National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior

Rocky Mountain National Park Colorado



Mount Rushmore National Memorial Independence Day Holiday Fireworks Event Environmental Assessment

Internal Deliberative Draft - Not for Public Distribution



National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior

Mount Rushmore National Memorial South Dakota



Mount Rushmore National Memorial 13000 Highway 244 Building 31, Suite 1 Keystone, SD 57751



7 MOUNT RUSHMORE NATIONAL MEMORIAL

8 INDEPENDENCE DAY HOLIDAY FIREWORKS EVENT

- 9 Environmental Assessment
- 10 December 2019
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 Internal Deliberative Draft Not for Public Distribution

- 16 If you wish to comment on this Environmental Assessment (EA), you may post comments online
- 17 using the National Park Service Planning, Environment and Public Comment (PEPC) website at:
- 18 http://parkplanning.nps.gov/morufireworks; or mail comments to: Superintendent, Mount
- 19 Rushmore National Memorial, 13000 Hwy 244, Keystone, SD 57751.
- 20 This EA will be available for public review and comments for 30 days. Before including your
- 21 address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your
- 22 comment, you should be aware that your entire comment including your personal identifying
- 23 information may be made available to the public at any time. Although you can ask us in your
- 24 comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot
- 25 guarantee that we will be able to do so.

26	Contents				
27	Acronyms and	Abbreviations	iv		
28	Chapter 1. Introduction				
29	1.1 Need	d for Action and Issues Analyzed	4		
30	1.2 Issues Analyzed in this Environmental Assessment				
31	1.3 Issue	es Dismissed from Detailed Analysis	4		
32	1.3.1	Socioeconomics			
33	1.3.2	Visitor Experience	5		
34	1.3.3	Percussive Impacts	5		
35	1.3.4	Night Skies	6		
36	1.3.5	Wilderness Character	6		
37	1.3.6	Threatened and Endangered Species	6		
38	1.3.7	Air Quality	8		
39	Chapter 2. Alte	ernatives	9		
40	2.1 Alter	rnative 1 (Proposed Action and Preferred Alternative): Host an Independent	dence		
41	Day Firewo	orks Event on July 3 rd for an Amphitheater Audience	9		
42	2.1.1	Event Operations	9		
43	2.1.2	Visitor and Traffic Management	11		
44	2.1.3	Event Timing	11		
45	2.1.4	Launch Sites and Fireworks Types	12		
46	2.1.5	Setup/Spotting/Cleanup/Fireworks Monitoring	13		
47	2.1.6	Monitoring of Environmental Contaminants	13		
48	2.1.7	Stipulations and Mitigations	13		
49	2.2 Alter	rnative 2 (No Action Alternative)	13		
50	2.3 Alter	rnative Elements Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Study	14		
51	2.3.1	Hosting the Event on July 4th	14		
52	2.3.2	Larger Event Size or Non-Ticketed Event	14		
53	Chapter 3. Affe	ected Environment and Environmental Consequences	16		
54	3.1 Intro	oduction	16		
55	3.2 Cum	ulative Impacts	16		
56	3.2.1	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	16		
57	3.3 Wild	lfire	17		
58	3.3.1	Current General Conditions – Wildfire Risk	17		
59	3.3.2	Impacts of Wildfire Risk in Alternative 1	23		
60	3.3.3	Impacts of Wildfire in Alternative 2	29		
61	3.4 Envi	ronmental Contaminants			
62	3.4.1	Current General Conditions – Environmental Contaminants			
63	3.4.2	Impacts of Environmental Contaminants in Alternative 1			
64	3.4.3	Impacts of Environmental Contaminants in Alternative 2			
65	3.5 Hum	han Health and Safety			
66	3.5.1	Current General Conditions – Human Health and Safety			
67	3.5.2	Impacts on Human Health and Safety of Alternative 1			
68	3.5.3	Impacts on Human Health and Safety of Alternative 2			
69 70	3.6 Cult	ural Resources			
/U 71	3.6.1	Current General Conditions – Cultural Resources			
/1	3.6.2	Impacts on Cultural Resources of Alternative 1			
12	3.6.3	Impacts on Cultural Resources of Alternative 2			
73	Chapter 4. Cor	nsultation and Coordination	45		
74	Chapter 5. List	t of Preparers and Contributors			

Contents

Contents

75	Chapter 6. References				
76	Chapter 7. Appendices				
77	Appendix A. Wildland Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) Materials				
78	7.1.1 2012 Drv Year				
79	7.1.2 2013 Normal Year				
80	7.1.3 2018 Wet Year				
81	7.1.4 WFDSS Supporting Materials	93			
82 83 84	Appendix B. Mitigation Measures and Best Management Practices	98			
85	FIGURES				
86	Figure 1. Project Location				
87	Figure 2. Project Area				
88	Figure 3. Fireworks Fallout Zone				
89	Figure 4. Fuel Reduction Treatment 2003 to Present				
90	Figure 5. WFDSS Wildfire Spread Probability				
91	Figure 6. Drainage Basins and Water Quality Sampling Locations				
92					
93	TABLES				
94 95	Table 1. Fireworks Event Timeline. 11				

96 Acronyms and Abbreviations

97	ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
98	APE	Area of Potential Effect
99	BMP	Best Management Practice
100	DO	Director's Order
101	EA	Environmental Assessment
102	EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
103	ESA	Endangered Species Act
104	FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
105	Memorial	Mount Rushmore National Memorial
106	MPB	mountain pine beetle
107	MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
108	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
109	NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
110	NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
111	NPS	National Park Service
112	NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
113	PA	Programmatic Agreement
114	PEPC	Planning, Environment, and Public Comment
115	Sculpture	The granite statues of Washington, Jefferson, Lincoln, and
116		Roosevelt
117	SHPO	State Historic Preservation Program
118	USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
119	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
120	WFDSS	Wildland Fire Decision Support System
121		

122

123

124

125

126

Mount Rushmore National Memorial Independence Day Holiday Fireworks Event Environmental Assessment

Chapter 1. Introduction

127 Mount Rushmore National Memorial (Memorial) hosted annual July 4th holiday fireworks 128 displays from 1998 to 2009, with the exception of 2002 when the displays were cancelled. These 129 displays were extremely popular events, drawing thousands of visitors to the Memorial and 130 commanding wide television viewership. After 2009, the annual event was cancelled due to 131 elevated concerns about wildfire in the wake of pine beetle outbreak as well as other resource and 132 public safety concerns. On May 6, 2019, the Secretary of the Interior signed a Memorandum of 133 Agreement (MOA) with South Dakota Governor Kristi Noem to work to reinstate a fireworks 134 event at the Memorial during Independence Day celebrations. The MOA states that "the State of 135 South Dakota and the Department of the Interior have committed to an agreement to exercise 136 their full authorities under State and Federal law to work to return fireworks to the Memorial in a 137 safe and responsible manner on July 3, July 4, or July 5, beginning in the year 2020." In accordance 138 with National Park Service (NPS) regulations, the Memorial must approve a Special Use Permit 139 submitted by the state of South Dakota to reinstate a fireworks event at the Memorial. This 140 Environmental Assessment (EA) assesses the impacts of a proposed fireworks event at the 141 Memorial (project area) and evaluates two alternatives: a no action alternative and a preferred 142 alternative. Under Alternative 1 (the preferred alternative), the Memorial would permit and host 143 an Independence Day celebration, including a fireworks display and other acts, on the evening of 144 July 3, 2020, and similar events in subsequent years, if permitted by the Memorial. Under 145 Alternative 2 (the no action alternative), no ticketed fireworks event would be scheduled, and the 146 non-ticketed performances and activities the Memorial has hosted from 2010 to 2018 would 147 occur again in 2020. 148 This EA has been prepared in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) to 149 provide the decision-making framework that (1) analyzes a reasonable range of alternatives to

150 meet the objectives of the proposal, (2) evaluates potential issues and impacts on resources and

151 values, and (3) identifies mitigation measures to lessen the degree or extent of these impacts.

152 The 1,278-acre Memorial is surrounded by the Black Hills National Forest and is northeast of the

153 Black Elk Wilderness and Norbeck Wildlife Preserve (Figure 1). Nearby communities include

154 Keystone (2 miles northeast of the Memorial) and Hill City (12 miles northwest of the Memorial),

155 South Dakota. The Memorial includes a variety of visitor and administrative facilities including

visitor parking, information and visitor centers, an amphitheater, walking trails, and

administrative buildings (Figure 2).



159 **Figure 1. Project Location**

158

INTERNAL DRAFT EA

Chapter 1 Introduction



161 **Figure 2. Project Area**

160

162 **1.1** Need for Action and Issues Analyzed

163 The need for the action is to allow for a fireworks event at the Memorial, for the purpose of

164 celebrating the spirit of Independence Day, and to provide for the education of and enjoyment by 165 the public in a manner that ensures safety and resource protection.

166 **1.2** Issues Analyzed in this Environmental Assessment

167 Issues related to wildfire potential, environmental contaminants, human health and safety, and 168 cultural resources are analyzed in detail in this EA. Issues associated with vegetation are covered

169 under the wildfire issue, and issues associated with wildlife are covered under both the wildfire

170 and environmental contaminants issue.

171 **1.3** Issues Dismissed from Detailed Analysis

172 Issues related to socioeconomics, visitor experience, percussive impacts, night skies, wilderness

character, threatened and endangered species, and air quality were dismissed from detailed
analysis as described below.

175 **1.3.1** Socioeconomics

The preferred alternative analyzed in this EA assumes the proposed 2020 fireworks event would
be a ticketed event on July 3rd for about 2,000 visitors (see Section 2.1, Alternative 1 (Proposed
Action and Preferred Alternative): Host an Independence Day Fireworks Event on July 3rd for an
Amphitheater Audience for a description of the preferred alternative). On the day of the event,

the Memorial would be open to the public until approximately 3:00 pm with ticket holder entry to

181 start at 5:00 pm. Notice of the 3:00 pm public closure would be posted on the Memorial website

and social media, as well as other local and state websites and media sources. Vehicular access

along a portion of South Dakota Highway (SD) 244 and visitor access to the Memorial for the

event would be limited to ticket holders. Visitors without a ticket would not be permitted to

185 congregate outside the Memorial along SD 244 or view the proposed fireworks event from the

- 186 nearby hillsides.
- 187 Due to the limited size of the proposed 2020 fireworks event, parking and visitor turnover in the
- town of Keystone and attendance at 4th of July activities in other nearby communities would not
- 189 be affected. In addition to the limited capacity, the proposed 2020 fireworks event would occur
- 190 on July 3rd and likely would not conflict with the 13 nearby community fireworks displays in
- 191 Pennington or Custer County. In 2018, Rapid City and nearby communities hosted fireworks
- displays on June 28th, July 2nd, and July 4th. The town of Custer hosted Custer's Old Time
- 193 Country Fourth of July Celebration firework display on July 4th.

194 Memorial parking garage traffic volume data and daily visitation estimates from July 3, 2019 and a

three-year July 3rd average (2017 through 2019) were reviewed to estimate the proportion of

visitors that would be impacted by the 3:00 pm public Memorial closing and the impact on

197 Memorial concessions revenue. Visitors to the Memorial include those who arrive in private

198 vehicles or commercial tour buses and visit the Memorial facilities, as well as visitors viewing the

199 granite statues of Washington, Jefferson, Lincoln, and Roosevelt (sculpture) from various

200 locations along SD 244. Based on July 3, 2019 traffic volume data, about 51 percent of the

estimated total 20,706 daily visitors arrived on commercial tour buses or visited the sculpture, but

did not enter, the Memorial facilities. No data are available to determine the timing of commercial

- bus tours and visitors to the Memorial outside of the Memorial facilities. It is assumed that
- 204 commercial bus tour operators would be aware of and adapt to the 3:00 pm public closure under
- 205 the preferred alternative, and impacts on Memorial concessions revenue would be minimal.
- Visitation changes to those viewing the sculpture from SD 244 would not affect Memorial
- 207 concessions revenue.
- 208 The remaining 49 percent of the total 20,706 daily visitors on July 3, 2019 arrived in private
- 209 vehicles and parked in the visitor parking garage. Based on arrival time data from the parking
- 210 garage, approximately 75 percent of these visitors arrived at the Memorial before 3:00 pm, with
- 211 peak visitation (more than 53 percent) occurring between 8:30 am and 1:00 pm. According to the
- three-year July 3rd average, approximately 12 percent (about 3,500 visitors) of the average total
- daily visitation would occur after 3:00 pm from visitors arriving in private vehicles and parking in
- 214 the visitor parking garage. It is anticipated that the proposed fireworks event would allow 215 approximately 2,000 guests into the Memorial after 3:00 pm, a difference of 1,500 fewer visits
- 215 approximately 2,000 guests into the Memorial after 5:00 pm, a di 216 compared to the three-year July 3rd average.
- 217 In summary, the limited size, duration, and parking restrictions of the proposed 2020 fireworks
- event would substantially reduce the potential impact on Memorial concessions revenue. Keeping
- the Memorial open to the public until 3:00 pm on July 3rd and efforts to notify the public of the
- 220 closing would help minimize closure impacts on visitors and Memorial concessioners. Visitors
- aware of the closure would likely come before 3:00 pm on July 3rd or on a different day during
- their trip to the area. However, visitors that are unaware of the closure or are only able to visit
- after 3:00 pm on July 3rd would be affected.

224 1.3.2 Visitor Experience

- 225 Under the preferred alternative, normal operations on July 3rd would continue until 226 approximately 3:00 pm, at which time the Memorial would be closed to non-ticketed visitors. The 227 three-year average July 3rd visitation estimate for arrivals after 3:00 pm suggest that 228 approximately 3,500 visitors parking private vehicles in the Memorial's parking garage would be 229 displaced, plus a small, but unspecified, number of visitors arriving by commercial bus or on foot 230 (see Socioeconomics above). Compared to the approximately 2,000 ticketed visitors attending the 231 proposed fireworks event, approximately 1,500 visitors would be displaced. Compared to total 232 daily visitors (28,000 daily in the three-year average), this is a minor displacement effect. Non-233 ticketed visitors required to leave the Memorial after 3:00 pm would have other events in nearby 234 communities available to them, or could return to the Memorial on July 4th. Therefore, adverse 235 impacts on the visitor experience would be minimal. In addition, ticketed visitors would have a
- 236 unique experience viewing fireworks at the Memorial, as would a large television audience.

237 **1.3.3** Percussive Impacts

- 238 Concerns about the potential percussive effects of fireworks during the 1998 to 2009 fireworks
- events led to a study of the structural stability of the sculpture (Poluga et al. 2018). This study
- examined a variety of rock stability characteristics, including a probabilistic analysis of the
- 241 sculpture's response to seismic conditions. The study concluded the following:
- 242The overall slope probabilistic analysis, evaluating the effects of seismic loading on243the stability of the entire slopes on which the [Memorial] sculptures are located,244indicates that all of the slopes are safe against failure. This study assumes that if the245slopes are stable against the earthquake force modeled, the vibrations produced by246air blasts from fireworks will not cause failures along the slopes. In light of these

- results, vibrations caused by the Fourth of July fireworks celebrations at [theMemorial] do not pose a threat to the stability.
- 249 Based on this finding, the percussive impact of fireworks, and other noise-producing activities,
- such as a potential military overflight, are assumed to have no impact on the sculpture, and this topic is dismissed from detailed analysis.

252 **1.3.4** Night Skies

- 253 Every evening, the Memorial illuminates the sculpture year-round in the immediate area where
- the fireworks would occur under the preferred alternative. Additional impacts on night skies
- under the preferred alternative would be negligible and short term (about 15 to 20 minutes). For
- these reasons, this topic was dismissed from detailed analysis.

257 1.3.5 Wilderness Character

258 There is no designated wilderness within the boundaries of the Memorial. While there is a low

- 259 probability of accidental wildfire affecting designated United States Forest Service (USFS)
- 260 Wilderness west of the Memorial, these potential effects are analyzed in more detail under the
- impact topic Wildfire. No planned activities would occur in designated wilderness under the
- 262 preferred alternative; therefore, no meaningful effects on wilderness or wilderness character are
- anticipated, and this topic was dismissed from detailed analysis.

264 **1.3.6** Threatened and Endangered Species

The northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) is listed as threatened under the Endangered

- 266 Species Act (ESA) and is present at the Memorial. The bat is known to occur in areas within the
- fallout zone¹, subject to fireworks and aircraft noise, and areas subject to fire risk, as described in Section 3.3, Wildfire (Figure 3). However, wildfire effects are temporary, of low probability, and
- 269 do not constitute incidental or purposeful take, as defined in the 2016 U.S. Fish and Wildlife
- 270 Service (USFWS) Programmatic Biological Opinion for the northern long-eared bat (USFWS)
- 271 2016). No tree removal is planned with regard to preparation of launch sites under the preferred
- alternative, and hibernating bats would not be affected.
- 273 Concurrently with this EA, the NPS consulted with the USFWS under Section 7 of the ESA and
- 274 received a letter from the USFWS on December 4, 2019 indicating that the proposed fireworks
- event is consistent with activities analyzed in the northern long-eared bat Programmatic
- 276 Biological Opinion (USFWS 2016, 2019). The USFWS concurred with the determination that the
- event may affect the northern long-eared bat, but that any take that may occur as a result is not
- 278 prohibited under the northern long-eared bat 4(d) rule (USFWS 2019).
- 279 The USFWS species list accessed through the Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC)
- system on December 4, 2019 (USFWS 2019) indicates that four additional ESA-protected species
- could occur at the Memorial: the least tern (*Sterna antillarium*), the red knot (*Calidris canutus*
- *rufa*), the whooping crane (*Grus americana*), and Leedy's roseroot (*Rhodiola integrifolia* ssp.
- 283 Leedyi).

¹ The fallout zone is where normal or hazardous debris, duds, and other effects from a fireworks display are expected to land, based on the assumption that the sizes, types, and styles of fireworks are chosen correctly for the display site and anticipated weather conditions.



285 **Figure 3. Fireworks Fallout Zone**

284

- Surveys for the least tern, red knot, and whooping crane were conducted as part of the
- 287 Memorial's comprehensive bird inventory (Panjabi 2006), and these species are not known to
- 288 occur at the Memorial. The red knot and least tern are both shorebirds, and suitable habitat for
- these species does not occur at the Memorial. The only known wild population of whooping
- cranes nests in Canada and winters in Texas. Their migration occurs several months after the
- 291 proposed fireworks event (in the fall), and their migration route is not known to include the
- 292 Memorial. Leedy's roseroot also is not known to occur at the Memorial (NPS 2002; Marriott and
- Mayer 2005) and is found only in Minnesota and New York. The NPS has determined that the
- proposed fireworks event would have no effect on these four listed species. Additionally, the
 IPaC tool (USFWS 2019) indicated that no critical habitat for any of these species occurs in the
- 295 project area.
 - 297 For these reasons, this topic was dismissed from detailed analysis. General effects on wildlife and
 - wildlife habitat are discussed in Section 3.3, Wildfire and Section 3.4, Environmental
 - 299 Contaminants of this EA.

300 **1.3.7** Air Quality

301 Air quality may be affected by emissions from visitors' vehicles, fireworks contractors, and NPS

302 and event partners before, during, and after the event. These impacts would be no greater than,

and possibly less than, normal Memorial operations because of the limited number of visitors on

that day. There may be air quality impacts from the potential use of helicopters for event staging

and teardown, and by military aircraft from a potential flyover. These impacts would be a

negligible addition to regular aircraft traffic in the region. Air quality could also be affected by

smoke from the fireworks display; however, these effects are expected to be short-term and
 negligible. The topic was therefore dismissed from detailed analysis.

Chapter 2. Alternatives

310 2.1 Alternative 1 (Proposed Action and Preferred Alternative):

311 Host an Independence Day Fireworks Event on July 3rd for an

312 Amphitheater Audience

309

313 2.1.1 Event Operations

- 314 Under the preferred alternative, the Memorial would permit and host an Independence Day
- celebration, including a fireworks display and other acts, on the evening of July 3, 2020, and
- 316 similar events in subsequent years, if permitted by the Memorial. The ticketed event would
- 317 accommodate approximately 2,000 visitors. The primary audience seating area would be in the







Service Layer Credits: Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

INTERNAL DRAFT EA

- Figure 2). Some limited additional seating could be used on the Terrace, holding another 200
- 321 visitors for a total of about 2,000 visitors. All attending visitors would use the Memorial's garage
- 322 parking, which holds about 1,100 vehicles. The event also would be filmed and televised the
- following day to a nationwide broadcast audience.
- 324 The state-sponsored fireworks event would include approximately 15 to 20 minutes of fireworks
- and proximal pyrotechnics display. A military flyover, depending on aircraft availability, may also
- 326 occur. Other types of performance acts would occur before the fireworks, such as music and
- 327 reenactments for visitors and viewers. This intermittent amphitheater programming before the
- fireworks display would not be expected to have measurable environmental impacts. The event is
- expected to be filmed for viewing audiences, through either live and/or delayed broadcast, using
- 330 satellite trucks and cameras on-site.

331 **2.1.2** Visitor and Traffic Management

- The NPS and South Dakota Highway Patrol, along with other emergency and security partners,
- 333 would establish a perimeter around the Memorial facilities for event security. The Memorial
- 334 would be closed to non-ticket holders after 3:00 pm. All vehicles would undergo a security
- 335 screening at one of two access points to the Memorial: (1) at the SD 244 and Iron Mountain Road
- intersection, and (2) at the western Memorial boundary along SD 244 or at Horsethief Lake.
- 337 Ticketed visitors who choose to visit the Memorial before 3:00 pm would be required to exit the
- 338 Memorial along with other non-ticketed visitors, and to reenter through the security screening
- 339 locations along with other ticketed visitors.

340 **2.1.3** Event Timing

341 The following operational schedule (Table 1) is an example of event-day timing.

Table 1. Fireworks Event Timeline.

Activity
Normal operations
All visitors exit Memorial grounds
Vehicles are restricted on SD 244 and vehicle screening begins; ticketed visitors are
allowed to enter once screened
Main event begins with a welcome speech, national anthem, and sculpture lighting
Fireworks display

343

344 2.1.4 Launch Sites and Fireworks Types

- 345 NPS hired a third-party contractor to
- 346 conduct a professional evaluation of
- 347 potential discharge sites of aerial
- 348 fireworks and proximate pyrotechnics
- 349 within the Memorial. Discharge sites
- 350 were evaluated for compliance with
- 351 the National Fire Protection
- 352 Association (NFPA) codes as well as
- 353 best practices in the design and
- discharge of fireworks. (Weeth &
- 355 Associates (Weeth) 2019).
- 356 Based on this report, and other
- 357 resource protection and logistical
- 358 factors, NPS proposes to allow the
- 359 discharge of fireworks and/or
- 360 proximate pyrotechnics at up to three
- 361 areas: the amphitheater area, the talus
- 362 slope and Presidential Trail area, and

Fireworks are typically considered those used in traditional aerial fireworks displays.

Pyrotechnics are typically considered those used in proximate pyrotechnic performances such as in stadium and theater settings. Pyrotechnics generally produce significantly less smoke and debris than fireworks.

Ground level fireworks include illuminations, "Niagara Falls," line rockets, and similar effects.

Low level aerial fireworks include pyrotechnic shells, comets, fountains, and mines that are 2 inches or less.

Medium level aerial fireworks include aerial shells, comets, mines, and Roman candles that are larger than 2 inches.

High level aerial fireworks include aerial shells 8 inches and larger.

- the Hall of Records Canyon area. The use of these discharge areas are subject to the safety
- 364 limitations identified in the report, and subject to display design by a licensed fireworks operator
- and operational planning by NPS.

366 2.1.4.1 Amphitheater Area

- 367 The amphitheater seating would be the primary visitor viewing area for the fireworks event.
- 368 Potential discharge positions in the amphitheater area include the stage and backstage, and areas
- off the road behind the amphitheater. The stage and backstage area would only be suitable for
- 370 proximate pyrotechnics, and the amphitheater road position would be suitable for ground level
- 371 fireworks and pyrotechnics 2 inches or less. Temporary free-standing racks may be required in
- these locations. Protective blankets or similar fire retardant material at the launch location may
- also be required to prevent scarring or burning during ignition. The road must remain accessible
- 374 for emergency egress.

375 2.1.4.2 Talus Slope and Presidential Trail

- A number of potential sites are between the amphitheater area and the base of the talus slope in
 openings in the tree canopy, which may be suitable for fireworks discharge. The Presidential Trail
 area is approximately 400 to 500 feet from the amphitheater and 600 feet long with openings at
 various points in the tree canopy. The talus slope is across the base of the mountain and roughly
- 380500 feet wide and long, and 500 feet from the amphitheater. A number of other tree canopy
- 381 openings between the amphitheater and talus slope may also be used. All potential launch sites
- would be suitable for ground level fireworks and some pyrotechnics 2 inches or less. Temporary
- free-standing racks may be required in these locations. Protective blankets or similar gear may
- also be required to prevent scarring or burning during ignition.

385 2.1.4.3 Hall of Records Area

Portions of this area were found to be feasible for the launch of aerial fireworks 2 inches to 5
 inches, subject to safety and resource considerations detailed in the NFPA report.

- A platform or temporary rack system would likely be required for some types of fireworks at this
- location. Platforms or racks would be temporary and free-standing; no bolting to the rock or the
- 390 sculpture would be allowed. Protective blankets or similar fire retardant material may also be
- 391 required to prevent scarring or burning during ignition.
- 392 The logistics of transporting materials to and from this site are considerable; fireworks would
- need to be hauled to the site by helicopter or ropes teams. Team members handling fireworks
- 394 material would be required to have the appropriate certifications for fireworks handling.

395 2.1.5 Setup/Spotting/Cleanup/Fireworks Monitoring

- 396 The NPS would work with the fireworks contractor and staff to develop a plan for event staging,
- igniting, and demobilizing. All launch locations would be closed to public access for a pre-
- determined time before and after the event, as fireworks are staged and cleaned up. The fireworks
- 399 contractor would need to deliver and remove the fireworks and other materials using vehicles,
- 400 packs, and/or other means. At the Hall of Records Canyon area, this may include the use of a
- 401 helicopter.
- 402 As part of the event, the fireworks contractor would assign staff to monitor fireworks for any
- 403 unexploded shells, spot fires, and other concerns. The NPS would establish a quick response
- 404 wildland fire team to respond to any unplanned ignitions.

405 2.1.6 Monitoring of Environmental Contaminants

406 The NPS is working with the United States Geological Survey (USGS) to conduct additional 407 water quality and soil monitoring at the Memorial. Baseline data would be collected in spring or 408 early summer 2020, prior to the fireworks event, and monitoring would continue after the 409 event(s) on a schedule determined by USGS and NPS staff. This monitoring program would 410 evaluate the event's impacts on levels of potassium perchlorate, and possibly other chemicals or metals in soils and surface water and groundwater, which are typically present in fireworks. This 411 412 monitoring would supplement groundwater and drinking water testing that currently occurs at 413 the Memorial.

414 2.1.7 Stipulations and Mitigations

- 415 The event would be conditioned on appropriate weather and wildland fire conditions on July 3,
- 416 2020. The NPS and partners would develop an incident management team for this event, and
- 417 create a Go/No-Go checklist to ensure fuel loads are at an acceptable level for wildfire risk, and
- that the risk of severe weather is acceptable for the event to proceed.
- 419 Other stipulations and mitigations for the event would include required certifications for all
- 420 fireworks contractors and staff; requirements on the size, type, and makeup of the fireworks; the
- 421 duration of the fireworks; and required cleanup activities after the event (see Appendix B for the
- 422 current list of mitigations). Additional conditions and mitigations may be required through the
- 423 NPS permit issued for the event.

424 2.2 Alternative 2 (No Action Alternative)

- 425 Under the no action alternative, no ticketed fireworks event would be scheduled, and the non-
- ticketed performances and activities the Memorial has hosted from 2010 to 2018 would occur
- 427 again in 2020. These celebrations have included military bands, presidential reenactments, and a
- lighting ceremony of the sculpture for an amphitheater audience. (No event was held in 2019 due

- to a significant construction project underway at the time.) The Memorial would be open to
- 430 visitors during regular hours. There are also approximately 13 other fireworks shows and similar
- 431 events in Pennington and Custer Counties that would continue to draw visitors to the area.

