expire in 2020. This bill would expand the program until 2025. The program has raised health and safety concerns; noise and traffic increases; air quality impacts from dust and emissions; and significant impacts to natural resources. **OPPOSE.**

**SB 767** (Glazer) Alameda-Tesla Expansion Area

This bill would resolve a decades-long effort to protect an ecologically important area near the Carnegie State Vehicular Recreation Area. The bill would essentially allow the Department of Parks and Recreation to sell the area to local entities that intend to preserve the Alameda-Tesla Expansion Area as a park for people, not off-highway vehicles. **SUPPORT.**

---

**Protecting People from Wildfire**

**AB 38** (Wood) State Wildfire Preparedness Board

The intensity and frequency of fires in California have increased to unprecedented levels. Residents in high fire hazard areas can make their homes more fire resistant through low-cost retrofits and establishing defensible spaces. This bill would create the State Wildfire Preparedness Board and establish a Fund to help residents harden their homes. **SUPPORT.**

**AB 191** (Patterson) - Exemptions for Current Building Standards

This bill would exempt homes that are rebuilt after a wildfire or a state of emergency from adhering to current building standards. The structures would only be required to meet the energy efficiency standards from 2006. **OPPOSE.**

**SB 160** (Jackson) Culturally Sensitive Emergency Planning

This bill would require local government to include cultural considerations in their emergency preparedness plans to help make sure all Californians are appropriately warned, assisted and, if needed, evacuated during an emergency. **SUPPORT**

**SB 182** (Jackson) Comprehensive Retrofit Strategies

This bill would require local governments to develop comprehensive retrofit strategies as part of their local hazard mitigation plan every 8 years. This focuses on common-sense fire safety strategies rather than wildland logging. **SUPPORT.**