



2019 Report Card

www.sierraclubcalifornia.org

October 2019

Incrementalism Reigns in 2019 Legislation

This first year of a two-year legislative session, 2019, was not a particularly exciting year for environmental legislation.

That doesn't mean that some good bills weren't introduced and passed. A lot were and there were more than enough to score on our report card.

But many of the most interesting and boldest of bills introduced this year ended up being held or redirected to become two-year bills.

A two-year bill is one that is expected to return for more work and votes in the second year of the session. As a result, we will likely see a longer list of bold bills in 2020.

The Upshot

For 2019, though, the best single-word description of the output is "incremental".

At a time when we face frightening and catastrophic climate change impacts, seemingly intractable water and air pollution, and the public health and natural resource harms that go with these conditions, a year dominated by incrementalism is disheartening.

One upshot of this relatively mild year for bill ambition has been higher than usual scores on our annual legislative report card. For the legislators who care about their scores, this is a positive.

This year, 34 assembly members scored 100 percent on our report card. In other years, when legislators faced more ambitious bills that required challenging big industry interests, perfect scores in the assembly numbered about 10 to 15.

In the Senate, last year only one legislator received a 100-percent score. This year nine received perfect scores.

The good bills that got through the legislature this year and signed by the governor included legislation that had surfaced in previous years but had been stopped on floor votes or vetoed by former Governor Jerry Brown.

(Continued on Page 2)

In This Issue

Incrementalism Reigns in 2019 Legislation	1
Newsom Scores Above Average but Still Disappoints.....	1
2019 Bill Summaries	3
Assembly Report Card.....	4
Senate Report Card	6

Newsom Scores Above Average but Still Disappoints

If one looks only at the numbers—how many bills on our scorecard the governor signed or vetoed as we requested—Governor Gavin Newsom did okay in his first year in office.

Of the 10 bills on our scorecard that made it to his desk, we asked him to sign all 10. He signed 8 and vetoed 2. That would give him an 80 percent or a grade of a low B.

But this score alone doesn't tell the full story.

The full story is that Governor Newsom, in so many big and small ways, has left Sierra Club California staff and activists scratching our heads about Newsom's commitment to California's environment. Is he interested in the issues? Does he understand them? Is he taking his direction from industry lobbyists?

At times his performance has been as bad as we saw during even the most frustrating times of the Brown and Schwarzenegger years, and he's only been in the job 10 months.

Newsom began his term in office fumbling on water. He embraced Governor Jerry Brown's proposal that decades of failed water policy about river inflows could be resolved through private "voluntary agreements" rather than publicly vetted regulations.

When the then-chair of the water board, Felicia Marcus, resisted the Brown-Newsom notion, the new governor responded by ripping the chairship out from under her. Marcus is nationally known as a fair-minded, thoughtful water expert who has an environmental bent.

(Continued on Page 2)

(Legislation continued from page 1)

One notable example is a bill to effectively block a plan by a water merchant, Cadiz, Inc., to drain a desert aquifer while selling off the water for profit. It was reintroduced as Senate Bill 307 (Roth) early this year after twice failing to clear the legislature last year. This time, the bill managed to clear both houses and get to the governor's desk for signing before the July recess.

Another example is Assembly Bill 35 (Kalra), which employs reporting mechanisms to crack down on workplace exposure to toxic lead. That bill—with a different number—was vetoed by the former governor last year. Fortunately, Assemblymember Ash Kalra persisted, reintroduced the bill as AB 35, which the legislature passed and Governor Gavin Newsom signed into law.

A number of oil and gas bills that got through the legislature this year were signed into law. All effectively provide some improvements in safety or economic protections. One signaled that California rejected new federal oil drilling. However, none took the boldest and most needed steps to help rid this state of the costly health and environmental impacts of fossil fuel extraction and dependence.

One bold oil-related measure requiring a 2,500-foot setback between homes and new oil drilling sites, Assembly Bill 345 (Muratsuchi), faced intense opposition from the oil industry and the California Building Trades Council, a labor organization whose members include oil workers.