432 2.3 Alternative Elements Considered but Eliminated from Detailed 433 Study

434 **2.3.1** Hosting the Event on July 4th

The MOA specifies that fireworks will occur on July 3rd, 4th, or 5th. In discussion with South Dakota officials and local area emergency managers, it was determined that July 3, 2020 is the

436 Dakota officials and local area emergency managers, it was determined that july 5, 2020 is437 preferred date and that no "rain date" would be scheduled. It was determined that prior

438 commitments precluded assistance with security and emergency response preparation on July 4th,

439 making this event day infeasible. July 3rd was agreed on as feasible to provide the necessary

440 support for the event. Future events would most likely occur on July 3rd.

441 2.3.2 Larger Event Size or Non-Ticketed Event

442 Previous fireworks events at the Memorial were not ticketed, but visitors to the event were

443 limited to approximately 8,300 people inside the Memorial, based on an egress capacity provided

444 by the Rapid City Fire Department. Many more people occupied various vantage points outside

the Memorial where the fireworks display could be viewed. There were a number of significant

446 concerns associated with hosting this number of visitors, including:

- Capacity was reached typically in early morning, and visitors would spend the entire day exposed to heat and other weather conditions.
- There was no ability to shelter this number of visitors on-site in case of inclement weather
 or other emergency conditions.
- The capacity of parking areas was exceeded during these events, and vehicles were parked
 on the side of the road and at further distances from the Memorial facilities. Large
 numbers of pedestrians walking along the side of the highway delayed the exit of visitors
 from the Memorial and created unacceptable safety concerns.
 - The human waste exceeded the bathroom and wastewater capacity of the Memorial.
 - Many visitors, despite being inside the Memorial, did not have a vantage point to view the fireworks display.
- 457 458

455

456

In consultation with South Dakota representatives, it was agreed that a ticketed event based on a viewing audience primarily located in the amphitheater would allow for a safe and high-quality event that would not exceed the operational capacity of the Memorial. A larger event was

dismissed as unreasonable because it would exceed the operational capacity of the Memorial and

- 463 would not allow for enjoyment by the public in a manner that ensures safety and resource
- 464 protection.

465 2.3.2.1 Alternative Launch Sites

A number of fireworks launch sites were considered as alternatives, evaluated by Weeth (2019),
and dismissed from detailed analysis for the reasons listed below.

Indian Camp – This site is an important cultural resource site, is in a poor location for
 fireworks viewing relative to the amphitheater audience, is difficult to access for event

- 470 preparation, and would require large aerial fireworks with a large fallout area in order to471 be visible to an amphitheater audience.
- Middle Marker Road/Middle Marker Trail These sites are located behind the sculpture, requiring the use of larger diameter aerial shell fireworks to be seen by an amphitheater audience. Aerial shells approximately 10 inches or larger would be required and shells of this size would have a greater potential for impacts related to environmental contaminants and wildfire risk. The fallout area from shells fired from this location includes forested areas adjacent to and potentially including the Black Elk Wilderness.
- As stated in the Weeth report (2019) regarding both Middle Marker sites: "Although 478 479 the minimum separation distances [from the audience] may provide for larger aerial 480 shells, the other factors at this display site, the differences in elevations between this 481 discharge site and the Amphitheater necessitating using larger diameter aerial shells, the mountainous forest with a heavy fuel load, the likely conditions in early July, the 482 483 challenges with spotting hazardous debris, the limitations on fire prevention and fire 484 protection measures, and the potential for equipment failures and fireworks malfunctions, firing larger aerial shells pose a significant and unacceptable risk." 485
- Profile Parking Lot This site is west of the sculpture. In order for fireworks launched
 from this location to be visible to an amphitheater audience, approximately 10-inch diameter aerial shells would be required. Shells of this size would have a greater potential
 for impacts related to water contaminants and fire risk. The fallout area from shells fired
 from this location includes forested areas adjacent to and potentially including the Black
 Elk Wilderness, creating considerable fire risk.
- 492 As stated in the Weeth report (2019): "It is quite likely that any such attempt to fire large 493 diameter shells at such steep angles would result in the shells bursting on or striking the 494 rock formations, the forest, the carvings, and possibly even into the Amphitheater and 495 surrounding areas with buildings and spectators. Any aerial fireworks 8 inches or larger 496 that burst low or on the ground would likely result in starting a wildland fire in a 497 multitude of locations over many hundreds of feet wide."
- Sculpture The Weeth report (2019) noted that some types of fireworks could be suspended from the sculpture or edges of the sculpture. Using these sites would require unacceptable risks to contractors' safety and risk damage to the sculpture, which is the primary cultural resource identified in the Memorial's enabling legislation. Past experience shows that fireworks ignited in contact with the sculptures can cause visible rock scarring.

504 2.3.2.2 Laser Light Show

505 The Memorial hosted a laser light show in 2002. However, this event was not deemed to be 506 successful because the Memorial is located at the end of the power grid line, resulting in power 507 fluctuations from 98 to 120 volts. Laser lights require consistent power for a vivid display. In 508 addition, the MOA specifies that the NPS and the state of South Dakota will work to return 509 fireworks displays to the Memorial. A laser light show could become part of the event in the 510 future, but was dismissed because it is infeasible due to power limitations and fails to meet the 511 need for taking action.

512 Chapter 3. Affected Environment and 513 Environmental Consequences

514 **3.1 Introduction**

515 This chapter describes the resources or conditions potentially impacted by the preferred

- alternative and no action alternative. This chapter is organized by issues that were identified
 during internal scoping and from previous fireworks displays.
- 518 This section describes the current conditions and analyzes the potential environmental
- 519 consequences that would occur as a result of implementing the preferred alternative or no action
- 520 alternative. Cumulative impacts are analyzed for each issue topic below.

521 3.2 Cumulative Impacts

522 Cumulative impacts are defined as "the impact on the environment that results from the

523 incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable

524 future actions, regardless of what agency (federal or nonfederal) or person undertakes such other

525 actions" (40 Code of Federal Regulations 1508.7). Cumulative impacts can result from

526 individually minor, but collectively significant, actions taking place over a period of time. The

527 Council on Environmental Quality regulations that implement NEPA require assessment of

528 cumulative impacts in the decision-making process for federal projects.

- 529 The geographic scope of the analysis includes actions in the project area as well as other actions in
- 530 the Memorial or surrounding lands, including the Black Hills National Forest, where overlapping
- resource impacts are possible. The temporal scope includes actions within a range of
- 532 approximately 10 years.

533 3.2.1 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions

534 The following past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions are considered in the 535 cumulative impacts analysis and are based on actions developed during internal scoping.

536 3.2.1.1 Past and Present Actions

537 3.2.1.1.1 Previous Fireworks Displays

538 Previous fireworks displays at the Memorial were held from 1998 through 2001 and 2003 to 2009.

- 539 No fireworks displays occurred after 2009 due to extreme fire danger from mountain pine beetle
- 540 (MPB) kill in the region, as well as fireworks operator safety concerns and visitor experience
- issues. Previous displays resulted in permanent effects on the sculpture (burn marks on the topsof the "heads"), unexploded ordnance and debris remaining in the forests within and
- 543 surrounding the Memorial, and various operational challenges for Memorial staff. Attendance at
- 544 previous events overwhelmed parking, viewing, and sanitary facilities. The effects of past displays
- 545 on water and soil quality are discussed in Section 3.4, Environmental Contaminants.

546 3.2.1.1.2 Previous Wildfires in or Near the Memorial

- 547 A significant wildfire has not occurred in the area within and surrounding the Memorial in more
- than a century. Most wildfires have been suppressed (see Section 3.3, Wildfire), resulting in an
- abundance of litter and heavy fuels in the surrounding forests. Previous fireworks displays
- resulted in 21 wildfire ignitions, which were quickly suppressed for safety and structural
- protection purposes. While the Memorial has recently developed a Prescribed Fire Plan for the
- 552 Presidential and Xanterra burn units (NPS 2019), recent wet conditions have not allowed for
- prescribed burns in 2019. The Memorial has conducted fuel removal to protect existing utility
- lines for a prescribed burn.

5553.2.1.1.3Forest Management Actions – Forest Thinning, Prescribed Burns, and MPB Mitigation556Measures

- 557 Although the Memorial has engaged in mechanized tree thinning, chipping, and removal efforts
- and recently developed a Prescribed Fire Plan (NPS 2019) for two burn units, these efforts at the
- 559 Memorial have not changed the overall environment for wildfire risk. The risk of wildfire is
- 560 dependent on weather, precipitation, wind, and other environmental factors at the time of the
- 561 fireworks event. Wildfire risk is also dependent on the Memorial's ability to reduce fuel loading
- 562 prior to the event through prescribed fire, pile burning, or other forest management actions.
- 563 Recent wet conditions have not been conducive for prescribed fire; however, there could be an
- opportunity in late winter 2019 or spring 2020. Some limited pile burning occurred in 2019 north
- of the sculpture, and pile burning is planned for winter. Similar actions would continue in 2020 as
- 566 conditions allow, prior to the event.

567 3.2.1.2 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions

568 3.2.1.2.1 Memorial Fireworks Displays

- 569 Although future fireworks displays have not been specifically planned or earmarked in NPS
- funding, future fireworks displays (beyond 2020) are assumed for the purposes of this analysis,
- and would be similar in nature to the preferred alternative for this EA.
- 572 The analysis area for this EA differs by resource topic and, in some cases, extends beyond the
- 573 project area, such as the analysis area for wildfire risk. This is because of the nature of wildfire and
- 574 the potential for fire to spread once ignited. The analysis area is indicated for each impact topic
- 575 below.

576 3.3 Wildfire

577 3.3.1 Current General Conditions – Wildfire Risk

- 578 The analysis area for wildfire risk includes the 1,278-acre Memorial, eastern portions of the
- 579 13,426-acre Black Elk Wilderness contiguous to the Memorial, northeast portions of the
- 580 contiguous 35,000-acre Norbeck Wildlife Preserve, and private lands in the vicinity of Keystone,
- 581 South Dakota.
- 582 For the purposes of the wildfire risk analysis, impacts of wildfire on vegetation, fish and wildlife,
- soils, and cultural resources are included in this section due to the nature of wildfire and its
- 584 potential to affect these resources. See also Section 3.4, Environmental Contaminants for an
- analysis of project impacts on wildlife and Section 3.6, Cultural Resources for an analysis of
- 586 project impacts on cultural resources.

587 **3.3.1.1** Vegetation

588 The Memorial is in the Black Hills Plateau ecoregion, which consists of a mixture of warm, dry

589 pine forest and mixed grasslands (Shepperd and Battaglia 2002; Graham et al. 2016). Dominant

590 tree species include ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), while white spruce (*Picea glauca*), paper 591 birch (*Betua papyrifera*), aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), and bur oak (*Ouercus macrocarba*) occupy

birch (*Betua papyrifera*), aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), and bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*) occupy
 cool, moist areas along the fringes of meadows and open areas. Dominant understory species

593 include graminoids such as Richardson's sedge (*Carex richardsonii*), Ross's sedge (*C. rossii*), and

594 poverty oatgrass (*Danthonia spicata*), and shrubs such as common juniper (*Juniperus communis*)

- 595 and kinnikinnick (*Arctostaphylos uva-ursi*) (Narumalani et al. 2009).
- 596 Ponderosa pine dominates the forested area and is a fire-adapted species (Arno 2000), evolving to
- be dependent on frequent low-intensity fires to control seedlings, reduce forest floor debris, and
- 598 recycle forest nutrients. Historically, fire was the most important ecological process that shaped

the composition and structure of plant communities in the Black Hills. These plant communities

600 evolved with frequent wildfire, and are often dependent on fire for overall health. The historical

fire regime at Mount Rushmore (1529-1893) would be characterized as frequent (fires every 2 to

602 20 years) low-severity surface fires with occasional patches (less than 100 hectares) of passive

crown fire (Brown et al. 2000). The Memorial contains the second largest area of old growth

604 ponderosa pine forest in the Black Hills, covering 66 percent of the Memorial (Symstad and

605 Bynum 2007).

606 Ponderosa pine is highly flammable and burns with great intensity and severity in the summer

607 season in a typical dry year (2000, 2002, 2007, and 2012). Fire intensity is the energy output from a

fire, whereas fire severity is the effect of the fire on the biological system, for example, organic

609 matter loss in the vegetation and substrate, crown scorch, or alteration of soil properties.

610 3.3.1.2 Fish and Aquatic Invertebrates

611 Fish species expected to occur at the Memorial include longnose dace (*Rhinichthys cataractae*),

612 white sucker (*Catostomus commersonii*), mountain sucker (*C. platyrhynchus*), common carp

613 (Cyprinus carpio), and brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) (White et al. 2002). Fish surveys in 2002

documented brook trout and longnose dace in Grizzly Bear Creek and brook trout in Beaver Dam

615 Creek in Starling Basin. No fish were found in the small unnamed stream in Lafferty Gulch,

- although brook trout habitat is present (White et al. 2002). While brook trout individuals could
- be present in Lafferty Gulch, it is unlikely due to the ephemeral nature of the stream. Lafferty
- 618 Gulch flows into Battle Creek, which is part of the Middle Cheyenne Spring Creek watershed.
- 619 This watershed contains seventeen fish species, including some species that are not native to the
- 620 region (Narumalani et al. 2009).
- 621 Aquatic invertebrates found in streams in the Memorial include mayflies (order Ephemeroptera),

stoneflies (order Plecoptera), caddisflies (order Trichoptera), dragonflies and damselflies (order

623 Odonata), and true flies (order Diptera) (Rust 2006). Surveys of aquatic invertebrates in the

624 Memorial in 2006 found Grizzly Creek had the highest quality habitat and highest diversity of

aquatic invertebrates of the streams in the Memorial, while the small unnamed stream in Lafferty

626 Gulch had the lowest diversity (Rust 2006).

627 3.3.1.3 Wildlife

A variety of wildlife inhabit the forests and grasslands of the Memorial including ungulates, small

- mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and invertebrates. Common mammal species include mule
- 630 deer (Odocoileus hemionus), American red squirrel (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus), least chipmunk

INTERNAL DRAFT EA

- 631 (Tamias minimus), mountain goat (Oreamnos americanus), and yellow-bellied marmot (Marmota
- 632 *flaviventrus*). Common bird species at the Memorial include American robin (*Turdus*
- 633 migratorius), black-capped chickadee (Poecile atricapillus), chipping sparrow (Spizella passerina),
- dark-eyed junco (Junco hyemalis), mourning dove (Zenaida macroura), and many others.
- 635 Amphibians found at the Memorial include chorus (*Pseudacris triseriata*) and northern leopard
- 636 (*Lithobates pipiens*) frogs. Eleven bat species have been detected at the Memorial including
- 637 northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*), particularly in Starling Basin where a diverse
- habitat encourages a wide range of bats.
- 639 For a discussion of threatened and endangered species at the Memorial, see the Issues Dismissed
- 640 from Detailed Analysis section in Chapter 1.
- 641 3.3.1.4 Soils
- 642 The parent material for soils in the central Black Hills is generally granite or mica schist. Granite is
- 643 very hard and breaks down slowly through decomposition. Mica schist is a softer metamorphic
- rock that breaks down more readily and creates well-drained soils. This type of soil is ideal for
- 645 ponderosa seedlings. In many areas, the bedrock beneath the soil is deeply fractured. Joints and
- fissures in this rock fill with water that has passed through the soil, and are an important source of
- 647 water for ponderosa pine root systems (NPS 2016).
- 648 While parent material gives soil its original properties, climate and plant life can also influence it.
- 649 The climate of the Black Hills is cold and dry (although the ponderosa pine forest is warm and dry
- 650 compared to cool, moist arboreal forests of Canada). In such a climate dead plant material, or
- detritus, decomposes slowly and nutrients take longer to return to the soil. Pine needles with their
- thick, waxy coating take even longer to break down, often resulting in a thick layer on the ground.
- When water from rain and melting snow pass through this layer, humic acid is formed, resulting
- in a slight decrease in soil pH. Minerals, particularly aluminum and iron, are removed from the
- 655 soil by growing ponderosa pines, making the soil more and more acidic over time. With the 656 exception of pine seedlings, the acidic soil prevents understory plants from getting a start. Young
- exception of pine seedlings, the acidic soil prevents understory plants from getting a start. Young
 ponderosa seedlings are able to tolerate the acidic soil and to outcompete other plant life. The
- 658 Black Hills region experiences the single most prolific ponderosa pine regeneration in the world,
- 659 with aggressive seedlings quickly taking over open areas following overstory mortality including
- 660 wildfires if seed sources survive (Wright and Bailey 1982, Arno 2000).
- 661 Major soils in the Memorial are Marshbrook and Cordeston (NPS 2008). Marshbrook soils are
- subject to soil compaction and rutting from operation of heavy equipment. The very steep side
- slopes have a high erosion potential when disturbed. Erosion-control measures are needed to
- 664 reduce erosion and stream sedimentation. Area soils are dominated by decomposing granite.
- 665 Hydrophobicity likely follows a wildfire in coarse soils. Four factors commonly influence the
- 666 formation of a hydrophobic layer. These include a thick layer of plant litter prior to the fire, high-
- 667 intensity surface and crown fires, prolonged periods of intense heat, and coarse-textured soils.
- 668 Very high temperatures are required to produce the gas that penetrates the soil and forms a 669 hydrophobic layer. The gas is forced into the soil by the heat of the fire. Soils that have large
- 670 pores, such as sandy soils and decomposing granite, are more susceptible to the formation of
- 671 hydrophobic layers because the soils transmit heat more readily than heavy, textured soils, such
- 672 as clay. The coarse-textured soils also have larger pores that allow deeper penetration of the gas.
- 673 The high concentrations of chipped material in the duff and dead and down trees from the MPB
- 674 (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) epidemic may influence soil effects by prolonged heating as fire
- 675 residence times over Marshbrook and Cordeston soils could negatively impact soils and
- 676 contribute to erosion in the short term (NPS 2008).

- 677 On the other hand, decomposing granite and underlying granite are not especially susceptible to
- chemical or physical changes due to heating from fires on the surface, even hot fires with a long,
- 679 sustained residence time over a given area of ground.

680 3.3.1.5 Cultural Resources

For a description of the affected environment for cultural resources, see Section 3.6, CulturalResources.

683 3.3.1.6 Wildfire Risk Factors

- 684 Wildfire risk throughout the analysis area has greatly increased over the years as a result of numerous factors. Over the past 100 years, interference with natural fire regimes and aggressive 685 686 fire suppression in dry forests throughout the western United States, including those in the 687 Memorial, have resulted in substantial changes in forest structure, density, composition, and 688 function (Arno 2000; Shepperd and Battaglia 2002; Graham et al. 2016). These changes include 689 increased tree density, closed crowns, increased forest floor duff and debris depth, and an 690 increase in heavy fuels on the ground in the form of dead trees and limbs. Fuel loading overall has 691 been measured at up to 30 tons per acre—three times the historical loading for the Memorial— 692 and is a direct contributor to fire size and severity (Narumalani et al. 2009). Forest changes can
- also occur from fuel treatments such as chipping, limbing, chunking, and pruning.
- As a result of fire suppression, many stands have dense thickets of small trees and have
- experienced insect infestations and disease epidemics. The most recent infestation of MPB began
- 696 on the north side of the Black Hills near Sturgis, South Dakota in 1996 and ended near the Custer,
- 697 South Dakota area in 2016. MPB was exacerbated by a robust pine engraver beetle (*Ips pini*)
- 698 infestation beginning in 2009 that has affected the area over several years, particularly in younger
- and denser thickets of trees.
- 700 The NPS response to the MPB and pine engraver beetle infestation has been aggressive. Foresters
- identified infested trees, which were then either cut and hauled out by helicopter, cut and
- chipped in place, or piled and burned. The NPS sprayed living trees in developed areas with
- carbaryl to prevent further tree mortality. As a result of the chipping treatments used to combat
- the MPB infestation, the amount of duff on the forest floor increased. This increase in duff, in
- some places 3 feet deep, can greatly exacerbate the severity of a fire by causing hydrophobic conditions in coarser soils, including decomposed granite, and by depleting organic material and
- 707 seed sources.
- Fuel loading in the analysis area ranges from light to heavy, with 8 to 30 tons per acre throughout (Narumalani et al. 2009). Fuel loading is generally three times heavier than historic levels. As a result of the MPB infestation, tree mortality in the Memorial, the Black Elk Wilderness, and the Norbeck Wildlife Preserve was severe. This tree mortality greatly increased the fuel loading by restructuring the forests, from live aerial fuels to dead and down fuels. The Black Elk Wilderness consists mostly of older and mature pine trees. Where there are no granite formations, the canopy
- is generally closed with pine seedlings proliferating in open areas. Live and dead fuel loading is
- 715 high.
- The Like the Black Elk Wilderness, the Norbeck Wildlife Preserve is predominantly old growth and
- 717 mature timber with thickets of younger trees in the understory. The terrain in both the Black Elk
- 718 Wilderness and the Norbeck Wildlife Preserve consists of domed granite structures, broken
- 719 granite formations, steep gullies and channels, and broken and undulating terrain that is either
- fireproof or especially resistant to fire suppression activities. In areas where the forest canopy

- opened in the wake of the tree mortality, new seedlings and shrubs proliferated on the ground
- and added to the "ladder" fuels effect that provide continuous fire material from the forest floor
- to the crowns of the trees.
- The high fuel loading and potential for ladder fuels in the analysis area increase the risk of a
- rite ingrituer rotating and potential for ladder rates in the analysis area increase the risk of a
 stand-replacing fire, which is defined as a fire that consumes approximately 80 percent or more of
 the aboveground dominant vegetation (Arno 2000).
- 727 A significant wildfire has not occurred in the analysis area in more than a century. The Battle
- 728 Creek Fire in 2002 and the Mount Rushmore 1 Fire in 2006 are the most proximate and recent
- 729large fires. The Battle Creek Fire in particular burned at high intensity and high severity. Pre-fire
- conditions have not returned 17 years later, although pine seedlings are beginning to occupy
 burned slopes. Because of the lack of significant wildfires in the analysis area, an escaped wildfired
- burned slopes. Because of the lack of significant wildfires in the analysis area, an escaped wildfire
 at the Memorial would be expected to profoundly change the vegetative structure of the
- 732 At the Memorial's forests, resulting in stand replacement across much of the area and the loss of many, if
- not most, of the mature trees and much of the pine reproduction. While such a fire would not be
- 735 expected to affect the sculpture, it would certainly affect current visual management expectations
- 736 by reducing mature pine trees and cleaning out the often overly dense understory.

737 3.3.1.6.1 Fire Mitigation Activities

- 738 Ecological restoration and fuel reduction programs attempt to approximate conditions before
- range successful fire suppression efforts by reducing tree densities and hazardous fuels, and
- reintroducing fire to fire-deficit landscapes. It is widely understood that treatments including
- mechanized tree thinning and prescribed fire can help restore more resilient forest conditions.
- Recent efforts at the Memorial have greatly reduced the potential for passive and active crown
- fire by increasing crown base heights, but the overall risk for wildfires is still high. These efforts
- include thinning, chipping, and tree removal. Figure 4 below illustrates that previous fuel
- reduction treatments have improved the standing fuel load but have also left heavy fuel loading in
- the form of chipped material and downed and dead material.
- 747 While efforts have been widespread, the net effect has not changed wildfire risk since surface fuel
- 148 loading has continued to increase yearly with more than 100 years of fire suppression at the
- 749 Memorial. A prescribed fire would lessen the risk of damaging wildfire across the Memorial
- (Arno 2000;). While the Memorial recently completed a Prescribed Fire Plan (NPS 2019) for two
- burn units in preparation for the proposed fireworks display, recent wet conditions have not
- allowed for implementation of the plan. The condition of the regional forest communities and
- 753lack of natural or prescribed fires at the Memorial are the greatest contributors to overall wildfire
- 754 risk.



755

756 Figure 4. Fuel Reduction Treatment 2003 to Present

757

758 3.3.1.6.2 Emergency Preparedness

The USFS, NPS, other Department of the Interior agencies, and tribes, along with local and state
 agencies, including Custer and Pennington Counties and the South Dakota Division of Wildland
 Fire Suppression, participate in annual wildfire exercises involving the wilderness and the

762 Memorial. The exercises are held because of the potential catastrophic impacts of a wildfire that

could escape control efforts and burn to the top of Black Elk Peak, overwhelm Keystone, or run

across the basin to Hill City. Due to the presence of the Memorial, Black Elk Wilderness,

765 Norbeck Wildlife Preserve, and intermingled private property in the analysis area, the risk of

severe wildfire damage is high. As a result, fires are managed with a full suppression objective, and

767 prescribed fire has not been used as a management tool in the past to meet natural resource

768 objectives. All wildfires are fought aggressively and extinguished as quickly as possible.

Fire response services in the area are robust, highly trained, and skilled. Interagency partners train

together, fight fire together, and offer mutual aid and support through a number of memoranda of

understanding and interagency agreements. These fire response resources are served by the

- 772 Northern Great Plains Interagency Dispatch Center with the ability to shift resources, including
- people and equipment, to high-risk areas and active fires without regard to agency sponsorship.
- Additionally, dispatchers can call on fire response services in four states for response within 24

- 775 hours, and nationally within 72 hours. Other air assets, including heavy and very heavy air
- 776 tankers, are available to respond based on priorities including the political sensitivity and cultural
- 777 importance of resources threatened by a given fire. The Memorial and surrounding area would be
- 778 a high priority for local, regional, and national fire control resources.

779 3.3.2 Impacts of Wildfire Risk in Alternative 1

780 3.3.2.1 Determining the Scope of the Analysis

- 781 For the purposes of this fire impacts analysis, the Wildland Fire Decision Support System
- 782 (WFDSS), an integrated computer model (model), was used, which was developed for use in
- 783 fighting modern wildfires to approximate where a wildfire would burn if it escaped initial attack
- 784 (see Appendix A for a discussion of the model and outputs). The model exercise helped to
- 785 determine specific areas to assess impacts and outcomes that could realistically occur in various 786
- weather conditions. The model was used to simulate fires resulting from the fireworks displays in 787 three representative years: a dry year in 2012, a normal precipitation year in 2013, and a wet year
- 788 in 2018. The model was used to look at weather analysis and fire behavior prediction tools that
- 789 provide information on fire season duration, fire size probabilities, fire spread paths and short-
- 790 term arrival times, fire danger forecasts, and economic impact assessments (Pence and
- 791 Zimmerman 2011). The model helps answer common questions about wildfires and helps
- 792 decision makers understand what to expect from an escaped wildfire. The model helped to
- 793 identify the wildfire analysis area for analyzing the impacts of wildfire for Alternative 1 (the
- 794 preferred alternative) and assess the potential wildfire risks and impacts as a result of the
- 795 preferred alternative.

796 3.3.2.2 Potential Magnitude of Wildfire from Alternative 1

- 797 Figure 5 illustrates the potential escaped fire area from an ignition in Starling Basin on July 3rd in 798 a dry year resulting from mortar shrapnel or unexploded ordnance in the fallout zone. Figure 3 is 799 a gross model depiction of relative fire sizes and is for illustration only. Maps of the analysis area 800 are available in Chapter 1, Introduction of this EA.
- 801 The results of the model reveal a low probability of a high-consequence wildfire event, and high 802 probability of a low-consequence wildfire event. In other words, the chances of a major wildfire
- 803 burning over the top of Keystone, South Dakota or Horsethief Campground would be quite
- 804 low—less than 0.02 percent, while the chances of a more modest wildfire are much higher—in
- 805 excess of 60 percent. The most likely wildfire scenario would be confined entirely to the
- 806 Memorial. The model shows likely containment of any unplanned fire in the immediate vicinity of
- 807 the fireworks fallout zone. This is consistent with the successful containment of small wildfires in
- 808 previous years that resulted from fireworks displays.
- 809 There is a very slight chance (0.02 percent) that an unplanned fire would exceed local emergency
- 810 services capacity and burn through to Keystone, burn into the Black Elk Wilderness, burn south
- 811 into Custer State Park, or burn northwest toward Horsethief Campground and Old Hill City
- 812 Road. Fires would only reach the extent shown in the model if no fire suppression action
- 813 occurred. Firefighters would aggressively fight any fire resulting from the fireworks show.



- 814
- 815 Figure 5. WFDSS Wildfire Spread Probability.
- 816 Source: Alan Stover, NPS, AFMO

817 3.3.2.3 Impact Scenarios – Escaped Fire on July 3rd

- 818 The model roughly portrays the expected size of an escaped wildfire on July 3rd in one of three
- climatic years: a normal year, a wet year, and a dry year. The model simulates 2,000 fires in each
- 820 year using slightly changed parameters for each fire to establish the probable footprint of a single
- 821 escaped fire in a given year.

822 3.3.2.3.1 Normal Year

- 823 In a normal year, escaped fires would be unlikely. Firefighters on hand during the fireworks
- displays would be able to quickly engage and extinguish any fire starts, limiting fire sizes and
- duration to the evening and possibly the next day following the fireworks. In this scenario, fire
- would be limited to local effects in the area of ignition plus impacts from fire suppression
- activities such as building a fireline, cutting trees to deny the fire-available fuel, and using aircraft
- to deliver water and fire retardant to the fire. The total of these effects would be expected to be localized and minimal, as witnessed in previous years.

830 3.3.2.3.2 Wet Year

- 831 In a wet year, the likelihood of an escaped fire is more remote than a normal year. Wet and dry
- fuel moisture levels, low temperatures, high relative humidities, and fire suppression efforts by
- 833 firefighters would quickly extinguish any foreseeable fire ignitions.

834 3.3.2.3.3 Dry Year

- A wildfire in a dry year would be more likely to result in a high-consequence fire burning outside
- the boundaries of the Memorial and into the town of Keystone, South Dakota, up the northeast
- aspect of Black Elk Peak, or into the basin near Horsethief Lake. This outcome would be
- expected to take place over three days or longer. It is not expected that this event would occur, as
- 839 management action points would be built into a Go/No-Go decision matrix for the fireworks
- 840 event. The Go/No-Go decision matrix would preclude fireworks when fuel moistures, air
- temperatures, energy release component (based on changes in moisture content of the various
- fuels present both live and dead), and other indicators would cause the NPS, incident command
- team, or the state (the permittee) to call off the fireworks display.

844 3.3.2.3.4 Vegetation Impacts

- 845 Wildfire acts as a "release" agent, thinning remaining trees, opening the canopy, encouraging
- growth of surviving trees, and stimulating new growth of understory vegetation. However,
- 847 wildfires may also have unintended impacts such as killing large old growth trees and creating
- 848 conditions suitable for encouraging noxious weeds, native and nonnative.
- 849 Most of the understory species within the analysis area are fire tolerant. A wildfire in July in a dry
- year would severely harm warm season vegetation species and favor cool season species such as
- 851 Kentucky bluegrass (a naturalized species). In general, the post-fire succession of species would
- 852 manifest as grasses and sedges, moving to shrubs, then to aspen and birch, and finally to
- 853 ponderosa pine. Because ponderosa pine is so aggressive and opportunistic, the species quickly
- dominates even severely burned areas at the elevations and in conditions found at the Memorial,
- 855 except in very severe wildfires where seed sources are destroyed.
- 856 Surface water quantities increased by 12 percent for several years following the 1990 Cicero Peak
- fire in one study in Custer State Park (Neary et al. 2008). Abundant water, atypical for dry
- ponderosa pine forests, coupled with increased nutrients, means that living trees that survive a
- 859 fire are stronger, healthier, and more vigorous. Fire-weakened trees remain a target for MPB for

- 860 several years following a fire. The 60,000-acre Oil Creek Fire in the Western Black Hills resulted
- 861 in a total post-fire mortality from MPB of less than 200 mature trees (Carroll 2016).

862 A fire occurring within the model parameters would have environmental consequences, but flora 863 in general would recover well over several years. Even following a severe fire, the overall impacts 864 would not be expected to cause a major change in vegetation communities. There are several 865 reasons for this, but primarily the broken nature of the terrain and the resulting discrete islands of 866 trees among the granite formations throughout the Memorial would ensure some continuity for 867 seed sources following a fire.

868 3.3.2.3.5 Fish, Aquatic Invertebrates, and Wildlife

869 Fires affect fish and wildlife mainly through impacts on their habitat. Fires often cause short-term 870 increases in food that contribute to increases in populations of some animals (Graham et al. 2016). 871 Recovering grasses and shrubs greatly increase forage which, in turn, favors grazers like deer and 872 elk, leading to proportionate increases in cougar and covote populations. The increased visibility in the fire area allows raptors to better see and hunt prey more effectively. Woodpecker species 873 874 thrive as they forage for insects that help wood decompose. These increases depend on the 875 animals' ability to thrive in the altered, often simplified, habitat. The extent of fire impacts on 876 animal communities generally depends on the extent of change in habitat structure and species 877 composition. Stand-replacement fires usually cause greater changes in the vegetation 878 communities of forests than in those of grasslands. Within forests, stand-replacement fires usually 879 alter the wildlife community more dramatically than understory fires. Fires that leave standing or 880 downed dead trees and patches of early successional plants provide important habitat for a range

881 of wildlife species.

882 Fires generally kill or injure a small proportion of wildlife populations. Small mammals with

- 883 limited mobility living aboveground appear to be most vulnerable to fire-caused injury and
- 884 mortality, but occasionally even large mammals are killed by fire. Because mortality rates of
- 885 mammals are low, direct fire-caused mortality has little influence on populations of these species 886 as a whole. The ability of mammals to survive fire depends on their mobility and on the
- 887 uniformity, severity, size, and duration of the fire. Most small mammals avoid fire by using
- 888 underground tunnel systems, whereas large mammals must find a safe location in unburned
- 889 patches or outside the burn. Rabbits, harvest mice, and woodrats (dusky-footed, desert, and 890 white-throated) are more vulnerable to fire-caused mortality than deeper-nesting species,
- 891 especially because their nests are constructed of dry flammable materials. Woodrats are
- 892 particularly susceptible to fire mortality because of their reluctance to leave their houses even
- 893 when a fire is actively burning (Wright and Bailey 1982; Arno 2000; Shepperd and Battaglia 2002;
- 894 Graham et al. 2016). Many bat species roost under the bark of snags or live trees and are able to
- 895 escape fire through flight. Bat species of the Northern Great Plains rear their pups in June and
- 896 July (including during the Memorial's Independence Day event), and pups may not be able to
- 897 escape in the event of a wildfire.

898 Fire-caused bird mortality depends on the season, uniformity, and severity of burning. Mortality 899 of adult songbirds is rare, but mortality of nestlings and fledglings does occur. Nest destruction 900 and mortality of young have been reported for several ground-nesting species. Wild turkeys rarely 901 re-nest if their nests are destroyed after two to three weeks of incubation. For this reason, many 902 biologists consider turkeys more vulnerable to fire. Underground nests are likely safe from most 903 fires. In forested areas, fire impacts on birds depend largely on fire severity. The young of ground-904 nesting birds in low vegetation are vulnerable even to understory fire during the nesting season.

INTERNAL DRAFT EA

- 905 Species nesting in the canopy could be injured by intense surface and/or crown fires, but these 906 types of fire are more common in late summer than during the early nesting season.
- 907 There are few reports of fire-caused injury to herpetofauna, even though many of these animals,
- 908 particularly amphibians, have limited mobility. The vulnerability of snakes to fire may increase
- while they are in ecdysis (the process of shedding skin). Species in ecosystems that no longer
- 910 experience high-frequency, low-severity fires may not be adapted to avoid fire.
- 911 The vulnerability of insects and other invertebrates to fire depends on their location at the time of
- the fire. While adults can burrow or fly to escape injury, species with immobile life stages that
- occur in surface litter or aboveground plants are more vulnerable. However, aboveground
- 914 microsites, such as the unburned center of a grass clump, can provide protection.
- 915 In general, fire impacts on wildlife under any of the model scenarios would be minimal and
- 916 limited to changes in wildlife habitat in the analysis area. A low-consequence wildfire would have
- 917 localized impacts on wildlife habitat and would not likely result in the death of individual species
- 918 in the analysis area. The surrounding forest lands and prairies would provide retreat habitat for
- 919 individual species, and most wildlife species would quickly repopulate the fire area as plant
- 920 regrowth occurs following a fire.

921 3.3.2.3.6 Soils

- 922 Localized hydrophobicity would be expected from a severe wildfire in the analysis area. Wildlife
- walking on the surface, rainfall, and wind-driven pruning of trees would break up the
- 924 hydrophobic soil, reestablishing normal hydrologic function. Long-term impacts resulting from
- wildfire would be insignificant due to the dominance of the granitic soils and rock formations
- throughout much of the Memorial. No long-lasting impacts were observed in soils in previous
- 927 area fires (pers. obser. F. Carroll 2019).
- 928 The Battle Creek Fire occurred northwest of the Memorial (2002), and the Cicero Fire (1990) and
- the Galena Fire (1998) occurred southeast of the Memorial. Though these were stand-replacing,
- high-severity, and high-intensity wildfires, the most notable fire impacts were limited to
- 931 vegetation. No long-lasting impacts were observed in soils, and hydrophobicity was short term.

932 3.3.2.3.7 Water Quality

- 933 Wildfire impacts on water quality result from increased erosion from burned areas and resulting
- 934 increases in sedimentation. Small escaped wildfires would not likely affect water quality in the
- 935 drainage basins at the Memorial, or the larger watershed. A larger escaped fire could affect the 936 water quality of local water supplies and systems including streams, local reservoirs and treatment
- 936 water quality of local water supplies and systems including streams, local reservoirs and treatment 937 plants. In a study published in May 2016, USGS scientists noted the presence of multiple trace
- plants. In a study published in May 2016, USGS scientists noted the presence of multiple trace
 metals in post-fire storm water. They discovered elevated levels of iron, lead, nickel, and zinc in
- 939 the streams and traced the contaminants back to a nearby wildfire (USGS 2016a). In general,
- 940 changes in the magnitude and timing of snowmelt runoff influence when water supply reservoirs
- 941 are filled. Large fires can increase sediment loading of water supply reservoirs, shorten reservoir
- 942 lifetime, and increase maintenance costs. Fires increase nutrient loading of streams with dissolved
- organic carbon, major ions, and metals. Post-fire erosion and transport of sediment and debris to
- downstream water treatment plants, water supply reservoirs, and aquatic ecosystems could occur.
- 945 Increased turbidity (cloudiness caused by suspended material) or heightened iron and manganese
- 946 concentrations may increase chemical treatment requirements and produce larger volumes of
- 947 sludge, both of which would raise operating costs. Changes in source water chemistry can alter
- drinking water treatment requirements (USGS 2016a).

- 949 There would be slight adverse impacts on water quantity resulting from an escaped fire. Surface
- water quantities in the analysis area would increase for a period following a fire, as much as 12
- percent in studies conducted in Custer State Park following the Cicero Peak Fire (Neary 2008).
- Because dead trees no longer use available water, more water is available to the system for other
- uses, other plants, and for increased stream flows. This is a function of fewer plants competing for
- 954 the water, the ease of rain drops to penetrate the canopy following the fire, and hydrophobic soil 955 conditions that encourage overland flow. Ponderosa pine trees easily absorb water from the
- 956 ground and return sugar to the roots.

957 3.3.2.3.8 Black Elk Wilderness/Norbeck Wildlife Preserve

- A wildfire burning for three days is not projected to reach the Harney Lookout Tower or move
- over the top of Black Elk Peak toward Custer. Dominant winds in the area are from the northwest
 to southeast, as demonstrated by the model and by the 2002 Battle Creek Fire northwest of the
- to southeast, as demonstrated by the model and by the 2002 Battle Creek Fire northwest of the
 Memorial. It is a USFS priority to keep any escaped fire out of the wilderness as topography,
- weather, fuels, and access issues make fighting fire in the wilderness problematic. Both the NPS
- 962 weather, fuels, and access issues make righting file in the widerness problemate. Both the Wiger 963 and USFS have full administrative authority to fight fire in these areas as aggressively as necessary,
- but heavy equipment use in the wilderness is precluded both by the terrain and the *Black Hills*
- 965 National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USFS 2006).
- A major fire in the Norbeck Wildlife Preserve would be expected to be stand replacing, and tree
- mortality would be high in July in a dry year. While there is a low probability that an escaped fire
 would burn for more than 24 hours following ignition, such an event would be a wind-driven fire
- characterized by fire burning in the crowns of the trees, killing the trees and spreading quickly by
- 970 spotting and wind-driven embers. Fires escape initial attack due to high winds more than other
- 971 factors. When the winds die or become calm, fires are easily contained. Prominent examples of
- 972 large fires in the area over the past 20 years demonstrate what would be expected from such fires.
- 973 The forest would be badly burned in a large wildfire and replaced by grasses and shrubs for
- decades following the fire. The area of the 2002 Battle Creek Fire northwest of the Memorial is
- largely meadowland with grass and shrubs. Regrowth of pine trees is progressing but is not
- 976 expected to be widespread for at least 25 more years.

977 3.3.2.3.9 Other

- 978 The power and communications infrastructure is susceptible to wildfire as it is located
- aboveground. Both an active fire and related suppression activities would potentially compromise
- 980 the infrastructure.
- 981 Fuel storage areas include aboveground tanks containing thousands of gallons of propane and
- fuel. Memorial personnel have successfully mitigated potential impacts on fuel storage through
- 983 site maintenance and protection of key facilities. It is anticipated that the Memorial would
- 984 successfully protect fuel storage both from the short-duration fireworks displays and from any
- 985 resulting wildfire by clearing and treating fuels in the immediate vicinity and stationing rapid
- 986 response teams/assets in these locations (point protection and prepositioning resources).

987 3.3.2.4 Cumulative Impacts

- 988 Experience with extensive aerial fireworks in the analysis area, including large mortar-fired
- 989 displays, has demonstrated that fireworks can be safely and successfully performed over a wide
- variety of changing weather conditions, from very wet years to moderately dry years. Fireworks
- 991 were halted after 2009 because of increasingly dry conditions, which was the only other example
- of a cancellation, with the exception of 2002, from inception to the end of the practice. While
- more than 20 fires started as a result of fireworks over the years, all of these fires were quickly
INTERNAL DRAFT EA

- 994 contained and resulted in localized impacts, from single tree torching to localized small ground
- fires. A fireworks display in 2020 would contribute minimally to wildfire risk in the cumulative
- effects analysis area, assuming all conditions and mitigations developed by the incident
- 997 management team are met and followed.
- 998 Forest conditions have steadily deteriorated across the region of the Black Hills of South Dakota
- and Wyoming, and in the Bear Mountains to the northwest. Conditions across the ponderosa
- 1000 pine forests of the West described in detail by Arno (2000), Shepperd and Battaglia (2002),
- 1001 Graham et al. (2016), and most famously by Wright and Bailey (1982) are true for the ponderosa
- pine forests of the analysis area. These conditions are intensified by the unique character of the adjacent Black Elk Wilderness and Norbeck Wildlife Preserve, and high level of recreational use
- 1005 adjacent Black Elk Wilderr 1004 in the area.
- 1005 Any major fire resulting from the fireworks display and affecting the Memorial would be
- 1006 expected to severely impact the surface vegetation, reduce or eliminate organic matter in the soil,
- 1007 increase hydrological damage in the short term from erosion, and add to the decline of old
- 1008 growth and mature trees. Fire resulting from the fireworks display would have a moderate
- adverse contribution to already deteriorated forest conditions. Forest recovery to pre-fire
- 1010 conditions would likely be slow and sporadic. Conversely, any prescribed burns that are
- 1011 implemented prior to the fireworks display would have a beneficial contribution to cumulative
- 1012 effects of wildfire in the analysis area.

1013 **3.3.3** Impacts of Wildfire in Alternative 2

1014 Under the no action alternative, there would be no potential for wildfires ignited from an

- 1015 Independence Day celebration fireworks display. Wildfire risks from normal operations at the
- 1016 Memorial are part of ongoing fire planning for the Northern Great Plains Interagency Dispatch
- 1017 Center and normal Memorial operations. The overall risk of a severe wildfire would range from
- 1018 low to high within the Memorial, depending on the weather conditions in the region and the
- 1019 effectiveness of prescribed burning activities.

1020 3.3.3.1 Cumulative Impacts

1021 Previous fireworks displays have resulted in more than 20 small wildfires, each less than an acre.

- 1022 These small wildfires were extinguished with minimal impact on resources due to readily
- 1023 available emergency fire response teams and favorable weather conditions. There would be no
- 1024 contribution to the cumulative effects of wildfire under the no action alternative.

1025 **3.4 Environmental Contaminants**

- The analysis area for environmental contaminants includes the 1,278-acre Memorial, including
 the three drainage basins described below (Lafferty Gulch, Starling Gulch, and Unnamed
 tributants a Gripply Base Graph)
- 1028 tributary to Grizzly Bear Creek).

1029 3.4.1 Current General Conditions – Environmental Contaminants

1030 3.4.1.1 Water Quality and Soil

- 1031 The Memorial is in the east-central region of the Black Hills and consists of Precambrian-age
- 1032 bedrock of granite, pegmatite sills and dikes, and schist. Three drainage basins are identified
- 1033 within the Memorial: Lafferty Gulch in the north and drains to the north, Starling Gulch in the
- 1034 southwest and drains to the south, and the Unnamed tributary to Grizzly Bear Creek in the

INTERNAL DRAFT EA

1035 southeast and drains to the east. Groundwater occurs in localized aquifers within the bedrock,

and flow is controlled by secondary permeability caused by fracturing and weathering which,

- along with surficial deposits of colluvium, can rapidly transmit large quantities of recharged
- 1038 water. The groundwater system at West Fork Lafferty Gulch is isolated from downgradient
- 1039 movement by an igneous sill, which acts as a groundwater dam. Precipitation in the Memorial
- averaged about 21.5 inches annually during 1981 to 2010.

Groundwater wells used for production of drinking water are located within the West Fork
Lafferty Gulch and are designated Well#1 (200 feet deep and sampled as L-3) and Well#2 (500

- 1043 feet deep and sampled as L-4) (see Figure 6). A spring (L-2) is upstream of the production wells,
- 1044 originates from the same groundwater source as the production wells, and creates a shallow
- stream in West Fork Lafferty Gulch. Stream sampling site L-5 is downstream of the spring and
- production wells on the main Lafferty Gulch channel near the northern Memorial boundary
 downstream from the confluence with West Fork Lafferty Gulch. Production water pumped
- 1047 from Well#1 and Well#2 is treated at the Memorial's water treatment plant, and sampling site L-7
- represents the water quality following treatment. Along the main stem of Lafferty Gulch, stream
- 1050 water quality sampling has been conducted at two locations (L-1 and L-8). Site L-8 is the closest
- 1051 water sampling site in proximity to the fireworks launch area in the Hall of Records Canyon.
- 1052 Surface water quality sampling has also been conducted within the Starling Gulch drainage basin
- 1053 at two locations (S-1 and S-2), the Unnamed tributary to Grizzly Bear Creek drainage basin at one
- spring (G-1), and one surface water location (G-2). The geology of spring G-1 is similar to that of
- spring L-2 where an igneous sill blocks the downstream flow of groundwater, resulting in
- 1056 springflow.

1057 3.4.1.1.1 Firework Contaminants

1058 A firework is the combination of a fuel (typically a metal or metalloid) and an oxidizer (typically a 1059 perchlorate or nitrate salt) to enhance combustion along with binders, stabilizers, and anticaking 1060 agents. A propellant supplies a lifting charge to launch the fireworks. Combustion products 1061 associated with the firing of fireworks include the release of numerous metals and metal 1062 compounds used as fuels and coloring agents, and numerous salts used as oxidizers (PB Energy 1063 Storage Services, Inc. (PB Energy) 2011). Environmental contaminants associated with fireworks 1064 include perchlorate, metals, thiocyanates, and nitrate compounds, as well as numerous other 1065 compounds within the resulting fallout due in part to incomplete combustion of the fireworks. 1066 Additionally, fireworks that do not ignite when launched can create debris and unexploded aerial 1067 shells within the fallout zone that, if not retrieved, can break down over time and release 1068 contaminants (see Figure 3).



1070 Figure 6. Drainage Basins and Water Quality Sampling Locations

1069

1071 3.4.1.1.2 Perchlorate

1072 Perchlorate is both a naturally occurring and man-made anion that is typically found in the form 1073 of perchloric acid and salts such as ammonium perchlorate, potassium perchlorate, and sodium 1074 perchlorate. Perchlorate is both highly soluble and stable in water and functions as an oxidizer in 1075 fireworks. In their study of soil and water quality at the Memorial, the USGS (2016b) concluded 1076 that past fireworks displays are the most probable source of the perchlorate contamination 1077 measured. In soil samples collected, perchlorate soil results were greatest (0.0023 and 0.0017 1078 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)) in the samples collected in the West Fork Lafferty Gulch 1079 drainage with concentrations about one order of magnitude higher than results from the

1080 reference site located outside the Memorial (USGS 2016b).

1081 The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Interim Drinking Water Health Advisory

- benchmark for perchlorate is 15 micrograms per liter (μ g/L). The EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the Federal Register on June 26, 2019 seeking public input on a range of
- 1084 options regarding the regulation of perchlorate in public drinking water systems. The EPA
- requested comments by August 26, 2019 on a proposed National Primary Drinking Water
- 1086 Regulation (NPDWR) for perchlorate to establish a Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) and a
- 1087 health-based Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) at 56 µg/L (EPA 2019a). Stated
- alternatives include two other MCLs, 18 and 90 μ g/L, as well as the withdrawal of its
- 1089 determination to regulate perchlorate. The final NPDWR and MCLG are anticipated by June
- 1090 2020.
- 1091 Water samples collected from the Memorial have been analyzed for the presence of perchlorate
- since 2011. As noted by the USGS (2016b), the aquifer underlying the West Fork Lafferty Gulch is
- 1093 highly susceptible to contamination due to the hydrogeologic conditions of an igneous intrusive
- 1094 body acting to dam and limit groundwater movement, which in turn limits the ability of
- 1095 environmental contaminants to be flushed out of the groundwater system. Similar to the soil
- 1096 sample results, water samples collected from the Lafferty Gulch basin contained the highest
- 1097 concentrations of perchlorate when studied by the USGS (2016b). Perchlorate has been measured
 1098 in Well#1 (sample location L-3) from 11 to 38 µg/L, in production water after treatment between
- 1099 12 and 29 μ g/L, in surface water collected at L-5 between 6 and 18 μ g/L, and in spring water
- 1100 collected at L-2 between 12 and 54 μ g/L. The perchlorate monitoring data collected at individual
- 1101 water sampling sites display an overall decreasing trend with time.
- 1102 No perchlorate data were collected at the Memorial prior to or during the fireworks shows
- 1103 conducted from 1998 to 2009. Therefore, the timing and magnitude of perchlorate
- 1104 concentrations in environmental media immediately following a fireworks display at the
- 1105 Memorial are not known. From samples collected prior to and immediately following a fireworks
- 1106 display adjacent to a lake in Oklahoma, Wilkin et al. (2007) reported an increase in surface water
- 1107 perchlorate levels of 24 to 1,028 times the mean background level within 14 hours after a
- 1108 fireworks show and perchlorate concentrations decreasing toward the background level within
- 1109 20 to 80 days following the show due to natural attenuation.

1110 3.4.1.1.3 Nitrate

- 1111 Nitrate is a naturally occurring compound, and various nitrate salts are used as ingredients in
- 1112 fireworks. The EPA MCL for nitrate is 10 mg/L (EPA 2019b). Nitrate data have been collected
- annually by the Memorial since 1991 for the finished drinking water supply, which is sourced
- 1114 from groundwater. Nitrate levels from 1991 to 2019 ranged from less than 0.5 mg/L to 1.35 mg/L.
- 1115 Nitrate data for environmental media such as groundwater, surface water, and soils have not been
- 1116 collected.

1117 3.4.1.1.4 Thiocyanate

- 1118 Thiocyanate is a sulfur cyanate anion. Potassium thiocyanate was listed by PB Energy (2011) as a
- 1119 potential propellant used in fireworks. Thiocyanate salts have not been analyzed in samples
- 1120 collected at the Memorial and it is unknown if thiocyanate is present.

1121 3.4.1.1.5 Metals

- 1122 Various metals are used as coloring ingredients in fireworks. As part of the USGS (2016b) study,
- soil samples were collected for 25 metals within the Memorial as well as reference sites located
- 1124 outside the Memorial. The USGS reported that 22 of 25 metal concentrations in soil were greater
- at sites located within the Memorial than in samples collected at reference sites outside the
- 1126 Memorial. The USGS found that copper and lead (83 and 100 mg/kg, respectively) within soils
- 1127 collected at the sampling site closest to the former fireworks launch site were about an order of
- 1128 magnitude greater than all other sample locations analyzed. In addition, titanium and barium
- 1129 concentrations (1,200 and 190 mg/kg, respectively) were greatest in two sites located within the
- 1130 Lafferty Gulch drainage basin (USGS 2016b).
- 1131 In water samples collected, the USGS concluded that reference site metal concentrations
- 1132 collected outside the Memorial had concentrations within the same range as sites within the
- 1133 Memorial, indicating little evidence of metal contamination of water due to anthropogenic factors
- 1134 within the Memorial.

1135 3.4.1.2 Fish and Wildlife

1136 See Section 3.3, Wildfire for a description of the affected environment for fish and wildlife.

1137 **3.4.2** Impacts of Environmental Contaminants in Alternative 1

- 1138 Under the preferred alternative, a fireworks show would occur at the Memorial in 2020. The size 1139 of the display would be smaller than the previously conducted shows so that the show complies
- 1140 with national fire codes and standards, federal and state laws, and NPS policies (Weeth 2019).
- 1141 The types of aerial fireworks used under the preferred alternative are assumed to be of similar
- 1142 composition to that previously used, with the addition of pyrotechnics that may not have been
- 1143 used in previous years.

1144 3.4.2.1 Water Quality

- 1145 Fireworks contain potential contaminants that when detonated will fall out into the environment.
- 1146 In addition, fireworks that do not detonate after launch, and are not recovered, can degrade over
- 1147 time and release contaminants into the environment. The fireworks contaminants perchlorate
- and nitrate are highly soluble and can quickly dissolve into water, while metals generally have a
- 1149 lower solubility in water. The USGS (2016b) concluded that past fireworks displays are the most
- 1150 probable source of perchlorate measured in soil, surface water, and groundwater at the
- 1151 Memorial. Additionally, the USGS (2016b) noted that the groundwater system at the Memorial is
- highly susceptible to contamination due to the hydrogeologic conditions present. The total mass
- 1153 of environmental contaminants released as a result of the preferred alternative would be
- dependent on many factors such as the size of the fireworks display, the efficiency of combustion,
- and the composition and quality of the fireworks used.
- 1156 The preferred alternative would result in the release of chemicals to environmental media within
- 1157 the Memorial, proportional to the size of the display. It is unknown if the mass of chemicals
- released to the environment would be large enough to be detected, or to increase concentrations
- 1159 within environmental media to levels exceeding regulatory standards. Whether future perchlorate

- 1160 concentrations in groundwater used for drinking water will meet regulatory standards will in part
- 1161 be dependent on the EPA's decision regarding the regulatory standard for perchlorate. The
- 1162 increase in concentration in soils, surface water, and groundwater of potential chemicals released
- to the environment would be due, in part, to the size of the fireworks display as well as the
- solubility, infiltration rate, and attenuation of a given chemical. Different types of fireworks
- 1165 include different chemical compositions and in varying concentrations. The combination of these
- 1166 factors makes it difficult to predict precisely the impact of any particular fireworks program. The
- 1167 NPS has limited the length of the fireworks display, limited the size of fireworks to be used, and
- 1168 implemented a monitoring protocol to ensure early detection of perchlorate contamination.
- 1169 Monitoring of environmental media following implementation of the preferred alternative would
- 1170 help quantify changes in environmental contaminant concentrations relative to those measured
- 1171 prior to implementation of the preferred alternative. The NPS has proposed to implement a study
- 1172 with the USGS to conduct pre- and post-display monitoring of soil, surface water, and
- 1173 groundwater within potentially impacted areas from the display. This monitoring program would
- evaluate the event's impacts on environmental contaminants and would supplement existing
- 1175 groundwater and drinking water testing that currently occurs at the Memorial.