Ultimately, the Assembly Appropriations Committee, chaired by Assemblymember Lorena Gonzalez, converted it to a two-year bill in May.

Two important bills designed to reduce plastic waste, Assembly Bill 1080 (Gonzalez) and Senate Bill 54 (Allen), were stopped in the second houses on the last night of the legislative session and are expected to be back for consideration in January.

Disheartening Vetoes

Another bill widely supported by environmentalists, labor, certain green businesses, Attorney General Xavier Becerra, and nearly every major newspaper in the state passed with overwhelming margins in the legislature on the last night of the session. The bill, Senate Bill 1 (Atkins), would have strengthened California's ability to withstand rollbacks in federal environmental policies under the Trump administration.

The bill was dubbed the Trump Insurance Bill. It was far from incremental, and it suffered a confounding and disappointing veto from Governor Newsom.

Finally, on its third try, with a different author, a bill—Assembly Bill 1086 (Bauer-Kahan)—to resolve a long, bitter fight between local communities and environmental groups on one side, and the California Department of Parks and Recreation on the other, flew through the legislature. Assemblywoman Rebecca Bauer-Kahan led the fight and demonstrated her (and her staff's) strong political acumen and legislative skills in the last two weeks of the legislative session.

Then Governor Newsom surprised all of us who supported the bill with a veto on a Friday evening before a three-day weekend.

Imagine the words that escaped our lips upon learning of that senseless veto. They weren't incremental.

(Newsom continued from page 1)

When an outstanding air quality advocate's third term on the South Coast Air Quality Management District's board expired, Newsom decided not to reappoint him. Instead, Newsom appointed a former oil company employee to the seat. But then, even before her first meeting on the board, she proved to be so ill-suited that the governor was forced to rescind the appointment.

When a bill was put before the governor that would backstop the Trump administration's rollbacks in federal environmental and worker safety regulations, agricultural interests who had helped craft Trump's rollbacks, went into high gear to oppose. Among other things, they threatened to walk out of the "voluntary agreement" negotiations if Senate Bill 1 was signed.

Newsom vetoed the bill even though it didn't touch voluntary agreements.

One of Newsom's political allies, Senator Scott Wiener, managed to get a bill passed, Senate Bill 127, that would more overtly require state transportation agencies to include "complete streets" (that is, safety for pedestrians and bicyclists and transit users) in its planning and funding. The bill was supported by environmental, environmental justice, health and active transportation groups.

Newsom vetoed the bill on the very last day to act, and he did it by quoting inflated cost estimates.

These are just brief examples. The list goes on. Together they reflect a larger disarray in the Administration's approach to policy decisions.

So for the report card, Newsom passes. For his overall environmental performance, he's unimpressive and needs improvement. The state's public health, environmental quality and economic future deserve better.

2019 Bill Summaries

Sierra Club California policy advocates select the bills that appear on the report card. The selection is based on factors that include a bill's overall importance to the state's environmental quality, the precedent it sets for good or bad impacts, and the bill's importance to fulfilling the Club's mission. We also consider what a bill's score reveals about environmental commitment in the legislature. This year, we scored 6 bills that originated in the Assembly and 7 bills that originated in the Senate. When a bill returned to its house of origin for a concurrence vote, we used that vote.