1176 3.4.2.2 Human Health Impacts

1177 3.4.2.2.1 Perchlorate, Nitrate, and Thiocyanate

1178 Perchlorate is known to disrupt the thyroid hormone homeostasis in several species including 1179 humans (Park et al. 2016; Leung et al. 2014). The path for human exposure to perchlorate at the 1180 Memorial would most likely be through the drinking water supply, which could potentially 1181 contain elevated levels of perchlorate following a fireworks display, as described above. The 1182 potential adverse impacts of perchlorate on thyroidal health in humans, particularly among 1183 pregnant and lactating women and in infants, are unconfirmed (Pleus and Corey 2018; Leung et 1184 al. 2014; Tarone et al. 2010). Nitrate and thiocyanate also decrease the ability of the thyroid to 1185 transport iodide, by the same mechanism as perchlorate (Tarone et al. 2010; Tonacchera et al. 1186 2004). The mode of action of all three anions is additive, with no evidence of synergistic effects 1187 (Tarone et al. 2010). The potential exists that perchlorate, nitrate, or thiocyanate levels in drinking 1188 water could become elevated following a future fireworks display, especially when considering 1189 the existing elevated levels of nitrate in the Memorial's drinking water. As previously described, 1190 the risk of future exposure would depend on many factors such as the size of the fireworks 1191 display, the efficiency of combustion, and the composition and quality of the fireworks used. The 1192 risks of exposure through drinking water at the Memorial would be reduced by limiting the 1193 number and size of fireworks and by implementing monitoring for contaminants and mitigation 1194 measures as described in Appendix B. As previously described, the NPS has proposed to 1195 implement pre- and post-event monitoring of surface water within the area potentially affected by 1196 the fireworks display. With the implementation of monitoring and mitigation measures such as 1197 water filtration or reverse osmosis, human exposure to harmful levels of these contaminants 1198 could be reduced.

1199 3.4.2.2.2 Metals

1200 As previously described, the USGS (2016b) concluded that reference site metal concentrations

- 1201 collected outside the Memorial had concentrations within the same range as sites within the
- 1202 Memorial, indicating little evidence of metal contamination of water due to anthropogenic factors
- 1203 within the Memorial. Future fireworks events would be unlikely to increase metal contamination
- in the Memorial.

1205 3.4.2.3 **Ecological Impacts**

1206 3.4.2.3.1 Perchlorate

1207 As previously described, perchlorate levels in surface water collected from Lafferty Gulch from

1208 2011 to 2015 (near the past fireworks launch site) were higher than the EPA Interim Drinking

1209 Water Health Advisory level of 15 µg/L (USGS 2016b). The NPS has not set ecological screening

- 1210 values for perchlorate in water or soil (NPS 2018). Perchlorate levels in Starling Gulch were
- 1211 elevated compared to background levels, ranging from 0.61 to $19 \mu g/L$, while surface water
- 1212 samples from Grizzly Bear Creek showed no signs of contamination. No fish are present in
- 1213 Lafferty Gulch; however, adverse impacts on mammals, amphibians, and invertebrates could 1214
- result from increased perchlorate levels following future fireworks displays.

1215 Studies have found that perchlorate affects many species of wildlife, including mammals,

- 1216 amphibians, fish, and invertebrates (Acevedo-Barrios et al. 2017; Jomaa et al. 2014; Eck 2015;
- 1217 Srinivasan and Viraraghavan 2009); and perchlorate toxicity varies widely according to species.
- 1218 Exposure to perchlorate could affect the metabolism, reproduction, and development of the
- 1219 exposed species, causing irreversible damage to many organisms and disrupting the absorption of
- 1220 iodine in the thyroid gland, which is responsible for regulating metabolism, growth, and 1221
- development (Gholamian et al. 2011; Lumen et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014 cited in Acevedo-Barrios 1222
- et al. 2017). The degree and severity of impacts would depend on the chemical composition and 1223 number of fireworks used, and other variables such as the degree of combustion, as previously
- 1224 described. Impacts could affect individual mammals, amphibians, or small groups of invertebrates
- 1225 localized in and near Lafferty Gulch and near the launch site. Impacts would generally occur
- 1226 beginning after the fireworks display, and would be expected to attenuate over time, as the
- 1227 concentrations of perchlorate decline.

1228 3.4.2.3.2 Nitrate

- 1229 Potential adverse impacts on aquatic life from elevated levels of nitrate could include enhanced
- 1230 algae growth, resulting in decreased dissolved oxygen concentration in the aquatic environment,
- 1231 which leads to increased fish mortality rates. High levels of nitrate could also have toxic effects on
- 1232 aquatic organisms from the conversion of oxygen-carrying pigments (such as hemoglobin)
- 1233 (Carmago et al. 2005) and thyroid effects. Carmago et al. (2005) reviewed the published data on
- 1234 nitrate toxicity to aquatic species and found that long-term exposure to concentrations of 10
- 1235 mg/L of nitrate (the EPA drinking water standard) can adversely affect aquatic invertebrates, fish,
- 1236 and amphibians. A safe level of 2 mg/L was recommended to protect freshwater aquatic
- 1237 organisms (Carmago et al. 2005). The NPS has not selected ecological screening values for nitrate 1238 (NPS 2018).
- 1239 Limited data are available on nitrate levels in surface water at the Memorial. Water quality
- 1240 sampling in 2006 found that nitrate levels averaged 1.87 mg/L in the small unnamed stream in
- 1241 Lafferty Gulch, while levels in Beaver Dam Creek in Starling Basin and in Grizzly Creek, which
- 1242 are further from past fireworks displays, were below 0.10 mg/L (Rust 2006). These limited data
- 1243 suggest that past fireworks displays may have contributed to increased nitrate levels in Lafferty
- 1244 Gulch, which is consistent with the results of the USGS study that found elevated levels of
- 1245 perchlorate in Lafferty Gulch (USGS 2016b). Although elevated, the nitrate levels measured in
- 1246 2006 in Lafferty Gulch were below the 2.0 mg/L level recommended to protect freshwater aquatic
- 1247 organisms.

1248 3.4.2.3.3 *Thiocyanate*

- 1249 Thiocyanate exposure can adversely affect fish and other aquatic organisms. Potential impacts on
- 1250 fish exposed to thiocyanate in water could include negative impacts such as impaired thyroid

- 1251 function and reduced hemoglobin levels (Lanno and Dixon 1996). The NPS has not selected
- ecological screening values for thiocyanate (NPS 2018).

1253 3.4.2.3.4 Metals

1254 Copper is highly toxic to fish and amphibians, while lead also has adverse impacts on aquatic organisms (EPA 2019c). As previously described, concentrations of metals in surface water 1255 1256 samples collected at the Memorial were not substantially different from levels outside the 1257 Memorial following past fireworks displays. This suggests that ten years of fireworks displays 1258 from 1998 through 2009 either did not elevate metals or elevated metals such that they attenuated 1259 before the first year of USGS monitoring in 2011. While it is possible that adverse impacts on 1260 aquatic organisms from elevated levels of metals could occur from future fireworks displays, these 1261 effects would likely be less than two years in duration, if they occurred. The degree and severity of 1262 impacts would depend on the chemical composition and number of fireworks used, and other 1263 variables such as the degree of combustion, as previously described for other contaminants. As 1264 previously described, the NPS has proposed to implement pre- and post-event monitoring of 1265 surface water within the area potentially affected by the fireworks display.

1266 Elevated levels of copper and lead (83 and 100 mg/kg, respectively) were found in soils at the 1267 sampling site closest to the fireworks launch site in Lafferty Gulch (USGS 2016b). These levels 1268 exceeded the NPS ecological screening values for birds (28 mg/kg for copper and 56 mg/kg for 1269 lead) and mammals (49 mg/kg for copper and 11 mg/kg for lead). Potential adverse impacts of 1270 copper exposure include reduced growth rates, lowered egg production, and developmental 1271 abnormalities in birds; and liver cirrhosis, necrosis in kidneys and the brain, gastrointestinal 1272 distress, lesions, low blood pressure, and fetal mortality in mammals (EPA 2019c). Potential 1273 adverse impacts on birds and mammals from lead exposure include damage to the nervous 1274 system, kidneys, and liver; sterility; growth inhibition; developmental retardation; and detrimental 1275 effects in blood; however, these impacts are generally the result of exposure from ingesting lead 1276 shot, sinkers, or paint, rather than food chain exposure from inorganic lead (EPA 2019c). Because 1277 elevated copper and lead concentrations were found at the site closest to the past fireworks 1278 launch site, future copper and lead contamination would be expected to be greatest in the areas 1279 closest to the launch site. Impacts on birds and mammals from ingestion of copper and lead 1280 would be expected to be localized near the launch site and within the fallout zone and would 1281 affect individuals rather than populations. The degree and severity of impacts would depend on 1282 the chemical composition and number of fireworks used, and other variables such as the degree 1283 of combustion, as previously described for other contaminants.

1284 3.4.2.4 Cumulative Impacts

As discussed above, previous fireworks displays contributed to water quality contamination and
impacts on natural resources within the Memorial. Future displays would contribute to these
impacts; the level of impact would depend on absorption rates and any mitigation measures
implemented, such as use of fireworks that do not contain perchlorate or other contaminants of
concern.

1290 **3.4.3** Impacts of Environmental Contaminants in Alternative 2

1291 Under the no action alternative, no new contaminants would be released to the environment 1292 from an Independence Day celebration fireworks display. Existing contaminants from previously 1293 conducted fireworks shows would continue to persist for an unknown time. Due to the terrain, 1294 unexploded ordnance within the fallout zone not previously collected would likely persist and 1295 degrade in the environment, potentially further leaching contaminants to the soil, surface water, and groundwater. Contaminants would persist in the environment until they naturally attenuate.No new impacts on human health or wildlife would be expected.

1298 3.4.3.1 Cumulative Impacts

1299 As discussed above, previous fireworks displays contributed to water quality contamination and 1300 impacts on natural resources within the Memorial. There would be no new impacts under the no 1301 action alternative.

1302 **3.5** Human Health and Safety

1303 The analysis area for human health and safety is the 1,278-acre Memorial area. This area

- 1304 encompasses the fallout zone for the proposed fireworks event (Figure 5); staging and
- 1305 transportation areas for fireworks and associated infrastructure transport (e.g., elevated launch
- 1306 pad and fireworks racks); and visitor and staff ingress/egress points.

1307 3.5.1 Current General Conditions – Human Health and Safety

1308 In accordance with NPS *Management Policies 2006*, fireworks events will be considered unless

1309 they pose an unacceptable risk of wildland or structural fire, or will cause unacceptable impacts

1310 on Memorial resources or values, or jeopardize public safety. This section analyzes impacts on

1311 visitor and fireworks operator safety as a result of the proposed fireworks event. The affected

1312 environment description for this resource is based on more recent Independence Day

1313 celebrations with no fireworks events (2010 through 2019) and previous firework displays (1998

- through 2009) to provide a basis for impacts of the preferred alternative. For a description of
- 1315 wildfire risk on local communities, see Section 3.3, Wildfire. For a description of human health
- and safety impacts from environmental contaminants, see Section 3.4, Environmental
- 1317 Contaminants.

1318 3.5.1.1 Visitor Health and Safety

1319 3.5.1.1.1 Previous Fireworks Events (1998 through 2009)

Previous firework events drew attendance of up to 8,300 visitors within a small area. Visitors
viewed the fireworks display and associated pre-display acts in the amphitheater (capacity of

- about 1,800) and Terrace (capacity of 200), with the remainder of the visitors filling into other
- areas of the Visitor Center Complex including the Avenue of Flags, Plaza, and pedestrian
- 1323 areas of the visitor Center Complex including the Avenue of Plags, Plaza, and pedestrian 1324 walkways (Figure 4) in a "standing room only" manner. This impacted emergency egress and
- 1325 limited the potential for emergency sheltering of visitors during dangerous weather.
- 1326 The Memorial maintains a parking garage with a capacity of about 1,100 vehicles, which includes
- 1327 spaces for recreational vehicles. In addition to vehicular traffic, many visitors would travel from
- 1328 Keystone (about 2 miles away) to the Memorial on foot on the day of the fireworks display,
- resulting in a mix of pedestrian and vehicular traffic on SD 244 from Keystone. Pedestrian and vehicular ingress and egress are from SD 244, which runs north and south into the Memorial.
- vehicular ingress and egress are from SD 244, which runs north and south into the Memorial.
 Emergency response vehicles (for emergency medical and wildfire response purposes) also used
- 1332 SD 244 before and during the event. Emergency response vehicle ingress and egress during visitor
- 1333 arrival and departure periods was restricted because SD 244 is the only option in and out of the
- 1334 Memorial.
- 1335 On the day of the event, the Memorial opened at 6:00 a.m., and visitors were lined up at the
- 1336 Memorial entrance to secure a viewing spot for the evening show, which started about 9:00 p.m.
- 1337 Following the show (usually about 10:00 p.m.), Memorial staff and local law enforcement

- 1338 personnel hired to help with the event allowed pedestrians to leave the Memorial while visitors
- 1339 with vehicles were instructed to wait until all pedestrians were off the road (typically a couple of
- 1340 hours later).

1341 3.5.1.1.2 More Recent Independence Day Celebrations (2010 through 2019)

1342 More recent Independence Day celebrations have included entertainment such as military bands,

- 1343 presidential reenactments, and lights on the sculpture. The event schedule is a rotation of 45
- 1344 minutes of entertainment and 45 minutes of break throughout the day until about 9:00 pm. Park
- 1345 attendance during the 2010 through 2019 Independence Day celebrations ranged from 29,000 to
- 1346 36,000 visitors. The entertainment schedule allowed for more frequent rotations of visitors 1347 throughout the day, who then would likely visit the neighboring towns outside of the Memorial.
- 1348 The rotation of entertainment resulted in less visitor and traffic congestion at any one time
- 1349 throughout the day than the previous fireworks events, with few ingress and/or egress issues.
- 3.5.1.2 1350 **Fireworks Operator Health and Safety**

1351 3.5.1.2.1 Previous Fireworks Events (1998 through 2009)

- 1352 Previous fireworks displays were launched from the Hall of Records Canyon, which is about 40 1353 feet across at the widest part of the canyon. The NFPA report Section 1123 5.1.4.7 stipulates that
- 1354 "The area selected for the discharge of aerial shells shall be located so that the trajectory of the
- 1355 shells shall not come within 25 ft. (7.6 m) of any overhead object." Based on this guidance, and the
- 1356 width of the Hall of Records Canyon, with walls that vary in depth from about 80 to 120 feet from
- 1357 the Hall of Records, the minimum width to ignite fireworks within the canyon is 50 feet.
- 1358 Furthermore, the NFPA report, which assessed each proposed launch site (Weeth 2019), noted
- 1359 that "No portion of the Hall of Records, other than the small area outside the fence in the Entry
- 1360 by the top of the steps, has anything that could be considered a Means of Egress, an Exit or a
- 1361 Means of Escape during a fireworks display." Other considerations such as angle of mortar being
- 1362 fired, were not considered in previous displays from the Hall of Records Canyon. Recoil can drive 1363 the mortar into the ground or change the angle of the mortar, which created a hazardous situation
- 1364
- for fireworks operators during previous displays launched from the canyon.
- 1365 Prior to previous fireworks displays, delivery of fireworks into the Hall of Records Canyon was 1366 challenging and laborious based on the terrain and high elevation of the launch site. Fireworks,
- 1367 mortar racks, and firing equipment were transported into the canyon using a complex rope and
- 1368 pulley system, which took several days leading up to the display. A helicopter also was used at one
- 1369 point to transport items, but this practice was discontinued due to safety concerns such as uneven 1370
- terrain, difficult landing area, and uncertain weather conditions. NPS staff transporting fireworks 1371 were not trained or certified in the safe handling and transport of fireworks, per NFPA code, and
- 1372 transported them within an unsafe distance from visitors.
- 1373 Although local law enforcement agencies were hired to help with crowd and traffic control, the
- 1374 limited number of Memorial staff available were needed to help with the large number of visitors
- 1375 throughout that day. The total number of visitors on the event day is less than typical for a
- 1376 summer day at the Memorial; however, during a typical summer day, visitors would spend 1 to 2
- 1377 hours at the Memorial, and on July 3rd, visitors would arrive when the Memorial opened and
- 1378 spend 16 to 17 hours at the Memorial, resulting in crowded conditions.
- 1379 The Weeth report (2019) concluded that many of the past practices were not in compliance with 1380 NFPA codes and standards. Visitor and operator safety concerns outlined in the report included:

Chapter 3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

- Inadequate separation distances to spectator viewing areas from an elevated discharge
 site, especially with wind (i.e., spectators located within the fallout zone)
 - Hazards of aerial shells bouncing off of overhanging canyon walls
 - Safety of the fireworks operator crew firing from within the actual Hall of Records, including night operations
- Safe capacity and egress of the Visitor Center Complex for spectators
- 1387

1383 1384

1385

- 1388 Other safety concerns with previous displays includes unexploded ordnance and shell debris,
- 1389 which remain in areas of the Memorial, as mortars do not always explode and fall to the ground.
- 1390 It is unknown how much debris and unexploded ordnance from previous displays remains within
- 1391 forested and other areas within the Memorial.

1392 3.5.1.2.2 More Recent Independence Day Celebrations (2010 through 2019)

No fireworks events occurred from 2010 through 2019. Entertainment provided in those yearsdid not have unusual or hazardous operational concerns.

1395 **3.5.2** Impacts on Human Health and Safety of Alternative 1

1396 **3.5.2.1** Visitor Health and Safety

1397 3.5.2.1.1 Previous Fireworks Events (1998 through 2009)

- Under Alternative 1, the preferred alternative, visitor attendance would be limited to about 2,000
 people in the amphitheater and Terrace, which would be within design capacity and result in less
 crowding than previous fireworks events, especially in the plaza and walkway areas. Parking
 would be limited to the capacity of the parking structure, resulting in less vehicle/pedestrian
- 1402 conflict and safer, less congested ingress and egress from the Memorial before and after the
- 1403 display than in previous years. Emergency responder access to and from the Memorial would be
- 1404 maintained on the area roads and internal paths. This would allow for adequate visitor safety and
- 1405 emergency response in the event of medical or fire emergencies, an improvement from previous
- 1406 fireworks events.
- 1407 The fireworks event would be designed so the visitor viewing areas are not in the fallout zone,
- ensuring the safety of visitors watching the display, with minimal risk of danger from fireworks
- debris. As in previous years, the NPS and partners would implement an incident management
 decision-making structure, including a Go/No-Go Action Plan on the day of the display to
- 1410 account for severe weather conditions, such as high winds or lightning.

1412 25212 Mana Descut Indatan Jawas Daw Calaburtisms (2010 through 2010

- 1412 3.5.2.1.2 More Recent Independence Day Celebrations (2010 through 2019)
- 1413 Under the preferred alternative, relative to more recent Independence Day celebrations, visitor
- 1414 attendance, parking, ingress/egress, and emergency response would likely be about the same at
- any one time throughout the day due to the rotation of entertainment and cycling of visitors
- 1416 through the Memorial, until 3pm when the Memorial would be closed. There would still be a
- 1417 greater risk to visitor and operator safety from fireworks launching, although this risk would
- likely be minimal due to the nature of the fireworks used and safety precautions implemented perNFPA codes and the incident management structure.
- 1420 **3.5.2.2** Fireworks Operator Health and Safety

1421 3.5.2.2.1 Previous Fireworks Events (1998 through 2009)

- 1422 Under the preferred alternative, discharge of fireworks and/or proximate pyrotechnics would
- 1423 occur at up to three areas: the Amphitheater area, using pyrotechnics of 2 inches or less; the talus

INTERNAL DRAFT EA

- slope and Presidential Trail area, using ground level fireworks and some pyrotechnics of 2 inches
- 1425 or less; and the Hall of Records area, using aerial fireworks 2 to 5 inches. The use of these
- 1426 discharge areas is subject to the safety limitations identified in the Weeth report (2019), and
- subject to future display design by a licensed fireworks operator and operational planning by the
- 1428 NPS. Working within the constraints of NFPA codes would result in acceptable operator safety
- 1429 during the event.
- 1430 The NPS would work with the certified fireworks contractor to develop a plan for staging,
- igniting, and demobilizing for all locations. All launch locations would be closed to public access
- 1432 for some time before and after the event, as fireworks are staged and cleaned up, which would
- 1433 improve visitor safety relative to previous displays. The fireworks contractor would need to
- deliver and remove the fireworks and other materials using vehicles, packs, and other means. At
- the Hall of Records Canyon, this may include the use of a helicopter. Transport of the fireworks and other materials using a rope and pulley system and helicopter would have some risk for the
- 1437 contractor; however, training and safety precautions taken in the use of these techniques would
- 1438 minimize this risk. Memorial staff would solicit assistance from other agencies such as the South
- 1439 Dakota Department of Transportation, local law enforcement, and state emergency personnel.
- 1440 Fire and emergency responders would be staged east and west of SD 244 closures, which would
- 1441 reduce the risk of restricted access for emergency situations that may arise.
- 1442 Launching fireworks from the ledge above the Hall of Records, or from an elevated platform in
- 1443 the Hall of Records Canyon, would eliminate the hazards of aerial shells bouncing off of
- 1444 overhanging canyon walls, increasing safety for fireworks operators compared to previous events.
- 1445 As part of the event, the fireworks contractor would assign staff to monitor fireworks for any
- 1446 unexploded shells, spot fires, and other concerns. The NPS would establish a quick response
- 1447 wildland fire team to respond to any unplanned ignitions, as in previous years. These actions
- 1448 would reduce risks associated with unexploded shells and wildfire.

1449 3.5.2.2.2 More Recent Independence Day Celebrations (2010 through 2019)

- 1450 Under the preferred alternative, fireworks operators would transport fireworks to the Hall of 1451 Records Canyon, resulting in some risk to operators, based on the terrain, limited accessibility of 1452 the canyon, and handling of fireworks, compared to more recent Independence Day celebrations 1453 where no fireworks events occurred. During the fireworks event, handling and launching of 1454 fireworks would increase risk to operators. The requirement for operators to be certified 1455 handlers would decrease these risks as they would be trained in the proper transport, handling,
- 1456 and ignition of fireworks. Construction and use of a platform in the canyon as a launching spot
- 1457 would increase risk to operators due to the height and risk of falls; proper certification by
- 1458 operators also would help to mitigate this risk.

1459 3.5.2.3 Cumulative Impacts

1460 Previous fireworks events posed a health and safety risk to visitors, Memorial staff, and fireworks operators; however, the preferred alternative may result in additional unexploded ordnance and 1461 1462 debris within the Memorial and may contribute to cumulative impacts on human health and 1463 safety. Unexploded ordnance and debris from previous fireworks events would persist, which 1464 poses a risk to visitor and Memorial staff safety. Present and future forest management actions 1465 such as MPB mitigations and forest thinning may reduce the risk of a catastrophic wildfire, 1466 resulting in a beneficial effect on human health and safety. Prescribed fire and other treatments 1467 proposed for the Memorial prior to a fireworks event (if possible based on weather conditions) would have a beneficial contribution to cumulative effects when combined with other forest 1468

management actions, although weather conditions have not yet made it possible for the Memorialto implement these management actions.

1471 **3.5.3** Impacts on Human Health and Safety of Alternative 2

1472 Under the no action alternative, the Independence Day celebration at the Memorial would not

1473 include a fireworks display and would be similar to recent years with various performances and

- 1474 activities in the amphitheater. There would be no risk to visitor and staff health and safety from 1475 fireworks or an increased risk of wildfire danger. There would be no increase in unexploded
- fireworks or an increased risk of wildfire danger. There would be no increase in unexplodedordnance within the Memorial, although unexploded ordnance from previous fireworks displays
- 1477 would persist within the Memorial, posing a potential risk to visitors.

1478 3.5.3.1 Cumulative Impacts

1479 Past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions that would contribute to cumulative

1480 effects under the no action alternative would be similar to the preferred alternative; however, in

- 1481 the absence of a fireworks event in 2020, no additional health and safety impacts on visitors and
- 1482 Memorial staff would occur. Unexploded ordnance and debris from previous fireworks events
- 1483 would persist, which poses a risk to visitor and Memorial staff safety.

1484 **3.6 Cultural Resources**

1485 The NPS has defined the area of potential effect for cultural resources as the boundaries of the

- 1486 Memorial or about 1,278 acres within the Black Hills of South Dakota. The Memorial was
- 1487 established in 1925 to commemorate democratic ideals and institutions and to memorialize four
- 1488 presidents who best represented those ideals Washington, Jefferson, Lincoln, and Roosevelt.
- 1489 The sculpture was the idea of Doane Robinson, State Historian for South Dakota, who originally
- 1490 considered constructing the sculpture in Custer State Park. However, Danish-American Gutzon
- Borglum selected Mount Rushmore and led the planning and construction of the sculpture.
 Planning and execution of the sculpture took place from 1925 to 1941 (Paula S. Reed & Associates)
- Planning and execution of the sculpture took place from 1925 to 1941 (Paula S. Reed & Associates
 2016). Much of the Memorial's infrastructure was built by the Civilian Conservation Corps in the
- 1494 late 1930s and early 1940s.

1495 The period of significance for the cultural resources of the Memorial extends from 3,500 BC to

- 1496 AD 1967 in recognition of the precontact archeological resources within the Memorial through
- 1497 the Mission 66 era (Historic Resources Group, Inc. 2013). Periods of significance include
- sculpture construction (1925 1941), Mission 66 program era (1956 1966), and Parkscape USA
- 1499 program (1967 1972) (Carr et al. 2015).

1500 **3.6.1** Current General Conditions – Cultural Resources

1501 3.6.1.1 Mount Rushmore Historic District and Cultural Landscape

1502 The Memorial's historic district was administratively nominated for listing in the National

- 1503 Register of Historic Places in 1966, affirmed for listing in 1984 under Criterion A (American
- values and federal work programs), Criterion B (Gutzon Borglum), Criterion C (work of a master
- and rustic and modern architecture), and Criterion D (archeological data) (NPS 1966, 1984). The
- 1506 historic district was updated in 2013 to encompass the entire Memorial. The 2013 nomination
- 1507 update increased the number of contributing resources from 8 to 33 (Historic Resources Group,
- 1508 Inc. 2013).