Assembly Bill 5 (Gonzalez)

Codifies the California Supreme Court's Dynamex decision that clearly defines contractors and employees. Also makes it more difficult for transportation companies to elude regulations requiring cleaner vehicles. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Assembly Bill 35 (Kalra)

Requires the Department of Public Health to report incidents of high blood lead levels in workers to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration for remedial action to be taken. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Assembly Bill 342 (Muratsuchi)

Prohibits California from authorizing new construction of oil- and gas-related infrastructure on state-owned public lands. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Assembly Bill 1080 (Gonzalez)

Would have reduced single-use waste in California and required that the remaining packaging be reusable, recyclable or compostable. Companion Bill to SB 54. **SUPPORT: Passed in Assembly; converted to a two-year bill in Senate.**

Assembly Bill 1086 (Bauer-Kahan)

Would have resolved a decades-long dispute by allowing the State Department of Parks and Recreation to sell the Alameda-Tesla Expansion Area to local entities that intend to preserve the area for passive recreation. **SUPPORT: Vetoed.**

Assembly Bill 1680 (Limón)

Requires the relevant agencies to develop a new coastal access program for Hollister Ranch, where landowners have previously blocked access. Creates a fund to provide public access to the beach at Hollister Ranch. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Senate Bill 1 (Atkins)

Would have enhanced California's regulatory standards regarding endangered species, water, air, and worker safety to protect California from the effects of Trump administration rollbacks of federal regulations. **SUPPORT: Vetoed.**

Senate Bill 25 (Caballero)

Would have interfered with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process by requiring lawsuits challenging certain large-scale, environmentally harmful developments to be resolved on a timescale that limits community involvement. **OPPOSE: Passed in Senate; held in Assembly.**

Senate Bill 54 (Allen)

Would have reduced single-use waste in California and required that the remaining packaging be reusable, recyclable or compostable. Companion Bill to AB 1080. **SUPPORT: Passed in Senate; converted to a two-year bill in the Assembly.**

Senate Bill 200 (Monning)

Establishes a fund to help water systems provide safe and affordable drinking water, particularly in low-income and disadvantaged communities whose water supply has been polluted. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Senate Bill 210 (Leyva)

Requires the California Air Resources Board to set rules for a smog check program for heavy-duty trucks. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Senate Bill 307 (Roth)

Requires further review of the Cadiz water project, which would mine Mojave Desert groundwater, drying up desert springs and harming wildlife. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Senate Bill 551 (Jackson)

Requires all oil and gas operators to submit a report to the state detailing decommissioning costs of an oil and gas production site. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Now that you know the score, take action!



Tell your legislators you know the score. Call their district offices and thank them if they scored well, or express disappointment if they didn't. Let them know you care about California's environment.

You can find phone numbers for legislators at: www.senate.ca.gov or www.assembly.ca.gov.

You can find out who your representatives are at: findyourrep.legislature.ca.gov.



Make your voice heard and take action on key legislation when the 2020 legislative session begins by watching for news at: www.sierraclubcalifornia.org.



Become a Sierra Club member on our website and sign up for our activist updates.

Report Card Legend and Notes

+ Pro-environment vote
 - Anti-environment vote
 E Excused absence

NV- Legislator was present, but chose not to cast a vote in support of a pro-environment bill
 NV+ Legislator was present, but chose not to cast a vote on an anti-environment bill
 n/a Legislator had not yet been elected or had moved to another house during the year

Scores are based on the number of “+” and “NV+” votes cast versus the total number of possible votes (excused absences do not count against a score, but NV- votes do).