- 1509 Contributing buildings, structures, and objects include the original eight nominated in 1984:
- 1510 Shrine of Democracy sculpture, Hall of Records, Sculptor's Studio, residence, lift platform,
- 1511 historic compressor (object), two water reservoirs (one added in 2013), and stairways. Buildings,
- 1512 structures, sites, and objects listed under the 2013 updated nomination include an apartment
- building for Memorial personnel, a maintenance garage, the Flank restroom, a residence garage, a
- talus slope of sculpture debris below the sculptures, Observation Point (and associated path), Iron
 Mountain Road, South Dakota Highway 244, Profile view pull-off, service roads, stone culverts,
- 1515 Mountain Road, South Dakota Highway 244, Profile view pull-off, service roads, stone culverts, 1516 stone retaining walls, curbing and steps, a guard shack, and the Borglum Memorial View Terrace.
- 1516 Stone retaining wans, curbing and steps, a guard shack, and the borgium Memorial View Terrace 1517 Contributing objects include sculpture tools and equipment, searchlights, and telephone poles.
- 1518 Twenty-four buildings and structures are noncontributing to the historic district.
- 1519 A Cultural Landscape Inventory was conducted in 2014, which identified Spatial Organization,
- 1520 Natural Systems and Features, Cultural Traditions, Circulation, Vegetation, Topography,
- 1521 Buildings & Structures, Small Scale Features, and Views & Vistas as contributing characteristics of
- 1522 the landscape (NPS 2014). The landscape is significant for its preservation of natural resources
- and the natural setting of the Black Hills. Exposed granite that forms the raw material for the
- sculptures, rugged terrain, and old growth ponderosa pine are integral components of the natural
- 1525 setting. The vegetation is particularly significant to the setting and feeling. Ponderosa pine was
- 1526 planted in the 1960s to better frame the view toward the sculptures and to screen the talus slope
- 1527 below the sculptures. Old growth ponderosa pine is now extremely rare for the Black Hills (see
- 1528 Section 3.3, Wildfire).
- 1529 The cultural landscape is also significant for its association with numerous Native American
- 1530 tribes, most notably with the Lakota Sioux who occupied the Black Hills at the time of Euro-
- 1531 American arrival. By the time of Euro-American entry into the area, the Lakota had occupied the
- 1532 Black Hills (or *paha sapa*) since the end of the 18th century. The Black Hills are the "heart of
- 1533 everything that is" (*Wamakaognaka E'Cante*) to the Lakota and is the dwelling place of the Great
- 1534 Spirit (*Wakan Tanka*). The 1868 Treaty of Fort Laramie signed between the federal government
- and the Sioux tribes established a reservation of 26 million acres, including the Black Hills, but
- 1536 persistent encroachment by white settlers slowly reduced the size of the reservation, eventually
- 1537 excluding the Black Hills. The significance of the Black Hills to tribes cannot be overstated,
- especially considering that the American Indian Movement of the 1970s was focused on the Black
- 1539 Hills (NPS 2014). Today, 13 sovereign tribal nations are associated with the Memorial.

1540 3.6.1.2 Archeological Resources

- 1541 The updated 2013 historic district nomination includes six significant archeological sites,
- 1542 including two precontact Native American artifact scatters, two mining-related sites, and
- 1543 segments of the Horse Thief Lake Road (replaced by SD 244) (Historic Resources Group 2013).
- 1544 The updated nomination also identifies a general area original to the carving and early tourism
- 1545 period (referred to as the visitor core) that requires further study to determine what is original
- and what was adaptively reused by the NPS. Cultural resource data provided by the Midwest
- 1547 Archeological Center identify a total of 34 archeological resources within the Memorial
- 1548 boundary. Of these, only two archeological sites date from the precontact period; the remaining
- sites are historical and predominantly mining related. The number of significant archeological
- resources is comparatively few given the size of the Memorial and when compared to the
- 1551 surrounding region (Rom et al. 1996).

1552 **3.6.2** Impacts on Cultural Resources of Alternative 1

1553 The preferred alternative has the potential to cause both direct and indirect impacts on

- 1554 contributing resources to the historic district and cultural landscape. Short-term impacts would
- 1555 occur on the Hall of Records from construction of a platform from which the fireworks mortars
- 1556 would be launched from within the canyon leading to the Hall of Records. Permanent impacts
- 1557 would occur from the anchoring used to secure the platform to the canyon wall. The fireworks
- show would lead to the deposition of unexploded ordnance and mortar debris within the fallout zone, causing adverse impacts on the historic district and cultural landscape. Although it would
- 1560 be the responsibility of the fireworks contractor to remove unexploded ordnance and fireworks
- debris from the fallout zone, the rugged topography of the area would preclude complete
- 1562 recovery of unexploded ordnance and debris. Unexploded ordnance fallout and fireworks
- 1563 malfunction have the potential to cause impacts on buildings, structures, and the cultural
- 1564 landscape from burn marks and scorching. A fireworks malfunction within the Hall of Records
- 1565 Canyon could cause adverse impacts on the walls and the entrance to the Hall of Records.
- Access and staging for the fireworks show would cause short-term impacts on the culturallandscape.
- 1568 Impacts on the historic district and cultural landscape could occur from unexploded ordnance
- 1569 fallout, the ignition of wildfires, and the subsequent response to contain and extinguish the
- 1570 wildfire. Wildfire has the potential to impact contributing resources to the historic district,
- 1571 especially combustible resources such as buildings, and to adversely impact the cultural
- 1572 landscape, including vegetation, natural systems, views and vistas, and cultural traditions.
- 1573 Wildfire response could require overland access by brush trucks and the excavation of hand lines
- to contain the fire. See Section 3.3, Wildfire for additional information on the impacts of wildfire.
- 1575 The few significant archeological resources within the Memorial would not likely be impacted by
- 1576 fireworks directly, and likely would not be adversely affected by wildfire or wildfire response.
- 1577 These few resources would be avoidable using specific response measures, including construction
- 1578 of hand lines rather than using large mechanized equipment (see Appendix B).
- 1579 3.6.2.1 Cumulative Impacts
- 1580 Past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions include past fireworks shows (1998 to
- 1581 2001 and 2003 to 2009) that have left unexploded ordnance and debris across the historic district
- and cultural landscape. Much of the past unexploded ordnance and debris has fallen on the talus
- 1583 slope, a contributing resource to the historic district and cultural landscape. Past fireworks events
- have left burn marks on top of the sculpture. The preferred alternative would result in additional
- 1585 unexploded ordnance and debris on the landscape. MPB infestation has already led to the loss of
- 1586 ponderosa pine forest, increasing the risk of wildfire and the loss of vegetation that contributes to
- 1587 the cultural landscape (see Section 3.3, Wildfire). Impacts from previous displays would persist,
- 1588 including burn marks on the top of the sculpture.

1589 **3.6.3** Impacts on Cultural Resources of Alternative 2

- 1590 Under the no action alternative, the Independence Day celebration at the Memorial would not
- include a fireworks display and would be similar to recent years with various performances and
- 1592 activities in the amphitheater. There would be no additional impacts on cultural resources from
- 1593 unexploded ordnance or fireworks debris, or additional risk of wildfire from a fireworks display.
- 1594 Impacts from previous displays would persist, including burn marks on the top of the sculpture.

INTERNAL DRAFT EA

1595 3.6.3.1 Cumulative Impacts

- 1596 Past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions that would contribute to cumulative
- 1597 effects under the no action alternative would be similar to the preferred alternative; however, in
- 1598 the absence of a fireworks display in 2020, no additional unexploded ordnance or debris or other
- 1599 cumulative effects on cultural resources would occur.

1600 Chapter 4. Consultation and Coordination

- 1601 Personnel from the NPS Regional Office (Department of the Interior Unified Regions 3, 4, and 5);
- 1602 Mount Rushmore National Memorial; and the Environmental Quality Division were involved
- 1603 with the preparation of this EA. The NPS also coordinated with the following agencies and
- 1604 American Indian tribes for preparation of this EA:
- 1605 Black Hills National Forest
- 1606 Crow Creek Sioux Tribe
- 1607 Fort Peck Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes
- 1608 He Sapa Pow Wow
- 1609 Lower Brule Sioux Tribe
- 1610 Northern Arapaho
- 1611 Northern Cheyenne Tribe
- 1612 Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate
- 1613South Dakota Secretary of Tribal Relations
- 1614 South Dakota State Historic Preservation Office
- 1615 State of South Dakota Governor's Office
- 1616 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- 1617 U.S. Forest Service
- 1618 U.S. Geological Survey
- 1619 The Memorial held a television interview on July 1, 2019 and visitor outreach has been occurring
- since July 2019. A press release was issued on XX, 2020 to announce availability of the EA for a
- 1621 30-day public review period. Approximately XX comments were received.

1622 Chapter 5. List of Preparers and Contributors

- 1623 National Park Service, Mount Rushmore National Memorial
- 1624 National Park Service, Environmental Quality Division
- 1625 U.S. Department of the Interior, Unified Regions 3, 4, and 5
- 1626 ERO Resources Corporation

Chapter 6. References

- Acevedo-Barrios, R., C. Sabater-Marco, and J. Olivero-Verbel. 2017. Ecotoxicological assessment
 of perchlorate using in vitro and in vivo assays. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*(2018) 25:13697–13708. In: Chen H.X., M.H. Ding, Q. Liu, and K.L. Peng. 2014. Change of
 iodine load and thyroid homeostasis induced by ammonium perchlorate in rats. *J Huazhong Univ Sci Technol (Med Sci)* 34(5):672–678.
- Arno, S.F. 2000. Chapter 5. Fire in Western Forest Ecosystems. In: J.K. Brown and J.K. Smith
 (eds.), pp. 97-120, Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. USDA Forest Service,
 General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-42-vol 2.
 https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr042_2.pdf.
- Brown, J.K. and J.K. Smith. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. General
 Technical Report RMRS-GTR-42, Volume 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture,
 Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 pp.
- 1640 Carmago, J.A., A. Alonso, and A. Salamanca. 2005. Nitrate toxicity to aquatic animals: a review
 1641 with new data for freshwater invertebrates. *Chemosphere* 58:1255-1267.
- 1642 Carr, E., E. Jackson-Retondo, and L. Waren. 2015. National Register of Historic Places Multiple
 1643 Property Documentation Form. National Park Service Mission 66 Era Resources. Prepared by
 1644 the National Park Service. On file at the Office of Archeology and Historic Preservation,
 1645 Denver.
- 1646 Carroll, Franklin O. 2016. Wildfire Impact Anlaysis of the Oil Creek Fire. Unpublished.Carroll. F.
 1647 2019. Personal observation from Frank Carroll.
- 1648 Chen H.X., M.H. Ding, Q. Liu, and K.L. Peng. 2014. Change of iodine load and thyroid
 1649 homeostasis induced by ammonium perchlorate in rats. *J Huazhong Univ Sci Technol (Med Sci)*1650 34(5):672–678.
- 1651 Eck, W.S. 2015. Wildlife Toxicity Assessment for Perchlorate. In: M.A. Williams. G. Reddy, M.J.
 1652 Quinn, and M.S. Johnson (eds.). Wildlife Toxicity Assessments for Chemicals of Military Concern
 1653 (655 pp.). Waltham, MA: Elsevier.
- Gholamian F., M.A. Sheikh-Mohseni, and M. Salavati-Niasari. 2011. Highly selective
 determination of perchlorate by a novel potentiometric sensor based on a synthesized complex
 of copper. *Mater Sci Eng*, C 31(8):1688–1691. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2011.07.017.
- Graham, R.T., L.A. Asherin, M.A. Battaglia, T.B. Jain, and S.A. Mata. 2016. Mountain Pine Beetles:
 A Century of Knowledge, Control Attempts, and Impacts Central to the Black Hills. General
 Technical Report RMRS-GTR-353. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest
 Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 193 pp.
- 1661 https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr353.pdf.

1627

- Historic Resources Group, Inc. 2013. National Register of Historic Places Registration Form.
 Prepared by M.D. Gengler and L. Sargent. July.
- Jomaa B., S.A. Hermsen, M.Y. Kessels, J.H.J. van den Berg, A.C. Peijnenburg, J.M. Aarts, A.H.
 Piersma, and I.M. Rietjens. 2014. Development toxicity of thyroid-active compounds in a
 zebrafish embryotoxicity test. Altex.

- Lanno, R.P. and D.G. Dixon. 1996. The comparative chronic toxicity of thiocyanate and cyanide
 to rainbow trout. *Aquatic Toxicology* 36:(3-4)177-187.
- Leung, A.M., E.N. Pearce, and L.E. Braverman. 2014. Environmental perchlorate exposure:
 potential adverse thyroid effects. *Current Opinion in Endocrinology, Diabetes and Obesity* 21(5).
 October.
- Lumen A., D.R. Mattie, and J.W. Fisher. 2013. Evaluation of perturbations in serum thyroid
 hormones during human pregnancy due to dietary iodide and perchlorate exposure using a
 biologically based dose-response model. *Toxicology Science* 133(2):320–341.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kft078.
- Marriott, H. and C. Mayer. 2005. Report on Floristic Inventories of Lafferty Gulch and Starling
 Basin, Mount Rushmore National Memorial, South Dakota. T&E Leedy's
 roserootNarumalani, S., G.D. Wilson, C.K. Lockert, and P.B. Merani. 2009. Mount Rushmore
 National Memorial Condition Assessment NPS/ NRPC/ WRD/NRR 2009/115. Great Plains
- 1680 Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Unit, University of Nebraska-Lincoln. June.
- 1681 http://npshistory.com/publications/moru/nrr-2009-115.pdf.
- 1682 National Park Service (NPS). 1966. National Register of Historic Places Inventory Nomination
 1683 Form. Prepared by M.S. Lindberg. November.
- 1684 National Park Service (NPS). 1984. National Register of Historic Places Inventory Form.
- 1685 National Park Service (NPS). 2006. NPS Management Policies.
 <u>https://www.nps.gov/policy/MP_2006.pdf</u>
- 1687 National Park Service (NPS). 2002. Inventories of Vascular Plants and Vertebrates Study Plan
 1688 FY 2001-2004. Northern Great Plains Network. April.
- 1689 National Park Service (NPS). 2008. Series: National Park Service Geodiversity Atlas.
 1690 https://www.nps.gov/articles/series.htm?id=E6B9E368-1DD8-B71B-0B63AA49B7091501.
- 1691 National Park Service (NPS). 2014. Cultural Landscapes Inventory Mount Rushmore National1692 Memorial.
- 1693 National Park Service (NPS). 2016. Soils Mount Rushmore National Memorial South Dakota.
 1694 Available at: https://www.nps.gov/moru/learn/nature/soils.htm
- 1695 National Park Service (NPS). 2018. NPS Protocol for the Selection and Use of Ecological
 1696 Screening Values for Non-Radiological Analytes. Prepared by: National Park Service
 1697 Contaminants Cleanup Branch (CCB) with technical support from: CDM Smith, Denver,
 1698 Colorado. Revision 3. November.
- 1699 National Park Service (NPS). 2019. Prescribed Burn Plan for the Presidential Trail and Xanterra.
 1700 Mount Rushmore National Memorial. October 2.
- Neary, D.G., Ryan, K.C., and L.F. DeBano. 2008. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on
 soils and water. General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-42, Volume 4. Ogden, UT. U.S.
 Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 102 p. Available
 at: https://www.firescience.gov/projects/98-S-01/project/Soil and Water.pdf
- Panjabi, A. 2006. Bird Inventories and Monitoring on National Park Service Units in the Northern
 Great Plains, 2002-2004. Rocky Mountain Bird Observatory, Brighton, Colorado. 68 pp.

Park, D., K.L. Freel, K.D. Daniels, and C.R. Propper. 2016. Interactions between a small chronic increase in diel water temperature and exposure to a common environmental contaminant on

- development of Arizona tiger salamander larvae. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*238:69-77.
- Paula S. Reed & Associates. 2016. Shrine of Democracy and Sacred Stone Historic Resource
 Study Mount Rushmore National Memorial South Dakota. Prepared by P.S. Reed and E.B.
 Wallace.
- PB Energy Storage Services, Inc. (PB Energy). 2011. Common Chemical Compounds Found in
 Commercial Fireworks. March 28.
- Pence, M. and T. Zimmerman. 2011. The Wildland Fire Decision Support System: Integrating
 Science, Technology, and Fire Management. Fire Management Today.
 https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs_other/rmrs_2011_pence_m001.pdf.
- Pleus, R.C. and L.M. Corey. 2018. Environmental exposure to perchlorate: A review of toxicology
 and human health. *Toxicology and Applied Paramacology* 358:102-109.
- Poluga, S.L., A. Shakoor, and E.L. Bilderback. 2018. Rock Mass Characterization and Stability
 Evaluation of Mount Rushmore National Memorial, Keystone, South Dakota. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience* 24(4):385-412.
- 1724 Rom, L., T. Church, and M. Church. 1996. Black Hills National Forest Cultural Resources
 1725 Overview. Volume 1 Synthetic Summary. Prepared for the USDA Forest Service, Black Hills
 1726 National Forest, Custer, South Dakota.
- Rust, J. 2006. Establishing Baseline Data for Aquatic Resources in National Parks of the Northern
 Great Plains Network. Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
 degree of Masters of Science, South Dakota State University.
- Shepperd, W.D. and M.A. Battaglia. 2002. Ecology, Siliviculture, and Management of Black Hills
 Ponderosa Pine. General Technical Report RMRS-GTR-97. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department
 Arrigulture Equation Report Service Report Station 112 pr
- 1732 of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 112 pp.
- 1733 https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr097.pdf.
- Srinivasan. A. and T. Viraraghavan. 2009. Perchlorate: Health Effects and Technologies for Its
 Removal from Water Resources. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2009(6):1418-1442.
- Symstad AJ, Bynum M. 2005. The extent and significance of old-growth ponderosa pine forest at
 Mount Rushmore National Memorial. Keystone, South Dakota: U.S. Geological Survey
 internal report. Tarone, R.E., L. Lipworth, and J.K. McLaughlin. 2010. The Epidemiology of
 Environmental Perchlorate Exposure and Thyroid Function: A Comprehensive Review. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 52(6). June.
- Tonacchera, M., A. Pinchera, A. Dimida, E. Ferrarini, P. Agretti, P. Vitti, F. Santini, K. Crump, and
 J. Gibbs. 2004. Relative Potencies and Additivity of Perchlorate, Thiocyanate, Nitrate, and
 Iodine on the Human Inhibition of Radioactive Iodine Uptake by the Human Sodium Iodide
 Symporter. *Thyroid* 14(12).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (USFS). 2006. Black Hills National Forest Land
 Management Plan. Rocky Mountain Region. 1997 Revision Phase II Amendment.
 https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/fseprd592921.pdf.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2019a. Perchlorate in Drinking Water, Drinking
 Water Contaminants Standards and Regulations.
- 1750 <u>https://www.epa.gov/dwstandardsregulations/perchlorate-drinking-water</u>. Last accessed
- 1751 November 12, 2019.

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2019b. National Primary Drinking Water
 Regulations. <u>https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/national-primary-</u>
 <u>drinking-water-regulations</u>. Last accessed November 18, 2019.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).2019c. Toxicity Profiles: a brief discussion of the
 general fate and transport processes associated with selected groups of Contaminants of
 Potential Ecological Concern.
- 1758 https://archive.epa.gov/reg5sfun/ecology/web/html/toxprofiles.html.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2019. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants;
 4(d) Rule for the Northern Long-Eared Bat. Volume 81. No. 9. 50 CFR Part 17.
- https://www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered/mammals/nleb/pdf/FRnlebFinal4dRule14Jan2016.
 pdf. Last updated December 2, 2019.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2016a. Monitoring the Impacts of the Rim Fire on Tuolumne
 River Water Quality. https://www.usgs.gov/centers/ca-water/science/monitoring-impacts-rim fire-tuolumne-river-water-quality?qt-science center objects=0#qt-science center objects.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2016b. Perchlorate and Selected Metals in Water and Soil within
 Mount Rushmore National Memorial, South Dakota, 2011-15. Scientific Investigations Report
 2016–5030.
- Weeth & Associates, LLC (Weeth). 2019. Mount Rushmore (MORU) Fireworks & Pyrotechnics
 Code & Best Practices Evaluation. November.
- White, R.G., W.G. Gould, and W.P. Dwyer. 2002. Fish Inventories of Five Parks in the NorthernGreat Plains Network. February 12.
- Wilkin, R.T., D.F. Dennis, and N.G. Burnett. 2007. Perchlorate Behavior in a Municipal Lake
 Following Fireworks Displays. *Journal of Environmental Science & Technology* 41(11):39663971.
- Wright, H.A. and A.W. Bailey. 1982. Fire Ecology: United States and Southern Canada. Wiley &
 Sons. 501 pp.

Chapter 7. Appendices

2

1

Appendix A. Wildland Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) Materials

3 Introduction

- 4 The Wildland Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) is an integrated computer model (model)
- 5 used to approximate where a potential wildfire caused by fireworks debris would burn if the
- 6 wildfire escaped initial containment and suppression activities. The model was used to simulate
- fires resulting from the fireworks displays in three representative years: a dry year in 2012, a
 normal precipitation year in 2013, and a wet year in 2018. The model uses weather analysis and
- 9 fire behavior prediction data that provide information on fire season duration, fire size
- 10 probabilities, fire spread paths and fire short-term arrival times, fire danger forecasts, and
- 11 economic impact assessments. The model helped to identify the wildfire analysis area for
- 12 analyzing the impact assessments. The model helped to identify the whence analysis area for 12 analyzing the impacts of wildfire for Alternative 1 (the preferred alternative) and assess the
- 13 potential wildfire risks and impacts as a result of the preferred alternative.

14 WFDSS Assumptions

- 15 The following is a summary of the assumptions used in the WFDSS.
- 16 Potential fire ignitions were modeled after wildfire ignitions from fireworks displays from 2000 to
- 17 2009. The three-day Fire Spread Probability (FSPro) Model used Mark Finney's protocols for
- 18 tree-crown fire initiation, and the three years representative of various weather and fuels
- 19 conditions. Modeling runs were unedited to take into account the recent mountain pine beetle
- 20 mortality in dead and down pockets of combustible vegetation in the area or recent fuel
- 21 treatments. The runs are approximately representative of a wildfire occurring from July 3rd to
- 22 July 5th using well above average burning conditions. The model assumed no fire suppression
- 23 action was taken.

24 WFDSS Results

25 **7.1.1 2012** Dry Year

- 26 Figure A1 (also shown in Figure 3 of the EA) illustrates the potential escaped fire area from an
- 27 ignition in Starling Basin on July 3 in a dry year resulting from mortar shrapnel or unexploded
- 28 ordnance in the fallout zone. Figure A1 is a gross model depiction of relative fire sizes and is for
- 29 illustration only.

		244 Ton Norman
	Hall of Record	S Canyon
Mount Rushmore National Memorial Bound Fire Spread Probability 80-100% 60-79% 40-59%	dary 20-39% 5-19% 0.2-4.9% <0.2%	Figure 6 WFDSS Wildfire Spread Probability
Service Layer Credits: Esri, HERE, Garmin, © OpenStreetMap contributor	0 0.3 0.6 Miles	Prepared for: National Park Service File: 1079 Figure 6 WFDSS Wildfire Spread Probability .mxd [dH] December 18, 2019

- Service Layer Credits: Esri, HERE, Garmin, © OpenStreetMap contributors Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User C
- 2 Figure A1. WFDSS 2012 Dry Year Wildfire Spread Probability
- 3

1 Figure A2 displays the Energy Release Component (ERC) for the year from the WFDSS model.

2 The ERC is around 60 (90th percentile) on July 3 in the representative model. ERCs above the

3 90th percentile could be added to the Go/No-Go decision matrix for similar events in subsequent

4 years, if permitted by the Memorial. The ERC reflects fuel moisture changes to dead and live fuel

5 components.



7 Figure A2. Energy Release Component for WFDSS 2012 Dry Year

8

6

- 9 Below is the full WFDSS 2012 Analysis Report.
- 10



National Preparedness Level: 2 Incident: MORU Test

Intelligence Data Management

Analysis Report

Incident Information

Return

Incident Information	
NAME	VALUE
Incident Name	MORU Test
Unique Fire Identifier	2019-SDMRP-190001
Responsible Unit Name	Mount Rushmore National Monument
FireCode	
P-Code	
Point of Origin	43.87917 N / 103.45917 W
Incident Size	0.1 acres
Latest Perimeter Size	0 acres
Incident Cause	Unknown
Incident Type	Wildfire
Incident Discovery	07/03/2019 22:00
Contained	
Controlled	
Out	
Jurisdictional Unit	SDMRP - Mount Rushmore National Monument
Jurisdictional Agency(s)	NPS, USFS
Geographic Area (prep level)	Rocky Mountain (2)
Owner Name(s)	Al Stover

Analysis Information

Return

FSPro	Information	Annotations
1 01 10	mormadon	/

Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 12:5	Stover, Al	Ignition was modeled as a single point in Starling Basin that could represent either a misfire during the display or a spot fire from an existing wildfire start

FSPro General Information

NAME	VALUE
Analysis Name	MORU Test 2012 Starling Basin
Analyst Name	Stover, Al
Completed	11/06/2019 13:04
Analysis Status	Complete
Analysis Start	07/03/2012
Duration	3 days
Simulations	2000 fires
Crown Fire Method	Finney (1998)
Ignition	MORU Test 2
Barrier	No barrier specified
ERC Station	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Winds Station	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Landscape Resolution	30 meters

FSPro Analysis 'MORU Test 2012 Starling Basin'

Time (CST)	User	Note
11/06/2019 13:04	Stover, Al	This 3 day FSPro Model uses Finney for crown fire initiation and 2012 weather. Fuels were not edited to take into account recent beetle kill/dead and down, scattered pockets of burnable vegetation in the developed area or current fuel treatments. Run could be fairly representative of a fire scenario from a single ignition in Starling Basin on July 3rd-5th under well above average burning conditions. The model assumes no suppression action and is intended for planning purposes only.

WFDSS Analysis Report

No contacts exist. History	
Time (CST) Status User Comments	
11/05/2019 12:26:33 Assigned Stover, AI Use 30 meter resolution, 2012 weather and a single ignition in Starling Basin.	
11/05/2019 12:29:31 Queued Stover, Al	
11/05/2019 12:29:33 In Process	
11/05/2019 12:31:01 Processing Results	
11/05/2019 12:31:12 Review	d to take
into account recent beetle kill/dead and down, scattered pockets of burnable vegetation in the develop or current fuel treatments. Run could be fairly representative of a fire scenario from a single ignition in Basin on July 3rd-5th under well above average burning conditions. The model assumes no suppressi action and is intended for planning purposes only.	n Starling sion

FSPro Results

FSPro Fire Size	es
FIRE SIZE	ACRES
Average Size	124
90th Percentile	344
70th Percentile	162
50th Percentile	57
30th Percentile	9 16
10th Percentile	. 4
Largest Fire	926
FSPro Histogra	am



Daily Acres List

Date	Average Acres	Minimum Acres	Maximum Acres	No Burn Days
07/03/2012	20.4	0	269.1	116
07/04/2012	49.2	0.4	423.9	241
07/05/2012	76.3	0.2	888.5	404

FSPro ERC Classes

ERC Classes Annotations

No notes exist.

ERC Station Information

NAME	VALUE
ERC Station Name	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Latitude	43.8778 N
Longitude	103.44946 W
Elevation	5,071 feet
Aspect	Southwest
Position on Slope	Mid-Slope
Average Precipitation	18.00 inches
Forecast Zone	262
Climate Class	2 : Subhumid
Green Up Month/Day	05/24
Grass Type	P : Perennial
Slope Class	2: 26 - 40%
Station Type	4 - NFDRS Satellite

ERC Classes Filter

NAME	VALUE
Year Range	2000 to 2019
Date Range	03/01 to 10/31
Maximum Lag	30 days
Degrees of Fit	9

ERC Classes

WFDSS Analysis Report

ERC	Classes
-----	---------

ŝ										
	%ile	Min ERC	1 Hour	10 Hour	100 Hour	Herb FM	Woody FM	Burn Period	Spot Prob	Delay
	97	69	3.7	4.3	6.3	67.4	92.2	360	0.15	0
	90	61	4.6	5.4	7.7	83.6	106.2	300	0.10	0
	79	54	5.3	6.1	8.8	97.4	118.1	240	0.05	0
	69	49	5.9	6.8	9.9	106.7	126.2	180	0.01	0
	60	45	6.5	7.4	10.9	112.4	131.1	120	0.00	0

ERC Class Burn Days Summary



%ile	Min ERC	Burn Days	%
97	69	513	9
90	61	1290	22
79	54	1715	29
69	49	1119	19
60	45	602	10
		5239	87

761 No-Burn Days (13 %)

2000 fires X 3 non-forecast days = 6000 days

ERC Percentiles

%ile	ERC	1 Hour	10 Hour	100 Hour	1000 Hour	Herb	Woody
100	87.0	3.45	3.87	5.79	7.62	32.36	61.46
99	76.0	3.33	3.90	6.32	9.20	30.66	69.17
98	71.0	3.65	4.45	6.53	9.80	28.60	70.13
97	69.0	4.32	5.01	6.89	9.81	33.42	72.97
96	67.0	4.01	4.84	7.13	10.33	35.59	75.28
95	66.0	4.48	5.28	7.48	10.40	34.48	76.05
94	64.0	4.49	5.30	7.79	10.57	38.09	76.89
93	63.0	4.63	5.41	7.96	10.80	38.45	79.52
92	62.0	4.75	5.35	7.89	11.03	45.35	82.20
91	61.0	5.43	6.10	8.16	10.97	37.52	79.12
90	61.0	5.43	6.10	8.16	10.97	37.52	79.12
89	60.0	4.60	5.40	8.51	11.52	38.71	83.73
88	59.0	5.73	6.43	8.09	11.46	45.94	85.95
87	59.0	5.73	6.43	8.09	11.46	45.94	85.95
86	58.0	4.60	5.52	8.57	12.07	41.97	86.23
85	57.0	6.19	6.81	8.87	11.57	39.70	82.68
84	56.0	5.88	6.63	8.87	12.00	42.51	88.83
83	56.0	5.88	6.63	8.87	12.00	42.51	88.83
82	55.0	5.33	6.19	9.12	12.45	35.69	84.26
81	55.0	5.33	6.19	9.12	12.45	35.69	84.26
80	54.0	5.42	6.28	9.30	12.65	38.43	85.37
79	54.0	5.42	6.28	9.30	12.65	38.43	85.37
78	53.0	5.61	6.47	9.44	12.83	39.43	87.20
77	53.0	5.61	6.47	9.44	12.83	39.43	87.20
76	52.0	5.95	6.78	9.65	12.93	39.52	89.08
75	52.0	5.95	6.78	9.65	12.93	39.52	89.08
74	51.0	6.08	6.90	9.67	13.15	46.73	94.48
73	51.0	6.08	6.90	9.67	13.15	46.73	94.48
72	50.0	5.79	6.72	10.11	13.43	43.34	92.35
71	50.0	5.79	6.72	10.11	13.43	43.34	92.35
70	49.0	6.10	7.03	10.68	13.42	45.06	89.76
69	49.0	6.10	7.03	10.68	13.42	45.06	89.76
68	48.0	6.14	7.15	10.82	13.67	48.64	95.93
67	48.0	6.14	7.15	10.82	13.67	48.64	95.93
66	47.0	6.08	7.05	10.64	14.11	45.98	96.14
65	47.0	6.08	7.05	10.64	14.11	45.98	96.14
64	47.0	6.08	7.05	10.64	14.11	45.98	96.14
63	46.0	6.66	7.77	11.23	14.00	43.90	93.16
62	46.0	6.66	7.77	11.23	14.00	43.90	93.16
61	45.0	6.96	7.77	10.80	14.42	49.53	95.89
60	45.0	6.96	7.77	10.80	14.42	49.53	95.89
59	44.0	6.77	7.73	11.29	14.68	43.83	92.36

ERC Percentiles

%ile	ERC	1 Hour	10 Hour	100 Hour	1000 Hour	Herb	Woody
58	44.0	6.77	7.73	11.29	14.68	43.83	92.36
57	44.0	6.77	7.73	11.29	14.68	43.83	92.36
56	43.0	7.25	8.12	11.64	14.69	51.17	99.97
55	43.0	7.25	8.12	11.64	14.69	51.17	99.97
54	42.0	7.38	8.35	11.79	14.92	51.91	100.92
53	42.0	7.38	8.35	11.79	14.92	51.91	100.92
52	41.0	7.39	8.54	12.46	15.04	45.34	94.99
51	41.0	7.39	8.54	12.46	15.04	45.34	94.99
50	41.0	7.39	8.54	12.46	15.04	45.34	94.99
49	40.0	7.29	8.42	12.14	15.62	47.76	98.09
48	40.0	7.29	8.42	12.14	15.62	47.76	98.09
47	39.0	8.29	9.20	12.25	15.61	44.76	93.55
46	39.0	8.29	9.20	12.25	15.61	44.76	93.55
45	38.0	8.26	9.17	12.65	15.85	44.19	94.53
44	38.0	8.26	9.17	12.65	15.85	44.19	94.53
43	38.0	8.26	9.17	12.65	15.85	44.19	94.53
42	37.0	7.66	8.86	13.00	16.28	46.55	100.17
41	37.0	7.66	8.86	13.00	16.28	46.55	100.17
40	36.0	8.12	9.13	13.38	16.44	41.24	95.03
39	36.0	8.12	9.13	13.38	16.44	41.24	95.03
38	35.0	8.93	10.02	13.63	16.41	41.96	96.46
37	35.0	8.93	10.02	13.63	16.41	41.96	96.46
36	35.0	8.93	10.02	13.63	16.41	41.96	96.46
35	34.0	10.56	11.14	13.87	16.13	47.93	101.88
34	34.0	10.56	11.14	13.87	16.13	47.93	101.88
33	33.0	9.98	10.96	14 18	16 61	48 11	98 35
32	33.0	9.98	10.96	14 18	16.61	48 11	98.35
31	32.0	9.84	10.82	14.36	17.01	48.38	100.86
30	32.0	9.84	10.82	14.36	17.01	48.38	100.86
29	31.0	9.65	11.06	14 71	17.37	44 45	96.69
28	31.0	9.65	11.00	14 71	17.37	44 45	96.69
27	30.0	10.08	11.00	14.80	17.65	50 14	102 20
26	30.0	10.08	11 13	14 80	17.65	50 14	102.20
25	29.0	11 26	11 93	15.04	17.60	49 17	101 71
24	20.0	11.20	11.00	15.04	17.01	49.17	101.71
23	28.0	10.25	11.00	16.01	18.03	49.25	99.73
22	27.0	11 01	12.02	16.24	18.06	58.08	101 59
21	27.0	11.01	12.02	16.24	18.06	58.08	101.50
20	26.0	10.73	11 89	15.68	19.00	57 75	107.00
19	25.0	14 15	14 56	16.08	17.86	67 55	115 55
18	25.0	14.15	14.56	16.08	17.86	67 55	115 55
17	24.0	11 72	12.76	16.88	10.12	62.06	106.28
16	23.0	15 14	15/13	16.73	18.52	58 10	100.20
15	20.0	15.14	15.72	17.03	18.65	71 1/	107.73
1/	22.0	16.40	16.02	17.03	18.66	64.80	106.91
12	21.0	17.29	17.67	17.24	10.00	72 78	115 04
12	10.0	17.20	17.07	18.80	10.12	50.01	00.08
11	18.0	16.04	18.06	10.03	10.12	65.94	110 54
10	17.0	22.38	23 30	17.79	19.09	65.08	08.83
0	15.0	20.66	20.09	10 70	10.44	70 /1	108 15
9	14.0	20.00	21.40	10.72	19.09	58 00	05.40
7	12.0	21.00	21.00	10.70	10 00	60.00	02 76
6	11.0	20.44	21.00	10.91	10.02	62.42	93.10
0	10.0	20.10	20.43	19.43	10.90	72.43	92.00 106.07
C ₄	10.0	29.40	29.01	20.41	19.07	70.00	106.46
4	0.U	20.00	21.02	21.01	20.70	10.93	02.40
3	0.0	29.93	30.01	22.40	20.00	01.93	90.4Z
	3.0	31.90	32.14	23.21	22.07	00.10	91.07
	0.0	35.00	33.00	∠0.54	23.09	00.04	90.07

FSPro ERC Stream

ERC Stream Annotations

Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 13:00	Stover, Al	Used Mt Rushmore RAWS and weather from 2012.

ERC Stream

	Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
	03/01/2012	36.72	15.87	49
	03/02/2012	38.83	13.11	48
	03/03/2012	38.94	14.50	46
	03/04/2012	39.18	17.90	46
	03/05/2012	41.00	15.77	55
	03/06/2012	38.89	17.87	56
	03/07/2012	40.44	15.10	51
	03/08/2012	38.78	16.74	58
	03/09/2012	36.61	18.64	64
	03/10/2012	39.24	19.78	69
	03/11/2012	41.17	17.80	66
	03/12/2012	43.33	16.17	68
	03/13/2012	42.83	17.24	70
	03/14/2012	44.78	15.49	70
	03/15/2012	41.28	15.46	71
	03/16/2012	38.22	17.99	73
	03/17/2012	38.94	17.80	74
	03/18/2012	39.22	17.11	75
	03/19/2012	42.47	17.72	74
	03/20/2012	39.71	17.85	72
	03/21/2012	41.17	16.73	77
	03/22/2012	37.71	16.59	79
	03/23/2012	35.89	16.35	70
	03/24/2012	37.83	18.14	73
	03/25/2012	35.39	16.78	65
	03/26/2012	35.50	13.56	61
	03/27/2012	33.47	17.17	56
	03/28/2012	35.56	17.87	63
	03/29/2012	36.89	16.44	61
	03/30/2012	36.11	17.00	66
	03/31/2012	36.89	16.85	70
	04/01/2012	36.33	18.53	73
	04/02/2012	33.33	20.01	59
	04/03/2012	36.11	19.14	71
	04/04/2012	35.61	21.09	69
	04/05/2012	34.94	21.11	71
	04/06/2012	35.67	18.74	64
	04/07/2012	33.28	18.46	67
	04/08/2012	34.53	19.42	69
	04/09/2012	35.83	19.42	70
	04/10/2012	34.22	18.95	69
	04/11/2012	33.78	18.83	65
	04/12/2012	37.39	17.45	68
	04/13/2012	41.22	17.30	64
	04/14/2012	40.94	13.48	33
	04/15/2012	38.44	14.28	24
	04/16/2012	38.11	16.37	36
	04/17/2012	32.83	16.72	43
	04/18/2012	28.50	16.03	39
	04/19/2012	31.94	9.15	28
	04/20/2012	31.94	17.41	40
	04/21/2012	31.83	12.25	45
	04/22/2012	32.24	10.08	50
	04/23/2012	30.41	10.03	55
	04/25/2012	32.00	10.12	00
	04/20/2012	29.02	16.07	00 51
	04/27/2012	29.00	10.27	17
	04/28/2012	23.00	14.70	26
	04/20/2012	30.44	1/ 22	12
	04/30/2012	30.44	14.00	42 /0
	05/01/2012	32.35	13 53	51
	05/02/2012	33.06	13.70	50
	05/03/2012	32.00	13.60	50
	05/04/2012	33 35	13.00	22
	05/05/2012	30.06	17 53	15
1		50.00		. ~

ERC Stream

	Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
	05/06/2012	33.56	15.16	40
P	05/07/2012	33.11	15.57	45
	05/08/2012	31.28	16.07	48
P	05/09/2012	27.78	17.64	49
P	05/10/2012	27.39	16.20	53
P	05/11/2012	29.94	15.70	54
P	05/12/2012	29.56	17.19	58
Ľ	05/13/2012	33.22	14.74	63
P	05/14/2012	34.61	15.74	66
P	05/15/2012	33.41	15.51	66
P	05/16/2012	34.94	14.36	69
P	05/17/2012	34.35	15.68	66
Ľ	05/18/2012	31.00	19.09	61
Ľ	05/19/2012	32.78	14.45	60
Ľ	05/20/2012	33.44	12.27	60
Ľ	05/21/2012	30.33	13.79	64
Ľ	05/22/2012	30.28	13.89	68
Ľ	05/23/2012	28.72	16.50	43
	05/24/2012	27.28	16.20	21
1	05/25/2012	31.00	13.78	16
1	05/26/2012	28.39	14.35	13
1	05/27/2012	30.44	13.59	35
[05/28/2012	26.11	15.51	39
Ľ	05/29/2012	27.12	14.36	40
Ľ	05/30/2012	29.06	10.20	42
Ľ	05/31/2012	30.39	11.17	39
Ľ	06/01/2012	31.17	11.61	46
Ľ	06/02/2012	28.71	16.20	52
Ľ	06/03/2012	29.11	16.12	49
Ľ	06/04/2012	31.94	14.83	50
Ľ	06/05/2012	29.06	17.68	53
Ľ	06/06/2012	30.28	17.20	20
Ľ	00/07/2012	29.03	10.00	30
Ľ	06/08/2012	29.78	13.33	43
Ľ	06/09/2012	29.72	10.18	40
Ľ	06/10/2012	29.44	15.99	44
Ľ	00/11/2012	31.72	14.62	45
Ľ	00/12/2012	32.22	17.9/	40
Ľ	00/13/2012	35.00	15.42	40
Ľ	06/15/2012	34.33	13.80	52
Ľ	06/16/2012	33.82	1/ 50	50
Ľ	06/17/2012	33.56	15.22	56
Ľ	06/18/2012	33.83	16 70	57
	06/19/2012	32.20	17 46	<u>4</u> 9
	06/20/2012	33 44	14 30	30
	06/21/2012	35.00	15.86	50
	06/22/2012	35.61	14.51	50
	06/23/2012	36.17	18.70	51
	06/24/2012	35.33	18.93	48
	06/25/2012	38 11	15.98	46
	06/26/2012	41 17	15.96	55
	06/27/2012	42.56	14.82	58
	06/28/2012	43.41	14.52	59
	06/29/2012	43.65	14.59	61
	06/30/2012	41.33	14.32	60
	07/01/2012	41.56	13.98	62
	07/02/2012	40.59	14.17	60
	07/03/2012	41.17	13.94	62
	07/04/2012	42.89	13.11	64
	07/05/2012	40.76	15.11	61
	07/06/2012	38.06	12.32	40
	07/07/2012	41.56	10.35	39
	07/08/2012	41.89	12.15	45
	07/09/2012	39.11	12.60	50
	07/10/2012	41.78	13.70	52
	07/11/2012	42.28	15.85	58

ERC Stream

L	Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
L	07/12/2012	44.83	13.61	53
L	07/13/2012	47.28	13.12	61
L	07/14/2012	47.28	15.78	60
L	07/15/2012	50.33	14.64	63
L	07/16/2012	51.28	13.99	67
L	07/17/2012	48.17	16.04	56
L	07/18/2012	49.22	12.76	52
L	07/19/2012	45.94	11.56	59
L	07/20/2012	48.17	12.66	61
L	07/21/2012	49.50	11.37	57
L	07/22/2012	45.17	17.61	18
L	07/23/2012	48.50	15.02	46
L	07/24/2012	48.67	12.89	50
L	07/25/2012	46.59	13.69	43
L	07/26/2012	46.71	11.66	45
L	07/27/2012	44.12	14.13	48
L	07/28/2012	44.59	13.08	48
L	07/29/2012	48.59	12.78	53
	07/30/2012	50.71	12.13	53
	07/31/2012	50.59	11.23	59
L	08/01/2012	51.06	11.89	60
	08/02/2012	49.47	12.49	61
L	08/03/2012	49.12	10.50	55
	08/04/2012	46.06	13.74	59
L	08/05/2012	46.94	13.19	65
	08/06/2012	48.35	11.34	66
L	08/07/2012	45.11	11.68	64
L	08/08/2012	43.82	10.90	64
L	08/09/2012	45.06	10.52	64
L	08/10/2012	46.22	13.73	64
L	08/11/2012	45.18	9.89	59
L	08/12/2012	46.82	13.37	59
L	08/13/2012	46.24	13.09	57
L	08/14/2012	45.24	14.67	56
L	08/15/2012	46.28	14.28	56
L	08/16/2012	47.18	11.95	56
L	08/17/2012	46.12	9.81	60
L	08/18/2012	47.28	10.75	64
L	08/19/2012	46.72	11.03	67
L	08/20/2012	49.22	9.92	68
L	08/21/2012	50.83	10.17	70
L	08/22/2012	51.89	11.13	69
	08/23/2012	53.11	10.77	72
	08/24/2012	50.89	11.95	76
	08/25/2012	52.11	13.11	75
L	08/26/2012	51.06	14.88	73
	08/27/2012	49.72	14.12	76
	08/28/2012	50.67	13.75	78
L	08/29/2012	53.53	10.59	81
	08/30/2012	53.72	10.49	80
	08/31/2012	53.89	15.32	78
L	09/01/2012	55.89	12.06	81
	09/02/2012	58.35	11.14	79
	09/03/2012	58.33	11.77	85
	09/04/2012	57.78	14.91	87
	09/05/2012	56.67	13.15	83
	09/06/2012	55.39	15.90	83
	09/07/2012	53.35	15.59	73
	09/08/2012	52.06	16.43	78
	09/09/2012	50.35	17.19	81
	09/10/2012	53.78	14.24	83
	09/11/2012	49.56	18.14	82
	09/12/2012	47.28	19.37	81
	09/13/2012	45.28	19.19	79
	09/14/2012	43.83	17.93	84
	09/15/2012	47.11	17.81	86
	09/16/2012	49.11	14.22	83

ERC Stream

Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
09/17/2012	48.81	11.02	74
09/18/2012	49.50	13.97	81
09/19/2012	52.53	14.97	82
09/20/2012	52.71	13.80	81
09/21/2012	49.59	13.22	82
09/22/2012	42.41	19.49	81
09/23/2012	45.63	14.20	80
09/24/2012	43.61	17.11	81
09/25/2012	48.94	14.06	83
09/26/2012	48.47	15.53	82
09/27/2012	47.19	16.42	80
09/28/2012	48.50	15.93	76
09/29/2012	49.76	16.05	81
09/30/2012	48.94	19.07	78
10/01/2012	49.71	17.42	77
10/02/2012	45.94	17.35	81
10/03/2012	41.71	18.91	69
10/04/2012	43.59	17.12	70
10/05/2012	41.59	15.02	60
10/06/2012	39.50	16.71	48
10/07/2012	39.00	18.30	58
10/08/2012	35.76	18.65	60
10/09/2012	40.12	15.00	55
10/10/2012	39.29	17.28	63
10/11/2012	37.65	15.23	48
10/12/2012	38.59	17.76	61
10/13/2012	38.47	20.17	65
10/14/2012	43.38	15.17	65
10/15/2012	44.33	14.01	69
10/16/2012	45.06	11.51	66
10/17/2012	45.94	10.71	60
10/18/2012	48.12	10.54	63
10/19/2012	44.22	14.12	66
10/20/2012	43.11	16.79	71
10/21/2012	43.61	14.25	63
10/22/2012	40.84	13.04	51
10/23/2012	44.42	12.20	55
10/24/2012	42.24	12.15	24
10/25/2012	43.22	10.77	23
10/26/2012	44.82	11.21	30
10/27/2012	42.76	15.71	34
10/28/2012	43.72	17.72	39
10/29/2012	40.84	17.87	42
10/30/2012	45.41	14.26	43
10/31/2012	42.32	15.78	50

Weather Forecast Data

No weather forecasts have been saved.

FSPro Winds

Winds Annotations

Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 13:00	Stover, Al	Used Mt Rushmore RAWS ten minute average winds.

Winds Station Information

NAME	VALUE
Winds Station Name	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Latitude	43.8778 N
Longitude	103.44946 W
Elevation	5,071 feet
Aspect	Southwest
Position on Slope	Mid-Slope
Forecast Zone	262
Station Type	4 - NFDRS Satellite

Winds Filter

VALUE
2000 to 2019
06/19 to 07/31
10 to 20
Ten Min Avg
1 mph
1.15
100.01

Winds Matrix

Speed	45	90	135	180	225	270	315	360
3	2.47	3.03	6.46	6.21	2.46	2.20	3.39	2.31
6	4.23	8.99	14.61	7.83	3.08	2.70	3.89	2.10
9	1.71	3.23	2.24	0.37	1.28	1.93	1.51	0.24
12	1.02	1.95	1.67	0.00	0.21	1.28	0.56	0.00
15	0.32	0.69	0.82	0.00	0.01	0.61	0.10	0.00
20	0.20	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.45	0.01	0.00
25	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00
40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00

Station Wind Rose



FSPro Wind Rose
FSPro Wind Rose Inc/Analysis:MORU Test / MORU Test 2012 Starling Basin Station: 392603 MT. RUSHMORE Latitude: 43° 52' 40.1" N Longitude: 103° 26' 58.0" W Elevation: 5071 ft FSPRO Wind Distribution 5239 Non-Forecast Burn Day N 30% 25% Slope Class:2 315 45 20% 15% 10% 5% calm Е W 1.2% MPH 1 - 3 3 - 6 6 - 9 9 - 12 135 225 12 - 15 15 - 20 Years: 2000 - 2019 12 - 13 15 - 20 20 - 25 25 - 30 30 - 35 35 + Annual Filter6/19 thru 7/31 Hours: 10:00 thru 20:00 Ś Winds Type: Ten Min Avg

FSPro Landscape

Landscape Annotat	tions	
Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 12:59	Stover, Al	Used LandFire 2014 1.4.0 at a 30 meter resolution with no fuel edits
Landscape Informa	ition	
NAME	VALUE	
Landscape Source	LANDFIRE	2014 1.4.0
Upper Latitude	43.9317 N	
Lower Latitude	43.8561 N	
Left Longitude	103.5427	N
Right Longitude	103.3642	N
Fuel Model	40	
Resolution	30 meters	
Landscape Editor A	Annotations	
No notes exist.		
Landscape Editor F	Rules	
No Landscape Edit	or Rules exi	st.

Values at Risk

NAME	VALUE
Incident Name	MORU Test
Analysis Name	MORU Test 2012 Starling Basin
Author	Stover, Al
Analyst	Stover, Al
Latitude	43.87917
Longitude	103.45917
Geographical Area	Rocky Mountain
Values Generated Time	11/06/2019 13:05

Values List

Values List

Category	80-100%	60-79%	40-59%	20-39%	5-19%	0.2-4.9%	< 0.2%	Expected Value
Building Clusters: Pennington, SD	no data							
Campgrounds	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0.03
County: Pennington, SD	8 acres	18 acres	72 acres	147 acres	181 acres	476 acres	837 acres	136 acres
Jurisdictional Agency: NPS	8 acres	18 acres	60 acres	51 acres	62 acres	135 acres	342 acres	76.7 acres
Jurisdictional Agency: USFS	0 acres	0 acres	14 acres	98 acres	122 acres	342 acres	424 acres	61.0 acres
Mgmt Req	0 acres	0 acres						0.0 acres
Mgmt Req: MA 1.1A			14 acres	98 acres	122 acres	331 acres	184 acres	60.4 acres
NPS Admin Boundaries: MORU	8 acres	18 acres	60 acres	51 acres	62 acres	135 acres	342 acres	76.7 acres
Natl Recreation Trails	0.0 miles	0.0 miles	0.0 miles	0.0 miles	0.2 miles	1.9 miles	0.2 miles	0.07 miles
Natl Scenic Byways	0.0 miles	0.0 miles	0.0 miles	0.1 miles	0.1 miles	0.3 miles	0.5 miles	0.05 miles
Other Areas: Norbeck Wildlife Preserve	0 acres	0 acres	14 acres	98 acres	122 acres	342 acres	493 acres	61.0 acres
Roads	0.0 miles	0.0 miles	0.0 miles	0.1 miles	0.0 miles	0.3 miles	0.6 miles	0.04 miles
Wilderness: Black Elk Wilderness	0 acres	0 acres	14 acres	98 acres	122 acres	332 acres	184 acres	60.5 acres

Currency/Coverage of Values Reported

Category	Data Source	Currency	Coverage
Building Clusters	US Counties / FGDC Cadastral Subcomm.		Available counties - No data available for Pennington, SD
Campgrounds	BLM (FAMS) and USFS INFRA	6/01/2018	National (BLM and USFS only)
County	US Census Bureau, TIGER/Line	2018	National
Jurisdictional Agency	Various	05/29/2015	National
Mgmt Req		Current	Unit Level
NPS Admin Boundaries	NPS	09/30/2018	National
Natl Recreation Trails	NPS	2/28/2019	National
Natl Scenic Byways	NPS	2/28/2019	National
Other Areas	USGS PADUS 1.4	03/13/2019	National
Roads	NAVTEQ	11/2012	National
Wilderness	Wilderness.net	01/31/2019	National

Coverage of Values Queried that Produced No Results

AK Fire Mgmt Options (Alaska only), Aqua Retardant Avoidance (National (USFS Units only)), BLA Admin Boundaries (National), BLM Buildings (BLM Lands), BLM Horse and Burro (National), BLM Mgmt Requirements (National (BLM Land Only)), BLM Oil / Gas Leases (National), BLM Range Allotments (National), Canada / Mexico (National), Class 1 Airsheds (National), Communication Towers (National), Electric Power Plants (National), Electric Sub Stations (National), Electric Transmission Lines (National), Estimated Population (National), Habitat (National), IRA (National), Mines (National), NRA (National), Natl Historic Trails (National), Natl Scenic Trails (National), Oil and Gas Pipelines (National), Ozone Non-Attainment (National), Particulates Non-Attainment (National), Responsible Agency (AK, CA, ID, MT, NM, MN), Retardant Avoidance (National (USFS Units only)), Sage Grouse Habitat (National), TNC Lands (National), USFS Buildings (National), USFWS Admin Boundaries (National), USFWS Trails (National), WSA (National)

Return

1 7.1.2 2013 Normal Year

2 Figure A3 displays the ERC for 2013 from the WFDSS model. The ERC is around 40 on July 3 in

3 the representative model.



5 Figure A3. Energy Release Component for WFDSS 2013 Normal Year

6

4

7 Below is the full WFDSS 2013 Analysis Report.

8

My Home Incidents Incident Groups Analyses Intelligence Data Management

Analysis Report

Incident Information

Return

Incident Information	
NAME	VALUE
Incident Name	MORU Test
Unique Fire Identifier	2019-SDMRP-190001
Responsible Unit Name	Mount Rushmore National Monument
FireCode	
P-Code	
Point of Origin	43.87917 N / 103.45917 W
Incident Size	0.1 acres
Latest Perimeter Size	0 acres
Incident Cause	Unknown
Incident Type	Wildfire
Incident Discovery	07/03/2019 22:00
Contained	
Controlled	
Out	
Jurisdictional Unit	SDMRP - Mount Rushmore National Monument
Jurisdictional Agency(s)	NPS, USFS
Geographic Area (prep level)	Rocky Mountain (2)
Owner Name(s)	Al Stover

Analysis Information

FSPro Information Annotations

Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 11:54	Stover, Al	Ignitions were modeled after historic wildfire start locations from 2000-2009 fireworks displays.

FSPro General Information

NAME	VALUE
Analysis Name	MORU Test 2013 HighRes
Analyst Name	Stover, Al
Completed	11/06/2019 12:39
Analysis Status	Complete
Analysis Start	07/03/2013
Duration	3 days
Simulations	2000 fires
Crown Fire Method	Finney (1998)
Ignition	MORU Test 1
Barrier	No barrier specified
ERC Station	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Winds Station	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Landscape Resolution	30 meters

FSPro Analysis 'MORU Test 2013 HighRes'

Time (CST)	User	Note
11/06/2019 12:39	Stover, Al	This 3 day FSPro Model uses Finney for crown fire initiation and 2013 weather. Fuels were not edited to take into account recent beetle kill/dead and down, scattered pockets of burnable vegetation in the developed area or current fuel treatments. Run could be fairly representative of a fire scenario July 3rd-5th under near average burning conditions. The model assumes no suppression action and is intended for planning purposes only.

Return

WFDSS Analysis Report



Ignitions

🔶 Point of Origin

Contact Information

No contacts exist.

History

Time (CST)	Status	User	Comments
11/05/2019 11:20:18	Assigned	Stover, Al	Use 10 meter resolution and 2013 weather.
11/05/2019 11:24:00	Queued	Stover, Al	
11/05/2019 11:24:01	In Process		
11/05/2019 11:25:54	Processing Results		
11/05/2019 11:26:12	Review		
11/06/2019 12:39:23	Complete	Stover, Al	This 3 day FSPro Model uses Finney for crown fire initiation and 2013 weather. Fuels were not edited to take into account recent beetle kill/dead and down, scattered pockets of burnable vegetation in the developed area or current fuel treatments. Run could be fairly representative of a fire scenario July 3rd-5th under near average burning conditions. The model assumes no suppression action and is intended for planning purposes only.

FSPro Results

FSPro Fire Size	es
FIRE SIZE	ACRES
Average Size	26
90th Percentile	51
70th Percentile	29
50th Percentile	21
30th Percentile	10
10th Percentile	4
Largest Fire	400
FSPro Histogra	ım

FSPro Histogram



Daily Acres List

Date	Average Acres	Minimum Acres	Maximum Acres	No Burn Days
07/03/2013	12.3	4.4	87.2	734
07/04/2013	12.1	2.9	222.6	888
07/05/2013	17	3.1	247.1	960

FSPro ERC Classes

ERC Classes Annotations

No notes exist.