ASSEMBLY REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	SCORE	SUPPORTED MEASURES													
			AB 5 Protects workers and the environment	AB 35 Protects workers from exposure to lead	AB 342 Prohibits new oil infrastructure on state lands	AB 1080 Reduces packaging waste	AB 1086 Tesla Park Protection	AB 1680 Access to Hollister Ranch	SB 1 Protects CA from Trump's environmental rollbacks	SB 200 Enacts Drinking Water Fund	SB 210 Smog-checks for heavy-duty trucks	SB 307 Protects Mojave National Preserve	SB 551 Oil and gas decommissioning cost			
Aguiar-Curry, Cecilia M. (D-04)	8/11	73%	+	NV-	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Arambula, Dr. Joaquin (D-31)	7/11	64%	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	NV-
Bauer-Kahan, Rebecca (D-16)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Berman, Marc (D-24)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bigelow, Frank (R-05)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bloom, Richard H. (D-50)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Boerner Horvath, Tasha (D-76)	10/11	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bonta, Rob (D-18)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Brough, William P. (R-73)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	E	E	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burke, Autumn R. (D-62)	9/10	90%	+	+	+	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Calderon, Ian C. (D-57)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Carrillo, Wendy (D-51)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cervantes, Sabrina (D-60)	10/10	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	E	+	+	+
Chau, Ed (D-49)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Chen, Phillip (R-55)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chiu, David (D-17)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Choi, Steven Ph.D. (R-68)	1/11	9%	-	-	-	NV-	NV-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chu, Kansen (D-25)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cooley, Ken (D-08)	8/10	80%	+	-	+	E	E	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cooper, Jim (D-09)	4/10	40%	+	NV-	+	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	NV-
Cunningham, Jordan (R-35)	4/10	40%	NV-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+
Dahle, Brian (R-01)	0/1	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	-	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Daly, Tom (D-69)	6/11	55%	+	NV-	+	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-
Diep, Tyler (R-72)	4/11	36%	+	-	-	NV-	NV-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Eggman, Susan Talamantes (D-13)	9/11	82%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+
Flora, Heath (R-12)	1/10	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fong, Vince (R-34)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Frazier, Jim (D-11)	7/11	64%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Friedman, Laura (D-43)	10/11	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gabriel, Jesse (D-45)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gallagher, James M. (R-03)	2/11	18%	-	-	-	NV-	NV-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Garcia, Cristina (D-58)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Garcia, Eduardo (D-56)	9/11	82%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gipson, Mike A. (D-64)	8/11	73%	+	+	+	NV-	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-
Gloria, Todd (D-78)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gonzalez, Lorena (D-80)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gray, Adam (D-21)	2/11	18%	-	-	-	NV-	NV-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV-

ASSEMBLY REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	SCORE	SUPPORTED MEASURES														
			AB 5 Protects workers and the environment	AB 35 Protects workers from exposure to lead	AB 342 Prohibits new oil infrastructure on state lands	AB 1080 Reduces packaging waste	AB 1086 Tesla Park Protection	AB 1680 Access to Hollister Ranch	SB 1 Protects CA from Trump's environmental rollbacks	SB 200 Enacts Drinking Water Fund	SB 210 Smog- checks for heavy-duty trucks	SB 307 Protects Mojoave National Preserve	SB 551 Oil and gas decommissioning cost				
Grayson, Timothy S. (D-14)	9/11	82%	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Holden, Chris (D-41)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Irwin, Jacqui (D-44)	9/11	82%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jones-Sawyer, Sr., Reginald Byron (D-59)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kalra, Ash (D-27)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kamlager-Dove, Sydney (D-54)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kiley, Kevin (R-06)	1/11	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lackey, Tom (R-36)	2/11	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Levine, Marc (D-10)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Limón, Monique (D-37)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Low, Evan (D-28)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Maienschein, Brian (R-77)	10/11	91%	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mathis, Devon J. (R-26)	1/11	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayes, Chad (R-42)	2/11	18%	NV-	-	-	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McCarry, Kevin (D-7)	9/11	82%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Medina, Jose (D-61)	9/11	82%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Melendez, Melissa (R-67)	2/11	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mullin, Kevin (D-22)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Muratsuchi, Ai (D-66)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nazarian, Adrin (D-46)	10/11	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Obernolte, Jay (R-33)	1/11	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
O'Donnell, Patrick (D-70)	8/11	73%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Patterson, Jim (R-23)	0/10	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petrie-Norris, Cottie (D-74)	10/11	91%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Quirk, Bill (D-20)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Quirk-Silva, Sharon (D-65)	6/11	55%	+	NV-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ramos, James C. (D-40)	8/11	73%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rendon, Anthony (D-63)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Reyes, Eloise Gómez (D-47)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rivas, Luz M. (D-39)	10/10	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rivas, Robert (D-30)	9/11	82%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rodriguez, Freddie (D-52)	7/11	64%	+	NV-	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rubio, Blanca E. (D-48)	5/11	45%	+	NV-	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Salas, Jr., Rudy (D-32)	3/11	27%	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Santiago, Miguel (D-53)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Smith, Christy (D-38)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Stone, Mark (D-29)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ting, Philip Y. (D-19)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Voepel, Randy (R-71)	2/11	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Waldron, Marie (R-75)	2/11	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Weber, Shirley N. (D-79)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wicks, Buffy (D-15)	10/10	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wood, Jim (D-02)	11/11	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