ERC Station Information

NAME	VALUE
ERC Station Name	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Latitude	43.8778 N
Longitude	103.44946 W
Elevation	5,071 feet
Aspect	Southwest
Position on Slope	Mid-Slope
Average Precipitation	18.00 inches
Forecast Zone	262
Climate Class	2 : Subhumid
Green Up Month/Day	05/24
Grass Type	P : Perennial
Slope Class	2: 26 - 40%
Station Type	4 - NFDRS Satellite

ERC Classes Filter

NAME	VALUE
Year Range	2000 to 2019
Date Range	03/01 to 10/31
Maximum Lag	30 days
Degrees of Fit	9

ERC Classes

ERC Classes

4										
	%ile	Min ERC	1 Hour	10 Hour	100 Hour	Herb FM	Woody FM	Burn Period	Spot Prob	Delay
	97	69	3.7	4.3	6.3	67.4	92.2	360	0.15	0
	90	61	4.6	5.4	7.7	83.6	106.2	300	0.10	0
	79	54	5.3	6.1	8.8	97.4	118.1	240	0.05	0
	69	49	5.9	6.8	9.9	106.7	126.2	180	0.01	0
	60	45	6.5	7.4	10.9	112.4	131.1	120	0.00	0

ERC Class Burn Days Summary



%ile	Min ERC	Burn Days	%
97	69	49	1
90	61	345	6
79	54	915	15
69	49	1092	18
60	45	1017	17
		3418	57

2582 No-Burn Days (43 %)

2000 fires X 3 non-forecast days = 6000 days

ERC Percentiles

%ile	ERC	1 Hour	10 Hour	100 Hour	1000 Hour	Herb	Woody
100	87.0	3.45	3.87	5.79	7.62	32.36	61.46
99	76.0	3.33	3.90	6.32	9.20	30.66	69.17
98	71.0	3.65	4.45	6.53	9.80	28.60	70.13
97	69.0	4.32	5.01	6.89	9.81	33.42	72.97
96	67.0	4.01	4.84	7.13	10.33	35.59	75.28
95	66.0	4.48	5.28	7.48	10.40	34.48	76.05
94	64.0	4.49	5.30	7.79	10.57	38.09	76.89
93	63.0	4.63	5.41	7.96	10.80	38.45	79.52
92	62.0	4.75	5.35	7.89	11.03	45.35	82.20
91	61.0	5.43	6.10	8.16	10.97	37.52	79.12
90	61.0	5.43	6.10	8.16	10.97	37.52	79.12
89	60.0	4.60	5.40	8.51	11.52	38.71	83.73
88	59.0	5.73	6.43	8.09	11.46	45.94	85.95
87	59.0	5.73	6.43	8.09	11.46	45.94	85.95
86	58.0	4.60	5.52	8.57	12.07	41.97	86.23
85	57.0	6.19	6.81	8.87	11.57	39.70	82.68
84	56.0	5.88	6.63	8.87	12.00	42.51	88.83
83	56.0	5.88	6.63	8.87	12.00	42.51	88.83
82	55.0	5.33	6.19	9.12	12.45	35.69	84.26
81	55.0	5.33	6.19	9.12	12.45	35.69	84.26
80	54.0	5.42	6.28	9.30	12.65	38.43	85.37
79	54.0	5.42	6.28	9.30	12.65	38.43	85.37
78	53.0	5.61	6.47	9.44	12.83	39.43	87.20
77	53.0	5.61	6.47	9.44	12.83	39.43	87.20
76	52.0	5.95	6.78	9.65	12.93	39.52	89.08
75	52.0	5.95	6.78	9.65	12.93	39.52	89.08
74	51.0	6.08	6.90	9.67	13.15	46.73	94.48
73	51.0	6.08	6.90	9.67	13.15	46.73	94.48
72	50.0	5.79	6.72	10.11	13.43	43.34	92.35
71	50.0	5.79	6.72	10.11	13.43	43.34	92.35
70	49.0	6.10	7.03	10.68	13.42	45.06	89.76
69	49.0	6.10	7.03	10.68	13.42	45.06	89.76
68	48.0	6.14	7.15	10.82	13.67	48.64	95.93
67	48.0	6.14	7.15	10.82	13.67	48.64	95.93
66	47.0	6.08	7.05	10.64	14.11	45.98	96.14
65	47.0	6.08	7.05	10.64	14.11	45.98	96.14
64	47.0	6.08	7.05	10.64	14.11	45.98	96.14
63	46.0	6.66	7.77	11.23	14.00	43.90	93.16
62	46.0	6.66	7.77	11.23	14.00	43.90	93.16
61	45.0	6.96	7.77	10.80	14.42	49.53	95.89
60	45.0	6.96	7.77	10.80	14.42	49.53	95.89
59	44.0	6.77	7.73	11.29	14.68	43.83	92.36

ERC Percentiles

%ile	ERC	1 Hour	10 Hour	100 Hour	1000 Hour	Herb	Woody
58	44.0	6.77	7.73	11.29	14.68	43.83	92.36
57	44.0	6.77	7.73	11.29	14.68	43.83	92.36
56	43.0	7.25	8.12	11.64	14.69	51.17	99.97
55	43.0	7.25	8.12	11.64	14.69	51.17	99.97
54	42.0	7.38	8.35	11.79	14.92	51.91	100.92
53	42.0	7.38	8.35	11.79	14.92	51.91	100.92
52	41.0	7.39	8.54	12.46	15.04	45.34	94.99
51	41.0	7.39	8.54	12.46	15.04	45.34	94.99
50	41.0	7.39	8.54	12.46	15.04	45.34	94.99
49	40.0	7.29	8.42	12.14	15.62	47.76	98.09
48	40.0	7.29	8.42	12.14	15.62	47.76	98.09
47	39.0	8.29	9.20	12.25	15.61	44.76	93.55
46	39.0	8.29	9.20	12.25	15.61	44.76	93.55
45	38.0	8.26	9.17	12.65	15.85	44.19	94.53
44	38.0	8.26	9.17	12.65	15.85	44.19	94.53
43	38.0	8.26	9.17	12.65	15.85	44.19	94.53
42	37.0	7.66	8.86	13.00	16.28	46.55	100.17
41	37.0	7.66	8.86	13.00	16.28	46.55	100.17
40	36.0	8.12	9.13	13.38	16.44	41.24	95.03
39	36.0	8.12	9.13	13.38	16.44	41.24	95.03
38	35.0	8.93	10.02	13.63	16.41	41.96	96.46
37	35.0	8.93	10.02	13.63	16.41	41.96	96.46
36	35.0	8.93	10.02	13.63	16.41	41.96	96.46
35	34.0	10.56	11.14	13.87	16.13	47.93	101.88
34	34.0	10.56	11.14	13.87	16.13	47.93	101.88
33	33.0	9.98	10.96	14 18	16 61	48 11	98 35
32	33.0	9.98	10.96	14 18	16.61	48 11	98.35
31	32.0	9.84	10.82	14.36	17.01	48.38	100.86
30	32.0	9.84	10.82	14.36	17.01	48.38	100.86
29	31.0	9.65	11.06	14 71	17.37	44 45	96.69
28	31.0	9.65	11.00	14 71	17.37	44 45	96.69
27	30.0	10.08	11.00	14.80	17.65	50 14	102 20
26	30.0	10.08	11 13	14 80	17.65	50 14	102.20
25	29.0	11 26	11 93	15.04	17.60	49 17	101 71
24	20.0	11.20	11.00	15.04	17.01	49.17	101.71
23	28.0	10.25	11.00	16.01	18.03	49.25	99.73
22	27.0	11 01	12.02	16.24	18.06	58.08	101 59
21	27.0	11.01	12.02	16.24	18.06	58.08	101.50
20	26.0	10.73	11 89	15.68	19.00	57 75	107.00
19	25.0	14 15	14 56	16.08	17.86	67 55	115 55
18	25.0	14.15	14.56	16.08	17.86	67 55	115 55
17	24.0	11 72	12.76	16.88	10.12	62.06	106.28
16	23.0	15 14	15.70	16.00	18.52	58 10	100.20
15	20.0	15.14	15.72	17.03	18.65	71 1/	107.73
1/	22.0	16.40	16.02	17.03	18.66	64.80	106.91
12	21.0	17.29	17.67	17.24	10.00	72 78	115 04
12	10.0	17.20	17.07	18.80	10.12	50.01	00.08
11	18.0	16.04	18.06	10.03	10.12	65.94	110 54
10	17.0	22.38	23 30	17.79	19.09	65.08	08.83
0	15.0	20.66	20.09	19.70	10.44	70 /1	108 15
9	14.0	20.00	21.40	10.72	19.09	58 00	05.40
7	12.0	21.00	21.00	10.70	10 00	60.00	02 76
6	11.0	20.44	21.00	10.91	10.02	62.42	93.10
0	10.0	20.10	20.43	19.43	10.90	72.43	92.00 106.07
C A	10.0	29.40	29.01	20.41	19.07	70.00	106.46
4	0.U	20.00	21.02	21.01	20.70	10.93	02.40
3	0.0	29.93	30.01	22.40	20.00	01.93	90.4Z
	3.0	31.90	32.14	23.21	22.07	00.10	91.07
	0.0	35.00	33.00	∠0.54	23.09	00.04	90.07

FSPro ERC Stream

ERC Stream Annotations

Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 11:59	Stover, Al	Used Mt Rushmore RAWS and weather from 2013.

Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
03/01/2013	33.06	17.03	52
03/02/2013	36.72	15.87	53
03/03/2013	38.83	13.11	54
03/04/2013	38.94	14.50	53
03/05/2013	39.18	17.90	53
03/06/2013	41.00	15.77	54
03/07/2013	38.89	17.87	56
03/08/2013	40.44	15.10	52
03/09/2013	38.78	16.74	53
03/10/2013	36.61	18.64	58
03/11/2013	39.24	19.78	57
03/12/2013	41.17	17.80	61
03/13/2013	43.33	16.17	61
03/14/2013	42.83	17.24	64
03/15/2013	44.78	15.49	66
03/16/2013	41.28	15.46	41
03/17/2013	38.22	17.99	20
03/18/2013	38.94	17.80	52
03/19/2013	39.22	17.11	47
03/20/2013	42.47	17.72	55
03/21/2013	39.71	17.85	48
03/22/2013	41.17	16.73	33
03/23/2013	37.71	16.59	17
03/24/2013	35.89	16.35	39
03/25/2013	37.83	18.14	41
03/26/2013	35.39	16.78	43
03/27/2013	35.50	13.56	50
03/28/2013	33.47	17.17	49
03/29/2013	35.56	17.87	52
03/30/2013	36.89	16.44	46
03/31/2013	36.11	17.00	49
04/01/2013	36.89	16.85	51
04/02/2013	36.33	18.53	53
04/03/2013	33.33	20.01	56
04/04/2013	36.11	19.14	54
04/05/2013	35.61	21.09	59
04/06/2013	34.94	21.11	57
04/07/2013	35.67	18.74	46
04/08/2013	33.28	18.46	38
04/09/2013	34.53	19.42	38
04/10/2013	35.83	19.42	33
04/11/2013	34.22	18.95	14
04/12/2013	33.78	18.83	11
04/13/2013	37.39	17.45	35
04/14/2013	41.22	17.30	34
04/15/2013	40.94	13.48	34
04/16/2013	38.44	14.28	27
04/17/2013	38.11	16.37	24
04/18/2013	32.83	16.72	9
04/19/2013	28.50	16.03	19
04/20/2013	31.94	9.15	27
04/21/2013	31.94	11.41	14
04/22/2013	31.83	12.25	17
04/23/2013	32.24	15.08	19
04/24/2013	30.41	16.83	25
04/25/2013	32.00	16.12	33
04/26/2013	29.82	17.84	36
04/27/2013	29.00	15.27	41
04/28/2013	29.00	14.75	40
04/29/2013	31.71	13.83	37
04/30/2013	30.44	14.33	37
05/01/2013	32.35	14.76	33
05/02/2013	32.35	13.53	35
05/03/2013	33.06	13 70	42
05/04/2013	32 82	13 60	44
05/05/2013	33.35	13.77	47

Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
05/06/2013	30.06	17.53	50
05/07/2013	33.56	15.16	47
05/08/2013	33.11	15.57	50
05/09/2013	31.28	16.07	46
05/10/2013	27.78	17.64	49
05/11/2013	27.39	16.20	46
05/12/2013	29.94	15.70	49
05/13/2013	29.56	17.19	50
05/14/2013	33.22	14.74	53
05/15/2013	34.61	15.74	55
05/16/2013	33.41	15.51	53
05/17/2013	34.94	14.36	45
05/18/2013	34.35	15.68	40
05/19/2013	31.00	19.09	30
05/20/2013	32.78	14.45	34
05/21/2013	33.44	12.27	33
05/22/2013	30.33	13.79	29
05/23/2013	30.28	13.89	33
05/24/2013	28.72	16.50	37
05/25/2013	27.28	16.20	38
05/26/2013	31.00	13.78	38
05/27/2013	28.39	14.35	32
05/28/2013	30.44	13.59	38
05/29/2013	26.11	15.51	35
05/30/2013	27.12	14.36	30
05/31/2013	29.06	10.20	30
06/01/2013	30.39	11.17	35
06/02/2013	31.17	11.61	37
06/03/2013	28.71	16.20	39
06/04/2013	29.11	16.12	40
06/05/2013	31.94	14.83	40
06/06/2013	29.06	17.68	41
06/07/2013	30.28	17.26	44
06/08/2013	29.83	15.60	35
06/09/2013	29.78	13.33	38
06/10/2013	29.72	16.18	41
06/11/2013	29.44	15.99	33
06/12/2013	30.22	15.88	32
06/13/2013	31.72	14.62	35
06/14/2013	32.22	17.84	39
06/15/2013	35.00	15.42	42
06/16/2013	34.33	13.80	41
06/17/2013	33.82	14.59	29
06/18/2013	33.56	15.22	38
06/00/0010	33.83	16.79	39
06/21/2013	32.29	14.20	44
06/22/2013	33.44	14.39	3Z 22
06/22/2013	35.00	10.00	3Z 34
06/24/2013	30.01	14.01	34 32
06/25/2013	30.17	18 02	12
06/26/2013	20.00	10.93	42 11
06/27/2012	<u>41 17</u>	15.90	45
06/28/2013	42 56	14 82	47
06/29/2013	43 41	14.52	49
06/30/2013	43.65	14.59	48
07/01/2013	41.33	14.32	46
07/02/2013	41.56	13.98	48
07/03/2013	40 59	14 17	53
07/04/2013	41 17	13.94	45
07/05/2013	42 89	13 11	48
07/06/2013	40.76	15.11	42
07/07/2013	38.06	12.32	40
07/08/2013	41.56	10.35	37
07/09/2013	41.89	12.15	40
07/10/2013	39.11	12.60	43
07/11/2013	41.78	13.70	49
 A second sec second second sec			

	Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
	07/12/2013	42.28	15.85	48
	07/13/2013	44.83	13.61	46
	07/14/2013	47.28	13.12	40
	07/15/2013	47.28	15.78	40
	07/16/2013	50.33	14.64	48
	07/17/2013	51.28	13.99	49
	07/18/2013	48.17	16.04	45
	07/19/2013	49.22	12.76	40
	07/20/2013	45.94	11.56	24
	07/21/2013	48.17	12.66	38
	07/22/2013	49.50	11.37	41
	07/23/2013	45.17	17.61	35
	07/24/2013	48.50	15.02	34
	07/25/2013	48.67	12.89	31
	07/26/2013	46.59	13.69	24
	07/27/2013	46.71	11.66	30
	07/28/2013	44.12	14.13	30
	07/29/2013	44.59	13.08	32
	07/30/2013	48.59	12.78	37
	07/31/2013	50.71	12.13	34
	08/01/2013	50.59	11.23	37
	08/02/2013	51.06	11.89	33
	08/03/2013	49.47	12.49	30
	08/04/2013	49.12	10.50	30
	08/05/2013	46.06	13.74	28
	08/06/2013	46.94	13.19	25
	08/07/2013	48.35	11.34	28
	08/08/2013	45.11	11.68	25
	08/09/2013	43.82	10.90	26
	08/10/2013	45.06	10.52	25
	08/11/2013	46.22	13.73	15
	08/12/2013	45.18	9.89	25
	08/13/2013	46.82	13.37	22
	08/14/2013	46.24	13.09	21
	08/15/2013	45.24	14.67	22
	08/16/2013	46.28	14.28	24
	08/17/2013	47.18	11.95	30
	08/18/2013	46.12	9.81	31
	08/19/2013	47.28	10.75	35
	08/20/2013	46.72	11.03	39
	08/21/2013	49.22	9.92	38
	08/22/2013	50.83	10.17	38
ļ	08/23/2013	51.89	11.13	38
	08/24/2013	53.11	10.77	43
	08/25/2013	50.89	11.95	45
	08/26/2013	52.11	13.11	46
	08/27/2013	51.06	14.88	51
	08/28/2013	49.72	14.12	42
	08/29/2013	50.67	13.75	46
	00/30/2013	53.53	10.59	50
	00/01/2013	53.72	10.49	51
	09/01/2013	53.89	15.32	53
ļ	00/02/2013	50.09	12.00	55
ļ	09/03/2013	58 33	11.14	52
	09/05/2012	57 72	14 01	50
	09/06/2013	56 67	13.15	⊿0
	09/07/2013	55 30	15.15	
	00/08/2012	53.53	15.50	11
	09/00/2013	52.00	16.09	44 38
ļ	09/10/2013	50 35	17 10	⊿∩
ļ	09/11/2013	53 72	14.24	40 ⊿7
ļ	09/12/2013	49 56	18 14	47
ļ	09/13/2013	47.28	19 37	29
	09/14/2013	45.28	19 19	43
	09/15/2013	43 83	17.93	30
	09/16/2013	47 11	17 81	30
1				~~

ERC Stream

Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
09/17/2013	49.11	14.22	42
09/18/2013	48.81	11.02	46
09/19/2013	49.50	13.97	47
09/20/2013	52.53	14.97	49
09/21/2013	52.71	13.80	57
09/22/2013	49.59	13.22	58
09/23/2013	42.41	19.49	18
09/24/2013	45.63	14.20	44
09/25/2013	43.61	17.11	48
09/26/2013	48.94	14.06	42
09/27/2013	48.47	15.53	35
09/28/2013	47.19	16.42	40
09/29/2013	48.50	15.93	44
09/30/2013	49.76	16.05	51
10/01/2013	48.94	19.07	52
10/02/2013	49.71	17.42	51
10/03/2013	45.94	17.35	31
10/04/2013	41.71	18.91	23
10/05/2013	43.59	17.12	33
10/06/2013	41.59	15.02	40
10/07/2013	39.50	16.71	10
10/08/2013	39.00	18.30	35
10/09/2013	35.76	18.65	38
10/10/2013	40.12	15.00	35
10/11/2013	39.29	17.28	11
10/12/2013	37.65	15.23	33
10/13/2013	38.59	17.76	30
10/14/2013	38.47	20.17	9
10/15/2013	43.38	15.17	22
10/16/2013	44.33	14.01	31
10/17/2013	45.06	11.51	32
10/18/2013	45.94	10.71	34
10/19/2013	48.12	10.54	39
10/20/2013	44.22	14.12	34
10/21/2013	43.11	16.79	36
10/22/2013	43.61	14.25	35
10/23/2013	40.84	13.04	34
10/24/2013	44.42	12.20	28
10/25/2013	42.24	12.15	37
10/26/2013	43.22	10.77	39
10/27/2013	44.82	11.21	42
10/28/2013	42.76	15.71	25
10/29/2013	43.72	17.72	21
10/30/2013	40.84	17.87	23
10/31/2013	45.41	14.26	28

Weather Forecast Data

No weather forecasts have been saved.

FSPro Winds

Winds Annotations

Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 12:00	Stover, Al	Used Mt Rushmore RAWS ten minute average winds.

Winds Station Information

NAME	VALUE
Winds Station Name	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Latitude	43.8778 N
Longitude	103.44946 W
Elevation	5,071 feet
Aspect	Southwest
Position on Slope	Mid-Slope
Forecast Zone	262
Station Type	4 - NFDRS Satellite

Winds Filter

VALUE
2000 to 2019
06/19 to 07/31
10 to 20
Ten Min Avg
1 mph
1.15
100.01

Winds Matrix

Speed	45	90	135	180	225	270	315	360
3	2.47	3.03	6.46	6.21	2.46	2.20	3.39	2.31
6	4.23	8.99	14.61	7.83	3.08	2.70	3.89	2.10
9	1.71	3.23	2.24	0.37	1.28	1.93	1.51	0.24
12	1.02	1.95	1.67	0.00	0.21	1.28	0.56	0.00
15	0.32	0.69	0.82	0.00	0.01	0.61	0.10	0.00
20	0.20	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.45	0.01	0.00
25	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00
40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00

Station Wind Rose



FSPro Wind Rose



FSPro Landscape

Landscape Annotat	ions	
Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 11:58	Stover, Al	Used LandFire 2014 1.4.0 at a 30 meter resolution with no fuel edits
Landscape Informa	tion	
NAME	VALUE	
Landscape Source	LANDFIRE	E 2014 1.4.0
Upper Latitude	43.9317 N	
Lower Latitude	43.8561 N	
Left Longitude	103.5427	W
Right Longitude	103.3642	W
Fuel Model	40	
Resolution	30 meters	
Landscape Editor A	nnotations	
No notes exist.		
Landscape Editor R	Rules	
No Landscape Edito	or Rules exi	ist.
Values at Ris	k	

NAME VALUE Incident Name MORU Test Analysis Name MORU Test 2013 HighRes Author Stover, Al Analyst Stover, Al 43.87917 Latitude 103.45917 Longitude Geographical Area Rocky Mountain Values Generated Time 11/06/2019 12:40

Values List

Values List

Category		80-100%	60-79%	40-59%	20-39%	5-19%	0.2-4.9%	< 0.2%	Expected Value
Building Clusters: P	ennington, SD	no data							
County: Pennington	, SD	6 acres	7 acres	8 acres	10 acres	30 acres	269 acres	370 acres	28.4 acres
Jurisdictional Agence	y: NPS	6 acres	7 acres	8 acres	10 acres	30 acres	180 acres	155 acres	25.9 acres
Jurisdictional Agence	y: USFS	0 acres	92 acres	216 acres	2.61 acres				
Mgmt Req		0 acres			0.0 acres				
Mgmt Req: MA 1.1A	L.						92 acres	154 acres	2.55 acres
NPS Admin Bounda	ries: MORU	6 acres	7 acres	8 acres	10 acres	30 acres	180 acres	155 acres	25.9 acres
Natl Recreation Trai	ls	0.0 miles	0.4 miles	0.00 miles					
Natl Scenic Byways		0.0 miles	0.0 miles	0.0 miles	0.1 miles	0.1 miles	0.1 miles	0.1 miles	0.05 miles
Other Areas: Norbe	ck Wildlife Preserve	0 acres	92 acres	216 acres	2.61 acres				
Roads		0.0 miles	0.1 miles	0.1 miles	0.00 miles				
Wilderness: Black E	lk Wilderness	0 acres	92 acres	154 acres	2.55 acres				

Currency/Coverage of Values Reported

Category	Data Source	Currency	Coverage
Building Clusters	US Counties / FGDC Cadastral Subcomm.		Available counties - No data available for Pennington, SD
County	US Census Bureau, TIGER/Line	2018	National
Jurisdictional Agency	Various	05/29/2015	National
Mgmt Req		Current	Unit Level
NPS Admin Boundaries	NPS	09/30/2018	National
Natl Recreation Trails	NPS	2/28/2019	National
Natl Scenic Byways	NPS	2/28/2019	National
Other Areas	USGS PADUS 1.4	03/13/2019	National
Roads	NAVTEQ	11/2012	National
Wilderness	Wilderness.net	01/31/2019	National

Coverage of Values Queried that Produced No Results

AK Fire Mgmt Options (Alaska only), Aqua Retardant Avoidance (National (USFS Units only)), BIA Admin Boundaries (National), BLM Buildings (BLM Lands), BLM Horse and Burro (National), BLM Mgmt Requirements (National (BLM Land Only)), BLM Oil / Gas Leases (National), BLM Range Allotments (National), Campgrounds (National (BLM and USFS only)), Canada / Mexico (National), Class 1 Airsheds (National), Communication Towers (National), Electric Power Plants (National), Electric Sub Stations (National), Electric Transmission Lines (National), Estimated Population (National), Habitat (National), IRA (National), Mines (National), NRA (National), Natl Historic Trails (National), Natl Scenic Trails (National), Oil and Gas Pipelines (National), Ozone Non-Attainment (National), Particulates Non-Attainment (National), Responsible Agency (AK, CA, ID, MT, NM, MN), Retardant Avoidance (National (USFS Units only)), Sage Grouse Habitat (National), TNC Lands (National), USFS Buildings (National), USFWS Admin Boundaries (National), USFWS Trails (National), WSA (National)

Return

1 7.1.3 2018 Wet Year

2 Figure A4 displays the ERC for 2018 from the WFDSS model. The ERC is around 30 on July 3 in

3 the representative model.



5 Figure A4. Energy Release Component for WFDSS 2018 Wet Year

6

4

7 Below is the full WFDSS 2018 Analysis Report.

8



Incident: MORU Test

Analyses

Intelligence Data Management

My Home Incidents Incident Groups

Analysis Values inventory generated

Analysis Report

Incident Information

Return

Incident Information	
NAME	VALUE
Incident Name	MORU Test
Unique Fire Identifier	2019-SDMRP-190001
Responsible Unit Name	Mount Rushmore National Monument
FireCode	
P-Code	
Point of Origin	43.87917 N / 103.45917 W
Incident Size	0.1 acres
Latest Perimeter Size	0 acres
Incident Cause	Unknown
Incident Type	Wildfire
Incident Discovery	07/03/2019 22:00
Contained	
Controlled	
Out	
Jurisdictional Unit	SDMRP - Mount Rushmore National Monument
Jurisdictional Agency(s)	NPS, USFS
Geographic Area (prep level)	Rocky Mountain (2)
Owner Name(s)	Al Stover

Analysis Information

FSPro Information Annotations

Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 12:48	Stover, Al	Ignitions were modeled after historic wildfire start locations from 2000-2009 fireworks displays.

FSPro General Information

NAME	VALUE
Analysis Name	MORU Test 2018 HighRes
Analyst Name	Stover, Al
Completed	11/06/2019 12:51
Analysis Status	Complete
Analysis Start	07/03/2018
Duration	3 days
Simulations	2000 fires
Crown Fire Method	Finney (1998)
Ignition	MORU Test 1
Barrier	No barrier specified
ERC Station	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Winds Station	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Landscape Resolution	30 meters

FSPro Analysis 'MORU Test 2018 HighRes'

Time (CST)	User	Note
11/06/2019 12:51	Stover, Al	This 3 day FSPro Model uses Finney for crown fire initiation and 2018 weather. Fuels were not edited to take into account recent beetle kill/dead and down, scattered pockets of burnable vegetation in the developed area or current fuel treatments. Run could be fairly representative of a fire scenario July 3rd-5th under well below average burning conditions. The model assumes no suppression action and is intended for planning purposes only.

Return

WFDSS Analysis Report



- Ignitions

🔶 Point of Origin

Contact Information No contacts exist.

History

Time (CST)	Status	User	Comments
11/05/2019 12:16:03	Assigned	Stover, Al	Use 30 meter resolution and 2018 weather
11/05/2019 12:18:37	Queued	Stover, Al	
11/05/2019 12:18:38	In Process		
11/05/2019 12:20:45	Processing Results		
11/05/2019 12:20:52	Review		
11/06/2019 12:51:51	Complete	Stover, Al	This 3 day FSPro Model uses Finney for crown fire initiation and 2018 weather. Fuels were not edited to take into account recent beetle kill/dead and down, scattered pockets of burnable vegetation in the developed area or current fuel treatments. Run could be fairly representative of a fire scenario July 3rd-5th under well below average burning conditions. The model assumes no suppression action and is intended for planning purposes only.

FSPro Results

FSPro Fire Sizes						
FIRE SIZE	ACRES					
Average Size	6					
90th Percentile	10					
70th Percentile	4					
50th Percentile	4					
30th Percentile	4					
10th Percentile	4					
Largest Fire	52					

FSPro Histogram



Daily Acres List

Date	Average Acres	Minimum Acres	Maximum Acres	No Burn Days
07/03/2018	7.8	4.4	17.1	1,914
07/04/2018	8.7	4.7	27.6	1,844
07/05/2018	10.4	4.9	30.7	1,789

FSPro ERC Classes

ERC Classes Annotations

No notes exist.

ERC Station Information

NAME	VALUE
ERC Station Name	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Latitude	43.8778 N
Longitude	103.44946 W
Elevation	5,071 feet
Aspect	Southwest
Position on Slope	Mid-Slope
Average Precipitation	18.00 inches
Forecast Zone	262
Climate Class	2 : Subhumid
Green Up Month/Day	05/24
Grass Type	P : Perennial
Slope Class	2: 26 - 40%
Station Type	4 - NFDRS Satellite

ERC Classes Filter

NAME	VALUE
Year Range	2000 to 2019
Date Range	03/01 to 10/31
Maximum Lag	30 days
Degrees of Fit	9

ERC Classes

ERC Classes

÷.										
	%ile	Min ERC	1 Hour	10 Hour	100 Hour	Herb FM	Woody FM	Burn Period	Spot Prob	Delay
	97	69	3.7	4.3	6.3	67.4	92.2	360	0.15	0
	90	61	4.6	5.4	7.7	83.6	106.2	300	0.10	0
	79	54	5.3	6.1	8.8	97.4	118.1	240	0.05	0
	69	49	5.9	6.8	9.9	106.7	126.2	180	0.01	0
	60	45	6.5	7.4	10.9	112.4	131.1	120	0.00	0

ERC Class Burn Days Summary



%ile	Min ERC	Burn Days	%
97	69	0	0
90	61	5	0
79	54	47	1
69	49	121	2
60	45	280	5
		453	8

5547 No-Burn Days (92 %)

2000 fires X 3 non-forecast days = 6000 days

ERC Percentiles

%ile	ERC	1 Hour	10 Hour	100 Hour	1000 Hour	Herb	Woody
100	87.0	3.45	3.87	5.79	7.62	32.36	61.46
99	76.0	3.33	3.90	6.32	9.20	30.66	69.17
98	71.0	3.65	4.45	6.53	9.80	28.60	70.13
97	69.0	4.32	5.01	6.89	9.81	33.42	72.97
96	67.0	4.01	4.84	7.13	10.33	35.59	75.28
95	66.0	4.48	5.28	7.48	10.40	34.48	76.05
94	64.0	4.49	5.30	7.79	10.57	38.09	76.89
93	63.0	4.63	5.41	7.96	10.80	38.45	79.52
92	62.0	4.75	5.35	7.89	11.03	45.35	82.20
91	61.0	5.43	6.10	8.16	10.97	37.52	79.12
90	61.0	5.43	6.10	8.16	10.97	37.52	79.12
89	60.0	4.60	5.40	8.51	11.52	38.71	83.73
88	59.0	5.73	6.43	8.09	11.46	45.94	85.95
87	59.0	5.73	6.43	8.09	11.46	45.94	85.95
86	58.0	4.60	5.52	8.57	12.07	41.97	86.23
85	57.0	6.19	6.81	8.87	11.57	39.70	82.68
84	56.0	5.88	6.63	8.87	12.00	42.51	88.83
83	56.0	5.88	6.63	8.87	12.00	42.51	88.83
82	55.0	5.33	6.19	9.12	12.45	35.69	84.26
81	55.0	5.33	6.19	9.12	12.45	35.69	84.26
80	54.0	5.42	6.28	9.30	12.65	38.43	85.37
79	54.0	5.42	6.28	9.30	12.65	38.43	85.37
78	53.0	5.61	6.47	9.44	12.83	39.43	87.20
77	53.0	5.61	6.47	9.44	12.83	39.43	87.20
76	52.0	5.95	6.78	9.65	12.93	39.52	89.08
75	52.0	5.95	6.78	9.65	12.93	39.52	89.08
74	51.0	6.08	6.90	9.67	13.15	46.73	94.48
73	51.0	6.08	6.90	9.67	13.15	46.73	94.48
72	50.0	5.79	6.72	10.11	13.43	43.34	92.35
71	50.0	5.79	6.72	10.11	13.43	43.34	92.35
70	49.0	6.10	7.03	10.68	13.42	45.06	89.76
69	49.0	6.10	7.03	10.68	13.42	45.06	89.76
68	48.0	6.14	7.15	10.82	13.67	48.64	95.93
67	48.0	6.14	7.15	10.82	13.67	48.64	95.93
66	47.0	6.08	7.05	10.64	14.11	45.98	96.14
65	47.0	6.08	7.05	10.64	14.11	45.98	96.14
64	47.0	6.08	7.05	10.64	14.11	45.98	96.14
63	46.0	6.66	7.77	11.23	14.00	43.90	93.16
62	46.0	6.66	7.77	11.23	14.00	43.90	93.16
61	45.0	6.96	7.77	10.80	14.42	49.53	95.89
60	45.0	6.96	7.77	10.80	14.42	49.53	95.89
59	44.0	6.77	7.73	11.29	14.68	43.83	92.36

ERC Percentiles

%ile	ERC	1 Hour	10 Hour	100 Hour	1000 Hour	Herb	Woody
58	44.0	6.77	7.73	11.29	14.68	43.83	92.36
57	44.0	6.77	7.73	11.29	14.68	43.83	92.36
56	43.0	7.25	8.12	11.64	14.69	51.17	99.97
55	43.0	7.25	8.12	11.64	14.69	51.17	99.97
54	42.0	7.38	8.35	11.79	14.92	51.91	100.92
53	42.0	7.38	8.35	11.79	14.92	51.91	100.92
52	41.0	7.39	8.54	12.46	15.04	45.34	94.99
51	41.0	7.39	8.54	12.46	15.04	45.34	94.99
50	41.0	7.39	8.54	12.46	15.04	45.34	94.99
49	40.0	7.29	8.42	12.14	15.62	47.76	98.09
48	40.0	7.29	8.42	12.14	15.62	47.76	98.09
47	39.0	8.29	9.20	12.25	15.61	44.76	93.55
46	39.0	8.29	9.20	12.25	15.61	44.76	93.55
45	38.0	8.26	9.17	12.65	15.85	44.19	94.53
44	38.0	8.26	9.17	12.65	15.85	44.19	94.53
43	38.0	8.26	9.17	12.65	15.85	44.19	94.53
42	37.0	7.66	8.86	13.00	16.28	46.55	100.17
41	37.0	7.66	8.86	13.00	16.28	46.55	100.17
40	36.0	8.12	9.13	13.38	16.44	41.24	95.03
39	36.0	8 12	9.13	13 38	16 44	41 24	95.03
38	35.0	8.93	10.02	13.63	16 41	41.96	96 46
37	35.0	8.93	10.02	13.63	16 41	41.96	96 46
36	35.0	8.93	10.02	13.63	16.11	41.96	96.46
35	34.0	10.56	11 14	13.87	16.13	47 93	101 88
34	34.0	10.56	11 14	13.87	16.13	47 93	101.88
33	33.0	9.98	10.96	14 18	16.10	48 11	98.35
32	33.0	9.00	10.00	14.10	16.61	48 11	98.35
31	32.0	9.84	10.00	14.10	17.01	48.38	100.86
30	32.0	0.84	10.02	1/ 36	17.01	18 38	100.00
20	31.0	9.65	11.06	14.00	17.01	44.45	96.69
23	31.0	9.00	11.00	14.71	17.37	11 15	96.69
27	30.0	10.08	11.00	14.80	17.65	50 14	102.20
26	30.0	10.00	11.13	14.00	17.65	50.14	102.20
25	20.0	11.26	11.10	15.04	17.00	/0 17	102.20
20	20.0	11.20	11.03	15.04	17.01	40.17	101.71
23	28.0	10.25	11.35	16.01	18.03	40.17	00.73
20	20.0	11 01	12.02	16.24	18.06	58.08	101 50
22	27.0	11.01	12.02	16.24	18.00	58.08	101.59
21	26.0	10.73	11 80	15.69	10.00	57.75	101.39
10	20.0	14 15	14.56	16.08	17.86	67.55	102.40
19	25.0	14.15	14.50	16.00	17.00	67.55	115.55
17	24.0	14.13	10.76	16.00	10.12	62.06	106.20
16	24.0	15.14	12.70	10.00	19.12	02.90 59.10	100.20
10	23.0	15.14	15.43	10.73	10.02	71 14	107.73
10	22.0	10.40	10.72	17.03	10.00	64.90	106.91
14	21.0	10.49	10.92	17.24	10.00	04.00	100.47
10	20.0	17.20	17.07	10.02	19.07	12.10	00.09
	19.0	16.04	10.00	10.09	19.12	59.91	99.00
	10.0	10.94	10.00	19.01	19.59	05.94	00.02
	17.0	22.38	23.39	11.18	10.44	70.44	90.03
9	14.0	20.00	21.40	10.72	19.09	70.41	05.00
×	14.0	21.08	21.68	10.70	20.32	00.00	95.66
	13.0	20.44	27.08	18.91	18.82	60.92	93.76
	11.0	28.15	28.43	19.43	18.96	02.43	92.65
5	10.0	29.40	29.87	20.41	19.07	72.03	106.97
	8.0	27.58	27.82	21.01	20.70	18.93	106.16
3	6.0	29.93	30.01	22.45	20.85	57.93	93.42
	3.0	31.90	32.14	23.21	22.67	00.10	91.87
1	0.0	35.00	35.00	26.54	23.69	65.04	90.07

FSPro ERC Stream

ERC Stream Annotations

No notes exist.

	Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
	03/01/2018	33.06	17.03	42
	03/02/2018	36.72	15.87	43
	03/03/2018	38.83	13.11	45
	03/04/2018	38.94	14.50	29
	03/05/2018	39.18	17.90	36
	03/06/2018	41.00	15.77	43
	03/07/2018	38.89	17.87	42
	03/08/2018	40.44	15.10	47
	03/09/2018	38.78	16.74	49
	03/10/2018	36.61	18.64	38
	03/11/2018	39.24	19.78	48
	03/12/2018	41.17	17.80	49
	03/13/2018	43.33	16.17	53
	03/14/2018	42.83	17.24	55
	03/15/2018	44.78	15.49	54
	03/16/2018	41.28	15.46	35
	03/17/2018	38.22	17.99	45
	03/18/2018	38.94	17.80	39
	03/19/2018	39.22	17.11	34
	03/20/2018	42.47	17.72	41
	03/21/2018	39.71	17.85	46
	03/22/2018	41.17	16.73	44
	03/23/2018	37.71	16.59	38
	03/24/2018	35.89	16.35	47
	03/25/2018	37.83	18.14	41
	03/26/2018	35.39	16.78	31
	03/27/2018	35.50	13.56	38
	03/28/2018	33.47	17.17	39
	03/29/2018	35.56	17.87	24
	03/30/2018	36.89	16.44	38
	03/31/2018	36.11	17.00	29
	04/01/2018	36.89	16.85	34
	04/02/2018	36.33	18.53	27
	04/03/2018	33.33	20.01	10
	04/04/2018	36.11	19.14	33
	04/05/2018	35.61	21.09	9
	04/06/2018	34.94	21.11	24
	04/07/2018	35.67	18.74	23
ļ	04/08/2018	33.28	18.46	28
	04/09/2018	34.53	19.42	27
	04/10/2018	35.83	19.42	30
	04/11/2018	34.22	18.95	36
	04/12/2018	33.78	18.83	34
	04/13/2018	37.39	17.45	26
	04/14/2018	41.22	17.30	9
	04/15/2018	40.94	13.48	30
	04/10/2018	20.44	14.20	33
	04/12/2018	30.11 22.02	10.3/	30
	04/10/2010	JZ.03	10.72	30 20
	04/20/2010	20.00	0.15	30 22
	04/20/2018	31.94	9.15	33
	04/21/2018	31.94	11.41	34 20
	04/22/2010	31.03	12.20	30 20
	04/23/2018	32.24	10.08	30
	04/25/2010	30.41	10.03	30 17
	04/26/2010	32.00 20.82	10.12	41 17
	04/27/2010	29.02	17.04	47 70
	04/28/2010	29.00	10.27	49
	04/20/2018	29.00	14./0	52 54
	04/29/2018	31./1	13.03	04 15
	05/01/2018	30.44	14.33	45
	05/02/2010	32.33 32.35	14.70	42
	05/02/2018	32.35	13.53	30
	05/04/2010	33.00 33.00	13.70	30 15
	05/05/2010	32.0Z	13.00	40 79
ļ	05/06/2018	30.00	13.11	40
	00/00/2018	30.00	17.53	42

Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
05/07/2018	33.56	15.16	55
05/08/2018	33.11	15.57	49
05/09/2018	31.28	16.07	50
05/10/2018	27.78	17.64	29
05/11/2018	27.39	16.20	22
05/12/2018	29.94	15.70	11
05/13/2018	29.56	17.19	15
05/14/2018	33.22	14.74	24
05/15/2018	34.61	15.74	30
05/16/2018	33.41	15.51	35
05/17/2018	34.94	14.36	36
05/18/2018	34.35	15.68	27
05/19/2018	31.00	19.09	22
05/20/2018	32.78	14.45	28
05/21/2018	33.44	12.27	31
05/22/2018	30.33	13.79	35
05/23/2018	30.28	13.89	34
05/24/2018	28.72	16.50	35
05/25/2018	27.28	16.20	32
05/26/2018	31.00	13.78	42
05/27/2018	28.39	14.35	44
05/28/2018	30.44	13.59	36
05/29/2018	26.11	15.51	21
05/30/2018	27.12	14.36	30
05/31/2018	29.06	10.20	32
06/01/2018	30.39	11.17	38
06/02/2018	31.17	11.61	40
06/03/2018	28.71	16.20	42
06/04/2018	29.11	16.12	46
06/05/2018	31.94	14.83	47
06/06/2018	29.06	17.68	44
06/07/2018	30.28	17.26	42
06/08/2018	29.83	15.60	41
06/09/2018	29.78	13.33	44
06/10/2018	29.72	16.18	48
06/11/2018	29.44	15.99	50
06/12/2018	30.22	15.88	52
06/13/2018	31.72	14.62	52
06/14/2018	32.22	17.84	56
06/15/2018	35.00	15.42	54
06/16/2018	34.33	13.80	36
06/17/2018	33.82	14.59	18
06/18/2018	33.56	15.22	4
06/19/2018	33.83	16.79	5
06/20/2018	32.29	17.46	5
06/21/2018	33.44	14.39	10
06/22/2018	35.00	15.86	14
06/23/2018	35.61	14.51	17
06/24/2018	36.17	18.70	0
06/25/2018	35.33	18.93	14
06/26/2018	38.11	15.98	19
06/27/2018	41.17	15.96	20
06/28/2018	42.56	14.82	22
06/29/2018	43.41	14.52	23
06/30/2018	43.65	14.59	19
07/01/2018	41.33	14.32	24
07/02/2018	41.56	13.98	28
07/03/2018	40.59	14.17	29
07/04/2018	41.17	13.94	28
07/05/2018	42.89	13.11	21
07/06/2018	40.76	15.11	28
07/07/2018	38.06	12.32	34
07/08/2018	41.56	10.35	34
07/09/2018	41.89	12.15	32
07/10/2018	39.11	12.60	37
07/11/2018	41.78	13.70	38
07/12/2018	42.28	15.85	24

	Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
	07/13/2018	44.83	13.61	33
	07/14/2018	47.28	13.12	36
	07/15/2018	47.28	15.78	18
	07/16/2018	50.33	14.64	29
	07/17/2018	51.28	13.99	27
	07/18/2018	48.17	16.04	5
	07/19/2018	49.22	12.76	25
	07/20/2018	45.94	11.56	28
	07/21/2018	48.17	12.66	25
	07/22/2018	49.50	11.37	26
	07/23/2018	45.17	17.61	33
	07/24/2018	48.50	15.02	33
	07/25/2018	48.67	12.89	35
	07/26/2018	46.59	13.69	34
	07/27/2018	46.71	11.66	25
	07/28/2018	44.12	14.13	18
	07/29/2018	44.59	13.08	20
	07/30/2018	48.59	12.78	24
	07/31/2018	50.71	12.13	29
	08/01/2018	50.59	11.23	23
	08/02/2018	51.06	11.89	35
ļ	08/03/2018	49.47	12.49	33
	08/04/2018	49.12	10.50	36
	08/05/2018	46.06	13.74	38
	08/06/2018	46.94	13.19	34
	08/07/2018	48.35	11.34	40
	08/08/2018	45.11	11.68	44
	08/09/2018	43.82	10.90	42
	08/10/2018	45.06	10.52	47
	08/11/2018	46.22	13.73	51
	08/12/2018	45.18	9.89	55
	08/13/2018	46.82	13.37	49
	08/14/2018	46.24	13.09	36
	08/15/2018	45.24	14.67	37
	08/16/2018	46.28	14.28	42
	08/17/2018	47.18	11.95	45
	08/18/2018	46.12	9.81	46
	08/19/2018	47.28	10.75	37
	08/20/2018	46.72	11.03	41
	08/21/2018	49.22	9.92	34
	08/22/2018	50.83	10.17	38
	08/23/2018	51.89	11.13	43
	08/24/2018	53.11	10.77	45
	08/25/2018	50.89	11.95	46
	08/26/2018	52.11	13.11	53
	08/27/2018	51.06	14.88	52
	08/28/2018	49.72	14.12	40
	08/29/2018	50.67	13.75	48
	08/30/2018	53.53	10.59	56
	08/31/2018	53.72	10.49	55
	09/01/2018	53.89	15.32	56
	09/02/2018	55.89	12.06	59
	09/03/2018	58.35	11.14	53
	09/04/2018	58.33	11.77	45
	09/05/2018	57.78	14.91	51
	09/06/2018	56.67	13.15	52
	09/07/2018	55.39	15.90	57
	09/08/2018	53.35	15.59	54
	09/09/2018	52.06	16.43	57
	09/10/2018	50.35	17.19	56
	09/11/2018	53.78	14.24	61
	09/12/2018	49.56	18.14	62
	09/13/2018	47.28	19.37	59
	09/14/2018	45.28	19.19	51
	09/15/2018	43.83	17.93	49
	09/16/2018	47.11	17.81	53
	09/17/2018	49.11	14.22	52

ERC Stream

Date	Avg ERC	Std Dev	ERC
09/18/2018	48.81	11.02	50
09/19/2018	49.50	13.97	17
09/20/2018	52.53	14.97	12
09/21/2018	52.71	13.80	31
09/22/2018	49.59	13.22	43
09/23/2018	42.41	19.49	43
09/24/2018	45.63	14.20	37
09/25/2018	43.61	17.11	36
09/26/2018	48.94	14.06	43
09/27/2018	48.47	15.53	25
09/28/2018	47.19	16.42	9
09/29/2018	48.50	15.93	24
09/30/2018	49.76	16.05	10
10/01/2018	48.94	19.07	17
10/02/2018	49.71	17.42	24
10/03/2018	45.94	17.35	27
10/04/2018	41.71	18.91	20
10/05/2018	43.59	17.12	20
10/06/2018	41.59	15.02	23
10/07/2018	39.50	16.71	10
10/08/2018	39.00	18.30	8
10/09/2018	35.76	18.65	0
10/10/2018	40.12	15.00	8
10/11/2018	39.29	17.28	7
10/12/2018	37.65	15.23	17
10/13/2018	38.59	17.76	7
10/14/2018	38.47	20.17	0
10/15/2018	43.38	15.17	17
10/16/2018	44.33	14.01	22
10/17/2018	45.06	11.51	26
10/18/2018	45.94	10.71	30
10/19/2018	48.12	10.54	33
10/20/2018	44.22	14.12	34
10/21/2018	43.11	16.79	38
10/22/2018	43.61	14.25	38
10/23/2018	40.84	13.04	38
10/24/2018	44.42	12.20	39
10/25/2018	42.24	12.15	40
10/26/2018	43.22	10.77	43
10/27/2018	44.82	11.21	40
10/28/2018	42.76	15.71	46
10/29/2018	43.72	17.72	47
10/30/2018	40.84	17.87	48
10/31/2018	45.41	14.26	49

Weather Forecast Data

No weather forecasts have been saved.

FSPro Winds

Winds Annotations

Time (CST)	User	Annotation
11/06/2019 12:49	Stover, Al	Used Mt Rushmore RAWS ten minute average winds.
11/06/2019 12:49	Stover, Al	Used Mt Rushmore RAWS and weather from 2018.

Winds Station Information

NAME	VALUE
Winds Station Name	392603 - MT. RUSHMORE (0.5 miles)
Latitude	43.8778 N
Longitude	103.44946 W
Elevation	5,071 feet
Aspect	Southwest
Position on Slope	Mid-Slope
Forecast Zone	262
Station Type	4 - NFDRS Satellite

Winds Filter

VALUE
2000 to 2019
06/19 to 07/31
10 to 20
Ten Min Avg
1 mph
1.15
100.01

Winds Matrix

Speed	45	90	135	180	225	270	315	360
3	2.47	3.03	6.46	6.21	2.46	2.20	3.39	2.31
6	4.23	8.99	14.61	7.83	3.08	2.70	3.89	2.10
9	1.71	3.23	2.24	0.37	1.28	1.93	1.51	0.24
12	1.02	1.95	1.67	0.00	0.21	1.28	0.56	0.00
15	0.32	0.69	0.82	0.00	0.01	0.61	0.10	0.00
20	0.20	0.05	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.45	0.01	0.00
25	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.01	0.00
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00
40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00

Station Wind Rose



FSPro Wind Rose



FSPro Landscape

Landscape Annotat	ions
Time (CST) 11/06/2019 12:49	User Annotation Stover, Al Used LandFire 2014 1.4.0 at a 30 meter resolution with no fuel edits
Landscape Information	tion
NAME	VALUE
Landscape Source	LANDFIRE 2014 1.4.0
Upper Latitude	43.9317 N
Lower Latitude	43.8561 N
Left Longitude	103.5427 W
Right Longitude	103.3642 W
Fuel Model	40
Resolution	30 meters
Landscape Editor A	nnotations
No notes exist.	
Landscape Editor R	lules
No Landscape Edito	or Rules exist.
Values at Risl	k

NAME	VALUE
Incident Name	MORU Test
Analysis Name	MORU Test 2018 HighRes
Author	Stover, Al
Analyst	Stover, Al
Latitude	43.87917
Longitude	103.45917
Geographical Area	Rocky Mountain
Values Generated Time	11/06/2019 12:52

Values List

WFDSS Analysis Report

Values List

Category	80-100%	60-79%	40-59%	20-39%	5-19%	0.2-4.9%	< 0.2%	Expected Value
Building Clusters: Pennington, SD	no data				no data	no data	no data	no data
County: Pennington, SD	2 acres				15 acres	24 acres	21 acres	4.32 acres
Jurisdictional Agency: NPS	2 acres				15 acres	24 acres	21 acres	4.32 acres
NPS Admin Boundaries: MORU	2 acres				15 acres	24 acres	21 acres	4.32 acres
Natl Scenic Byways	0.0 miles				0.0 miles	0.1 miles	0.1 miles	0.00 miles
Roads	0.0 miles				0.1 miles	0.0 miles	0.1 miles	0.01 miles

Currency/Coverage of Values Reported

Category	Data Source	Currency	Coverage
Building Clusters	US Counties / FGDC Cadastral Subcomm.		Available counties - No data available for Pennington, SD
County	US Census Bureau, TIGER/Line	2018	National
Jurisdictional Agency	Various	05/29/2015	National
NPS Admin Boundaries	NPS	09/30/2018	National
Natl Scenic Byways	NPS	2/28/2019	National
Roads	NAVTEQ	11/2012	National

Coverage of Values Queried that Produced No Results

AK Fire Mgmt Options (Alaska only), Aqua Retardant Avoidance (National (USFS Units only)), BIA Admin Boundaries (National), BLM Buildings (BLM Lands), BLM Horse and Burro (National), BLM Mgmt Requirements (National (BLM Land Only)), BLM Oil / Gas Leases (National), BLM Range Allotments (National), Campgrounds (National (BLM and USFS only)), Canada / Mexico (National), Class 1 Airsheds (National), Communication Towers (National), Electric Power Plants (National), Electric Sub Stations (National), Electric Transmission Lines (National), Estimated Population (National), Habitat (National), IRA (National), Mgmt Req (Unit Level), Mines (National), NRA (National), Natl Historic Trails (National), Natl Recreation Trails (National), Natl Scenic Trails (National), Oil and Gas Pipelines (National), Other Areas (National), Ozone Non-Attainment (National), Particulates Non-Attainment (National), Responsible Agency (AK, CA, ID, MT, NM, MN), Retardant Avoidance (National), USFS Buildings (National), USFWS Admin Boundaries (National), USFWS Trails (National), WSA (National), Wilderness (National)

Return

1 7.1.4 WFDSS Supporting Materials

- 2 The following materials were used in assessing existing wildfire conditions and potential wildfire
- 3 impacts.
- 4 Figure A5 was created by Ken Marchand of the Black Hills National Forest. It is a graphic
- 5 representation of the historical fires in the area, showing the location of large fires relative to the
- 6 Memorial, and the date and size of each major fire.



Figure A5. Black Hills National Forest, Large Fire History Map (1880 - 2016)

- 1 Figure A6 shows the WFDSS Organization Assessment, which provides an overview of the
- recommended emergency response, highlighting the relative risks, implementation difficulty, and
 social and political concerns of a wildfire incident at the Memorial.



- 4
- 5 Figure A6. WFDSS Organization Assessment
- 6
- 7 Figure A7 shows the WFDSS Relative Risk assessment which considers the proximity at threat of
- 8 fire to sensitive resources (values), the potential fire behavior, and the probability of fire occuring.
- 9



2

3 Figure A7. WFDSS Relative Risk Assessment

Appendix B. Mitigation Measures and Best Management Practices

The following mitigation measures and best management practices (BMPs) will be implemented
 to reduce the potential for adverse impacts on various resources.

5 Wildfire Mitigation Measures and BMPs

- Fire suppression activities such as building a fire line, cutting trees to deny the fireavailable fuel, and using aircraft to deliver water and fire retardant to the fire would limit the impacts of a potential wildfire.
 - Implement prescribed burns as specified in the Prescribed Burn Plan (NPS 2019), which would greatly decrease fuel loading and render most fires, including severe fires from outside the Memorial, low- to moderate-intensity.

12 Environmental Contaminants Mitigation Measures and BMPs

- The fireworks contractor will submit safety data sheets and a list of fireworks ingredients to the National Park Service (NPS) prior to the event.
- The fireworks contractor will remove unexploded ordnance from the fallout zone to the extent possible and remove fireworks debris from the launch site.

17 Cultural Resource Protection Measures

- Protect known sensitive resources or structures with fire-resistant blankets or other
 protective material.
- To lessen potential impacts on unknown buried artifacts, use hand lines rather than large mechanized equipment to fight a potential wildfire.

22 Human Health and Safety Measures

The fireworks contractor will have firework handling certification. Mount Rushmore
 National Memorial staff will not handle fireworks.

25

6

7

8 9

10

11





30 As the nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has the

31 responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes

32 fostering sound use of our land and water resources; protecting our fish, wildlife, and biological

diversity; preserving the environmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical

- 34 places; and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The department
- assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to ensure that their development is in the
- 36 best interests of all our people by encouraging stewardship and citizen participation in their care. 37 The department also has a major responsibility for American Indian response for the American Indian rese
- The department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and
 for people who live in island territories under U.S. administration.
- 40 NPS xxx/xxxxxx ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FOURTH OF JULY HOLIDAY
- 41 FIREWORKS EVENT / December 2019 / Printed on recycled paper