SENATE REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	SCORE	SUPPORTED MEASURES												OPPOSED		
			SB 1 Protects CA from Trump's environmental rollbacks	SB 54 Reduces packaging waste	SB 200 Enacts Drinking Water Fund	SB 210 Smog-checks for heavy-duty trucks	SB 307 Protects Mojave National Preserve	SB 551 Oil and gas decommissioning cost	AB 5 Protects workers and the environment	AB 35 Protects workers from exposure to lead	AB 342 Prohibits new oil infrastructure on state lands	AB 1086 Tesla Park Protection	AB 1680 Access to Hollister Ranch	SB 25 Limits community participation for CEQA process			
Allen, Ben (D-26)	11/12	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Archuleta, Bob (D-32)	10/12	83%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Atkins, Toni G. (D-39)	11/12	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Bates, Patricia C. (R-36)	1/12	8%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Beall, Jim (D-15)	12/12	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Borgeas, Andreas (R-08)	0/11	0%	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bradford, Steven (D-35)	9/12	75%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Caballero, Anna (D-12)	9/12	75%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Chang, Ling Ling (R-29)	2/12	17%	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dahle, Brian (R-01)	1/9	11%	-	n/a	+	-	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Dodd, Bill (D-03)	10/11	91%	+	+	+	+	+	NV*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Durazo, Maria Elena (D-24)	11/12	92%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Galgiani, Cathleen (D-05)	7/12	58%	-	+	+	NV-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Glazer, Steven M. (D-07)	11/12	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Gonzalez, Lena A. (D-33)	9/9	100%	+	n/a	+	+	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	n/a
Grove, Shannon (R-16)	1/12	8%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hertzberg, Robert M. (D-18)	11/12	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Hill, Jerry (D-13)	11/12	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Hueso, Ben (D-40)	9/12	75%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Hurtado, Melissa (D-14)	8/12	67%	-	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Hurtado, Hannah-Beth (D-19)	12/12	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jackson, Hannah-Beth (D-19)	12/12	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Jones, Brian (R-38)	1/12	8%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Leyva, Connie M. (D-20)	12/12	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McGuire, Mike (D-02)	12/12	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mitchell, Holly J. (D-30)	12/12	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+
Monning, Bill (D-17)	12/12	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+
Moorlach, John M.W. (R-37)	1/12	8%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Morrell, Mike L. (R-23)	1/12	8%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nielsen, Jim (R-04)	1/12	8%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pan, Richard (D-06)	10/12	83%	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Portantino, Anthony (D-25)	11/12	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Roth, Richard D. (D-31)	10/12	83%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Rubio, Susan (D-22)	8/12	67%	+	NV-	+	+	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Skinner, Nancy (D-09)	12/12	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Stern, Henry (D-27)	12/12	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Stone, Jeff E. (R-28)	2/12	17%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Umberg, Tom (D-34)	11/12	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Wickowski, Bob (D-10)	11/12	92%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Wiener, Scott D. (D-11)	11/12	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Wilk, Scott T. (R-21)	3/12	25%	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

NV* Senator Dodd voted "AYE" on SB 307 but the roll closed as he cast his vote. He submitted a letter of clarification to the Senate Journal noting that his vote was not recorded.

Sierra Club California, founded in 1986, is the legislative and regulatory advocacy arm of the Sierra Club's 13 California chapters. Sierra Club California staff produced this report.